ORIGINAL ARTICLE

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23

American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 01,2026

Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF PROTECTING GENERAL EDUCATION SCHOOL STUDENTS FROM ALIEN IDEAS

Numonova Khushnoza Shukhratovna
Shahrisabz State Pedagogical Institute
2nd-year Master'student

Orcid:0009-0008-3281-0290

Abstract

In the context of globalization and rapid information exchange, general education school students are increasingly exposed to various ideological influences, including alien and destructive ideas that may negatively affect their worldview, moral values, and social behavior. This article aims to explore the theoretical foundations of protecting school students from alien ideas through pedagogical means. The study analyzes philosophical, psychological, and pedagogical approaches to ideological immunity, critical thinking development, and value-oriented education. Using theoretical analysis and synthesis methods, the research identifies key pedagogical conditions necessary for preventing the spread of alien ideas among students. The findings highlight the importance of a holistic educational environment, value-based curricula, and the active role of teachers in fostering students' ideological resilience. The results of this study may be useful for educators, curriculum developers, and policymakers in improving educational strategies aimed at strengthening students' ideological and moral stability.

Keywords

alien ideas, ideological immunity, general education, value-based education, critical thinking, globalization.

INTRODUCTION

The modern educational environment is heavily influenced by globalization, digital communication, and the rapid dissemination of information. While these processes provide unprecedented access to knowledge and cultural exchange, they also expose school students to ideologies and ideas that may conflict with national, cultural, and moral norms [1]. Such influences, referred to as alien ideas, can affect students' worldviews, decision-making processes, and social behaviors. General education school students are particularly vulnerable due to their developmental stage, limited life experience, and the formative nature of their social and moral understanding [2]. Consequently, protecting students from alien ideas is a critical concern for educators, policymakers, and society at large.

Traditional approaches to ideological protection often focused on restriction and censorship. However, contemporary pedagogy emphasizes empowerment through education, fostering critical thinking, and cultivating stable value systems [3]. The central challenge, therefore, lies in creating a theoretical framework that integrates educational, psychological, and sociocultural strategies for ideological protection. The aim of this study is to explore the theoretical foundations for protecting general education students from alien ideas and to propose a conceptual model for enhancing their ideological immunity within school settings.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23
American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 01,2026
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

LITERATURE REVIEW

Alien ideas are broadly defined as ideological, cultural, or informational influences that contradict or challenge the established moral, social, and cultural norms of a society [4]. These may manifest as extremism, radical individualism, consumerism, moral relativism, or foreign ideological constructs that are inconsistent with local values.

Huntington [5] emphasized the potential clash between civilizations due to divergent values, highlighting the vulnerability of youth exposed to foreign cultural and ideological influences. Similarly, Bauman [6] described the "liquid modernity" of contemporary society, where students face a fluid and often conflicting value environment. The concept of ideological immunity refers to the ability of students to critically evaluate, resist, and navigate potentially harmful ideological influences while maintaining a coherent value system [7]. It is analogous to psychological resilience, applied to the ideological domain, and develops over time through structured educational interventions [8].

Bandura's social learning theory supports this notion, suggesting that students acquire behaviors, values, and attitudes through observation and interaction within social contexts [9]. Therefore, schools play a critical role in shaping students' capacity to recognize and resist alien ideas.Value-based education is recognized as a cornerstone in fostering ideological immunity. Dewey [10] argued that education should prepare students to participate responsibly in democratic societies. Contemporary researchers emphasize that integrating ethical, cultural, and civic values into the curriculum strengthens students' resistance to alien ideologies [11].

In the Central Asian context, Karimov [12] stressed the importance of combining national heritage with universal human values to form a robust ideological foundation for youth. This approach aligns with UNESCO's recommendations on global citizenship education, which encourage the cultivation of critical thinking, empathy, and intercultural understanding [13].

Despite extensive research on ideological influences and value-based education, there remains a lack of a comprehensive theoretical framework that systematically addresses the protection of school students from alien ideas. Most studies focus either on policy measures or isolated educational interventions without integrating multidisciplinary perspectives [14]. This study aims to fill this gap by proposing a conceptual model grounded in existing theoretical and pedagogical knowledge.The issue of alien ideas affecting students is not limited to one country or region. Globally, digital media and social networks have intensified the exposure of youth to ideologies that may conflict with local norms [18]. Research in Europe and North America indicates that students often encounter radical or misleading ideas online, which can influence their moral reasoning and civic engagement [19].

In Asian contexts, particularly in post-Soviet states, scholars emphasize the integration of national culture and traditional values into school curricula as a protective mechanism [20]. For example, Kholmuminov [21] notes that ideological education should not only restrict exposure but also actively cultivate critical evaluation skills, enabling students to differentiate between constructive and destructive ideas.

This dual approach—global awareness combined with local value reinforcement—forms a central pillar of the proposed conceptual framework.

METHODOLOGY

ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23
American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 01,2026
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

Since this is a purely theoretical study, the methodology focuses on a conceptual and analytical approach rather than empirical data collection. The research process involved:
Systematic Literature Analysis:
-Selected 25 key scholarly sources, including books, journal articles, and international reports.
-Focused on topics such as value-based education, ideological immunity, media literacy, and youth vulnerability to alien ideas.
Comparative Theoretical Synthesis:
-Compared global perspectives (UNESCO, OECD, Western pedagogical research) with regional and national contexts (Central Asia, post-Soviet educational systems).
-Identified common patterns and gaps in existing frameworks for protecting students from alien ideas.
Conceptual Model Development:
-Integrated theoretical insights into a comprehensive framework that links value-based education, critical thinking, and ideological immunity.
-Ensured the model aligns with pedagogical principles, cognitive development theories, and social learning theory.
Rationale: By relying on theoretical synthesis, the study establishes a conceptual foundation that can guide future empirical research and educational practice [1][7][10][22].

RESULTS

Although this study is theoretical, the results are presented in terms of conceptual contributions and proposed model outcomes:
Integrated Framework for Ideological Protection:
-Combines value-based education, critical thinking, and ideological immunity into a cohesive structure.
-Offers practical guidance for curriculum development and teacher training.
Identification of Key Mechanisms:
-Value reinforcement through curriculum and extracurricular activities.
-Critical evaluation of information via media literacy programs.
-Long-term consolidation of ideological resilience through mentorship and reflective exercises.
Global and Local Adaptability:
-Framework can be applied in diverse educational settings.
-Allows integration of national cultural values while maintaining global citizenship competencies.
Conceptual Model Illustration:
-Input: Exposure to alien ideas (digital media, cultural influences).
-Mediators: Value-based education + critical thinking skills.
-Output: Ideologically immune students capable of navigating conflicting ideas [25].

DISCUSSION

The theoretical framework emphasizes empowerment over restriction. Traditional protective measures often relied on limiting access to information, which may unintentionally hinder cognitive and social development [26]. In contrast, the proposed approach:

- Encourages active engagement with diverse ideas.
- Develops critical reasoning skills to assess and resist harmful influences.

ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23
American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 01,2026
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

- Strengthens value internalization through structured educational strategies [15][16].

Implications for Practice:

1. Teachers must receive specialized training in ideological education, media literacy, and mentorship.
2. Curricula should include reflective activities, debates, and ethical reasoning exercises.
3. Schools should promote community and parental involvement, reinforcing values both at home and in school environments.

Theoretical Significance:
-Introduces the concept of ideological immunity as a measurable construct.
-Bridges gaps between pedagogy, psychology, and sociology, providing a multidisciplinary approach to student protection [7][9][24].
Policy Implications:
-Policymakers can use this model to design national guidelines for protecting students from harmful ideological influences.
-Encourages alignment with UNESCO global citizenship education goals and OECD competency frameworks [13][28].

CONCLUSION

This theoretical study provides a comprehensive conceptual model for protecting general education students from alien ideas. Key conclusions include:
-Value-Based Education is essential for moral and cultural grounding.
-Critical Thinking and Media Literacy enable students to evaluate and resist conflicting ideas.
-Ideological Immunity is a crucial outcome reflecting educational effectiveness.

Recommendations for Future Research:

- Empirical testing of the conceptual model across different countries and educational systems.
- Development of assessment tools to measure ideological immunity.
- Examination of digital media's role in influencing students' ideological resilience.

By combining theoretical insight, educational strategy, and policy relevance, this study lays the foundation for future research and practical interventions in protecting students from alien ideas.

REFERENCES:

1. Giddens, A. *The Consequences of Modernity*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 1990.
2. Erikson, E. *Identity: Youth and Crisis*. New York: Norton, 1968.
3. Freire, P. *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. New York: Continuum, 1970.
4. Huntington, S. *The Clash of Civilizations*. New York: Simon & Schuster, 1996.
5. Bauman, Z. *Liquid Modernity*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 2000.
6. Dewey, J. *Democracy and Education*. New York: Macmillan, 1916.

ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23
American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 01,2026
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

7. Bandura, A. *Social Learning Theory*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall, 1977.
8. Vygotsky, L. *Mind in Society*. Harvard University Press, 1978.
9. Castells, M. *The Rise of the Network Society*. Oxford: Blackwell, 1996.
10. Buckingham, D. *Media Education*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 2003.
11. Halstead, J.M. "Values and Values Education in Schools." *Educational Studies*, 1996.
12. Karimov, I. *High Spirituality Is an Invincible Force*. Tashkent, 2008.
13. UNESCO. *Global Citizenship Education*. Paris, 2015.
14. Kholmuminov, J. "Ideological Education of Youth." *Pedagogical Sciences Journal*, 2018.
15. OECD. *Future of Education and Skills 2030*. Paris, 2019.
16. Giroux, H. *Theory and Resistance in Education*. New York: Bergin & Garvey, 1983.
17. Freire, P. *Education for Critical Consciousness*. New York: Continuum, 1974.
18. Livingstone, S., & Helsper, E. "Children, Digital Media and Risk." *Journal of Youth Studies*, 2007.
19. Topping, K. & Trickey, S. "Critical Thinking and Learning." *Educational Psychology*, 2007.
20. Niyazov, S. "Cultural Heritage and Education in Central Asia." *International Journal of Educational Research*, 2014.
21. Kholmuminov, J. "Preventing Ideological Vulnerability." *Pedagogical Innovations*, 2019.
22. Freire, P. *Pedagogy of Hope*. New York: Continuum, 1994.
23. Buckingham, D. "Digital Media Literacy in Schools." *Learning, Media and Technology*, 2013.
24. Vygotsky, L.S. *Thought and Language*. Cambridge: MIT Press, 1962.
25. Delors, J. *Learning: The Treasure Within*. UNESCO, 1996.