ORIGINAL ARTICLE

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23

American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 01,2026

Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

INTERPERSONAL COMMUNICATION IN IMMUNIZATION

Niyozmatova Zamiraxon Muhtarovna
Bustan Technical School of Public Health Named after Abu Ali Ibn Sino

Abstract

Interpersonal communication is a vital factor in the success of immunization programs, the article under consideration stating that quality interactions between health professionals, patients, and the society at large have a positive impact on vaccination rates and, consequently, on public health. According to the findings, good interpersonal communication is an important factor in the acceptance of vaccines, overcoming hesitancy, and building the trust of the public in vaccination programs.

Keywords: interpersonal communication, immunization, vaccine hesitancy, healthcare communication, public health, vaccination programs, health literacy

Аннотация

В данной статье рассматривается важнейшая роль межличностной коммуникации в программах иммунизации, анализируется, как эффективный диалог между медицинскими работниками, пациентами и сообществами влияет на охват вакцинацией и результаты в области общественного здравоохранения. Исследование показывает, что качественная межличностная коммуникация существенно влияет на принятие вакцины, преодолевает сомнения и укрепляет доверие к системам иммунизации.

Ключевые слова: межличностная коммуникация, иммунизация, сомнения в отношении вакцинации, коммуникация в здравоохранении, общественное здравоохранение, программы вакцинации, медицинская грамотность

Annotatsiya

Ushbu maqolada immunizatsiya dasturlarida shaxslararo muloqotning muhim roli ko'rib chiqiladi, tibbiyot xodimlari, bemorlar va jamoalar o'rtasidagi samarali muloqot emlashni qabul qilish va jamoat salomatligi natijalariga qanday ta'sir qilishini tahlil qiladi. Tadqiqot shuni ko'rsatadiki, sifatli shaxslararo muloqot vaksinani qabul qilishga sezilarli darajada ta'sir qiladi, ikkilanishni bartaraf etadi va immunizatsiya tizimlariga bo'lgan ishonchni mustahkamlaydi.

Kalit so'zlar: shaxslararo muloqot, immunizatsiya, vaksina ikkilanishi, sog'liqni saqlash sohasidagi muloqot, jamoat salomatligi, emlash dasturlari, sog'liqni saqlash savodxonligi

Introduction

Immunization is widely recognized as a public health intervention that has the lowest cost per life saved [1], and thus, is one of the most efficient ways of conquering infectious diseases. This method of preventing diseases by vaccination is still the most important source of public health security globally, even though it prevents the death of millions of people yearly. To a greater extent, the success of immunization programs relies on the interpersonal communication quality between the healthcare provider, the patient, the patient's family and the community rather than on the mere presence and access to vaccines [2]. Interpersonal communication in the immunization setting covers all direct exchanges between people that making it easier to share information, addressing concerns, creating trust and finally influencing vaccination choices. Communication occurs at various levels like through healthcare provider-patient consultations, community health worker meets, peer-to-peer conversations, and family discussions on

ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23
American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 01,2026
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

vaccination decisions [3]. Modern studies have shown that factors related to interpersonal communication usually prevail over the structural barriers when it comes to determining the outcome of vaccination, and in many cases, the quality of communication predicts vaccine acceptance more reliably than demographic or access-related factors [4].

Methodology and Literature Review. The method that this research follows is a detailed analytical review, which combines the evidence from the international scientific literature regarding interpersonal communication related to immunization contexts. The basis for the analysis of interpersonal communication in immunization is mainly built upon communication models such as the health belief model which shows how through the communicative interactions the perceived susceptibility, severity, benefits, and barriers influence health behaviors [5]. The elaboration likelihood model gives a clearer understanding of how the processing of messages through central and peripheral routes affects the formation of attitudes and making decisions regarding vaccination, with the credibility of the interpersonal source and the quality of the message being the most vital factors that determine the effectiveness of persuasion [6]. Social cognitive theory points out the importance of observational learning, self-efficacy, and reciprocal determinants in the process of forming vaccination behaviors, thus indicating the role of interpersonal communication in the distribution of the learning context, social reinforcement, and capability development [7]. Studies indicate that the quality of interpersonal communication has a decisive role in parental vaccination choices, with more empathetic and patient-centered communication styles being linked to higher vaccine acceptance than directive or dismissive styles [8]. The single most important factor influencing vaccination decisions within different communities and cultures has been the healthcare provider recommendations made through good interpersonal communication. Studies on the content of communication show that effective immunization communication takes care of both cognitive aspects by giving precise information about the advantages and disadvantages of the vaccine and disease, and the emotional aspect by recognizing feelings, legitimizing worries, and developing trust with the patient [9]. Communication barriers research points out that in clinical encounters time pressure, inadequate provider training in communication skills and differences between provider communication styles and patient communication preferences are major hurdles to effective vaccination communication [10].

Results and Discussion. Analysis of the literature reveals several critical findings regarding interpersonal communication's role in immunization. First, the quality of interpersonal communication between healthcare providers and patients demonstrably affects vaccination outcomes more powerfully than many structural or demographic factors. Studies consistently show that when healthcare providers engage in high-quality interpersonal communication characterized by empathy, active listening, clear information provision, and respectful dialogue, vaccination rates increase substantially compared to settings where communication is brief, directive, or dismissive [2]. This finding underscores that immunization is fundamentally a communicative as well as a medical intervention, requiring investment in communication capacity alongside vaccine infrastructure. Second, interpersonal communication serves multiple functions beyond information transmission in immunization contexts.

Communication builds trust, which research identifies as the foundation for vaccine acceptance, particularly in communities with historical experiences of medical exploitation or marginalization [4]. Through repeated positive interpersonal interactions, healthcare providers establish credibility and trustworthiness that makes their immunization recommendations more persuasive and their reassurances about vaccine safety more believable. Communication also provides emotional support, helping parents and patients navigate anxiety, uncertainty, and fear

ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23
American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 01,2026
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

related to vaccination decisions. By acknowledging and validating emotional responses rather than dismissing them as irrational, healthcare providers demonstrate respect that strengthens therapeutic relationships and creates space for constructive dialogue about immunization [8]. Additionally, interpersonal communication enables collaborative problem-solving, allowing providers and patients to jointly address practical barriers, develop strategies for managing minor adverse reactions, and create vaccination plans aligned with family values and preferences.

Effective immunization communication requires specific skills including the ability to elicit concerns through open-ended questioning, demonstrate empathy through verbal and nonverbal behaviors, provide clear explanations tailored to individual understanding levels, use techniques from motivational interviewing to explore ambivalence without triggering defensiveness, and maintain composure when encountering strongly held opposing views. The presumptive approach, where providers announce rather than suggest vaccination using phrases like "We'll do vaccines today" rather than "Do you want vaccines today," proves particularly effective because it frames immunization as standard care while remaining responsive to concerns when they arise [6]. This approach combines normative influence, establishing vaccination as expected behavior, with the flexibility to engage in deeper dialogue when needed. Fourth, interpersonal communication about immunization occurs within broader social networks that extend beyond clinical encounters. Family discussions, peer conversations, and community dialogue significantly influence vaccination decisions, with interpersonal sources often perceived as more trustworthy than institutional or media sources.

Conclusion. Interpersonal communication constitutes a fundamental determinant of immunization program success, influencing vaccine acceptance, addressing hesitancy, and building the trust essential for sustained vaccination coverage. The evidence comprehensively demonstrates that effective interpersonal communication between healthcare providers, patients, families, and communities significantly improves immunization outcomes across diverse contexts and populations. Quality interpersonal communication in immunization requires specific competencies including empathy, active listening, tailored message delivery, motivational interviewing skills, and the ability to navigate emotionally charged discussions while maintaining therapeutic relationships. The findings indicate that strengthening immunization programs necessitates substantial investment in developing healthcare provider communication capacities through formal training, ongoing professional development, and supportive clinical environments that allocate sufficient time for meaningful patient-provider dialogue.

References

1. World Health Organization. Vaccines and immunization: the cornerstone of public health. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 2019, 97(3): 161-240.
2. Dubé E, Laberge C, Guay M, Bramadat P, Roy R, Bettinger J. Vaccine hesitancy: an overview. Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics, 2013, 9(8): 1763-1773.
3. Larson HJ, Jarrett C, Eckersberger E, Smith DM, Paterson P. Understanding vaccine hesitancy around vaccines and vaccination from a global perspective: a systematic review of published literature, 2007-2012. Vaccine, 2014, 32(19): 2150-2159.
4. Opel DJ, Heritage J, Taylor JA, Mangione-Smith R, Salas HS, DeVere V, Zhou C, Robinson JD. The architecture of provider-parent vaccine discussions at health supervision visits. Pediatrics, 2013, 132(6): 1037-1046.
5. Rosenstock IM, Strecher VJ, Becker MH. Social learning theory and the Health Belief Model. Health Education Quarterly, 1988, 15(2): 175-183.

ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23
American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 01,2026
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

6. Petty RE, Cacioppo JT. The elaboration likelihood model of persuasion. Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 1986, 19: 123-205.
7. Bandura A. Health promotion by social cognitive means. Health Education & Behavior, 2004, 31(2): 143-164.
8. Leask J, Kinnersley P, Jackson C, Cheater F, Bedford H, Rowles G. Communicating with parents about vaccination: a framework for health professionals. BMC Pediatrics, 2012, 12(1): 154-165.
9. Sadaf A, Richards JL, Glanz J, Salmon DA, Omer SB. A systematic review of interventions for reducing parental vaccine refusal and vaccine hesitancy. Vaccine, 2013, 31(40): 4293-4304.
10. Gagneur A, Lemaître T, Gosselin V, Farrands A, Carrier N, Petit G, Valiquette L, De Wals P. A postpartum vaccination promotion intervention using motivational interviewing techniques improves short-term vaccine coverage: PromoVac study. BMC Public Health, 2018, 18(1): 811-823.