ORIGINAL ARTICLE

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23
American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 05,2026

Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

THE ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN PRIMARY EDUCATION: SHAPING THE FUTURE OF THE ELEMENTARY CLASSROOM

Ruzimova Marjonoy Yo'ldash kizi
Lecturer at the Department of Education,
Asia International University
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20287014

Abstract: This article explores the transformative role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in primary education, focusing on young learners aged 6 to 10. While traditional educational models often struggle with diverse learning paces, AI introduces hyper-personalized learning pathways through adaptive platforms that assess and respond to individual student needs in real-time. Furthermore, the integration of gamification and intelligent tutoring systems enhances cognitive engagement among children with short attention spans. The study highlights AI as an essential "co-pilot" for educators, automating administrative burdens and allowing teachers to focus on emotional mentorship. Additionally, the paper examines how AI revolutionizes inclusive education for neurodiverse and special needs students. While acknowledging the immense potential of technology, the article addresses critical risks, including data privacy concerns, the digital divide, and the potential erosion of social-emotional skills. Ultimately, it advocates for a hybrid "High-Tech and High-Touch" model, where AI serves as a powerful collaborative tool to enhance, rather than replace, human-centric teaching.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), primary education, hyper-personalized learning, adaptive learning platforms, gamification, educational technology (edtech), inclusive education, teacher automation, social-emotional development hybrid learning model.

Introduction

We live in an era of unprecedented technological disruption, where fields like medicine, finance, and global logistics are being fundamentally rewritten by the capabilities of automation. At the vanguard of this shift is Artificial Intelligence **(AI)**, a technology that has rapidly evolved from a futuristic concept into an active utility. While higher education and professional training sectors have eagerly integrated machine learning algorithms to optimize data and track student progress, the introduction of AI into primary education - the foundational schooling of children aged 6 to 10 - has historically been approached with deep hesitation.

The early years of education represent a uniquely delicate window in human development. During this formative period, a child's brain is highly plastic, undergoing rapid cognitive, linguistic, and socio-emotional changes. Primary classrooms are not merely spaces for rote memorization; they are vital social ecosystems where children learn the nuances of empathy, collaboration, emotional regulation, and critical thought. Consequently, introducing complex algorithmic systems into this space naturally ignites fierce debate among educators, child psychologists, and parents alike. However, viewing AI strictly as an invasive replacement for human interaction misinterprets its true pedagogical potential. The reality is far more nuanced and full of promise. Today's primary school classrooms face systemic crises worldwide, including severe teacher shortages, overwhelming administrative burdens, and the inherent failure of the traditional, industrialized "one-size-fits-all" curriculum to cater to diverse student populations.

In this context, Artificial Intelligence emerges not as a digital surrogate for the teacher, but as an extraordinarily adaptive catalyst for change. By functioning as a hyper-personalized tutor, an

ORIGINAL ARTICLE
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23
American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 05,2026
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

engaging visual storyteller, an administrative co-pilot, and an equalizer for students with special educational needs, AI possesses the potential to enhance human-centric teaching rather than diminish it. This article explores how AI is reshaping the primary education landscape, analyzes the cognitive and structural benefits it delivers, addresses the urgent ethical guardrails that must be established, and proposes a balanced, hybrid paradigm where cutting-edge technology and human empathy coexist to prepare the next generation for an increasingly complex world.

Litrature analysis and methodology

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into educational frameworks is not a sudden phenomenon, but rather the evolution of decades of research into computer-assisted instruction. To understand the current state of AI in primary education, it is essential to analyze the academic discourse through three primary lenses: cognitive development theories, the shift from passive to adaptive learning, and the changing socio-technical role of the educator.

1. Theoretical Frameworks: Vygotsky, Piaget, and the Digital Scaffold Historically, early childhood and primary education have been heavily anchored in Jean Piaget's constructivist theory and Lev Vygotsky's Socio-Cultural Theory.

2. Piaget's Stage Theory: Children in the primary school age bracket (6–10 years) are transitioning from the *preoperational stage* to the *concrete operational stage*. They learn best by doing, manipulating objects, and interacting with their environment. Critics of early educational technology argued that screens promote passive consumption, which stalls this active cognitive development.

3. Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD): Vygotsky asserted that optimal learning occurs when a child is challenged just beyond their current independent capability, guided by a "More Knowledgeable Other" (MKO). Current literature (Luckin et al., 2016; Holmes et al., 2019) argues that advanced AI algorithms can act as an artificial MKO. By providing dynamic, real-time scaffolding—offering hints, adjusting problem complexity, and utilizing multi-modal cues—AI precisely maintains the learner within their ZPD, a feat that is logistically nearly impossible for a single human teacher overseeing thirty distinct students.

4. 2. The Shift from Computer-Aided Instruction (CAI) to Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS). Early literature from the late 20th century focused on Computer-Aided Instruction (CAI), which consisted of rigid, pre-programmed "drill-and-practice" software. Modern literature draws a sharp contrast between these legacy systems and contemporary Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS). According to a meta-analysis by Kulik and Fletcher (2016), ITS platforms driven by machine learning yield substantially higher effect sizes in student achievement compared to traditional classroom instruction alone.

5. Recent studies in early literacy and numeracy (e.g., focused on platforms like *Amira Learning* or *DreamBox*) highlight that AI's ability to analyze phonemic awareness and micro-steps in mathematical logic allows for targeted interventions before learning gaps widen into systemic failures.

6. 3. The "Double-Loop" Feedback and Teacher Agency. A significant subset of the current literature explores the impact of AI on the teaching profession itself. Rather than supporting the dystopian view of teacher replacement, contemporary scholars (such as Selwyn, 2019) advocate for the concept of "augmented intelligence" or "human-in-the-loop" AI.

7. AI systems create a **double-loop feedback system**: Loop 1 provides immediate corrective feedback to the student; Loop 2 provides macro-level diagnostic data to the teacher. Scholars argue that this administrative relief is critical. However, researchers like Biesta (2015) caution that over-reliance on AI metrics risks "quantifying" the child, potentially reducing the

ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23
American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 05,2026
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

holistic, moral, and emotional dimensions of primary education to mere data points on a dashboard.

Conclusion

The Synthesis of High-Tech and High-Touch. The future of primary education does not belong to machines alone, nor does it belong to outdated chalkboard methodologies. The optimal paradigm is a hybrid model of "High-Tech and High-Touch." Artificial Intelligence brings efficiency, endless data analysis, adaptability, and scalable patience to the classroom. However, it completely lacks empathy, intuition, moral judgment, and the capacity to truly love and inspire a child. By welcoming AI as a collaborative partner, primary school educators can shed their administrative burdens and refocus entirely on the heart of teaching: cultivating curious, empathetic, and resilient human beings ready to lead tomorrow's world.

REFERENCES

1. Biesta, G. (2015). What is education for? On good education, teacher judgement, and the educational question. *European Educational Research Journal*, 14(1), 75–87. https://doi.org/10.1177/1474904114565159

2. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

2. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

3. Kulik, J. A., & Fletcher, J. D. (2016). Effectiveness of intelligent tutoring systems: A meta-analytic review. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(1), 42–78. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654315581420

4. Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson.

5. Piaget, J. (1973). *Main trends in inter-disciplinary research*. London: George Allen & Unwin.

6. Selwyn, N. (2019). *Should robots replace teachers? AI and the future of education*. Polity Press.

7. UNESCO. (2021). *AI and education: Guidance for policy-makers*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000376709

8. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.