MODERN TEACHING METHODS: ANALYSIS OF TRADITIONAL AND INNOVATIVE APPROACHES

Andijan State Institute of Foreign Languages

Student: **Sidiqova Qunduzxon Mirzozidxo'ja kizi**

Abstract: This article analyzes the role of teaching methods in modern education, evaluating the strengths and limitations of both traditional and innovative approaches. It also explores interactive strategies and the integration of information technologies into the learning process. The article presents conclusions on effective teaching strategies based on a comparative analysis of various methods.

Keywords: teaching methods, innovation, traditional approach, interactive learning, educational technologies

Introduction

The education system in the 21st century is rapidly evolving, with a growing need to transition from traditional teaching methods to modern, interactive, and innovative approaches. Globalization, the rise of digital technologies, and changes in students' needs and interests demand a new perspective on pedagogical practices.

Teaching methods are tools for interaction between the teacher and the student, crucial for the formation of knowledge, skills, and competencies. While traditional methods have been effective over the years, they are often insufficient in the modern educational environment. As a result, new pedagogical technologies, innovative methodologies, interactive styles, and multimedia tools are becoming more widespread. The aim of this article is to analyze the role of teaching methods in modern education, compare traditional and innovative approaches, and identify effective teaching strategies. The main objectives are:

•To classify teaching methods and reveal their essence

•To compare traditional and innovative methods

Main Body

Traditional teaching methods have played a central role in the history of education and trace their roots to ancient pedagogical schools. These methods focus on the teacher's central role in shaping the student's knowledge. They include lectures, discussions, visual presentations, book work, written assignments, and laboratory tasks. These methods are mostly teacher-centered, with students playing a passive role in learning.

Lecture Method: The lecture is one of the most common traditional methods, especially in higher education. Its main advantage is the ability to deliver a large amount of theoretical knowledge to a large audience in a short amount of time. The effectiveness of lectures often depends on the teacher's speaking skills and the ability to explain the topic in-depth.

Discussion Method: The discussion method, developed based on the Socratic approach, aims to activate the student's thinking. Through a question-and-answer format, the teacher can help students gain a deeper understanding of the topic. However, this method is more effective in small groups than in large ones.

Visual Method: This method uses textbooks, diagrams, charts, models, and other visual aids to enhance visual perception. It is particularly important in the early stages and in technical fields. However, this method does not involve students in independent thinking.

Book Work and Written Assignments: Working with books is one of the key methods for developing students' independent learning skills. However, controlling student activity in this method can be difficult, which can lead to superficial understanding.

Many researchers highlight the following main disadvantages of traditional methods:

•Low student activity in independent thinking and critical analysis

•Lack of individualized approaches

•Limited use of information technology opportunities

•Teachers remain the primary source of knowledge, while students remain passive consumers

Nevertheless, traditional methods still hold an important place in delivering foundational theoretical knowledge, ensuring logical coherence, and teaching large classes. They are especially relevant in theoretical subjects like law, history, and philosophy. Innovative Teaching Methods.The modern stage of education demands not only knowledge acquisition but also the development of deep thinking, self-management, creativity, and critical decision-making skills. This calls for an update in teaching methods, with an emphasis on innovative approaches.

Interactive methods center around "student-centered learning." These methods encourage students to express their thoughts freely, collaborate, and strengthen their knowledge through active participation. Examples include:

Brainstorming: This method is very effective at the beginning of a lesson or when exploring a new topic. For instance, in the topic "Environmental Issues," students analyze global and local problems through brainstorming.

Debates: Debating enhances analytical thinking and reflection, especially in social subjects such as law, politics, and philosophy. A debate on "Punishment and Education" encourages students to think socially responsible.

Problem-Based Learning: This method transforms students from passive learners into active problem-solvers. For instance, in a biology lesson, the topic "Organ Failure Issues" is presented as a problem that students explore from various scientific perspectives to come up with solutions.

CLIL (Content and Language Integrated Learning): The CLIL model serves two goals simultaneously: learning a language and understanding subject content. For example, in a geography lesson, teaching the "Water Cycle" in English allows students to learn both environmental science and English terminology.

Gamification: Adding game elements to lessons increases students' interest, participation, and internal motivation. For example, awarding points for correct answers or declaring the most active group the "Education Champion" of the week.

STEAM Approach: STEAM integrates related disciplines by combining them with art, promoting both analytical and creative thinking. In a physics lesson on "Light Refraction," students not only study theory but also create small stage decorations using light effects. This lesson blends creativity, design, and technical knowledge. Innovative methods place the student at the center of the learning process, fostering independent thinking, critical analysis, creativity, and competitiveness. The teacher becomes a guide and an inspirer, rather than just a source of information. Numerous global studies show that innovative methods, especially when combined with digital technologies, are becoming a decisive factor in improving the quality of education (UNESCO Global Education Monitoring Report, 2021).

Conclusion

While traditional methods are effective in delivering basic knowledge, maintaining order, and offering a teacher-centered approach, innovative methods—especially online, blended, and distance education formats—encourage independent thinking, creativity, and personal development. Traditional methods tend to be more rigid, while innovative methods offer flexibility, interactivity, and individualized approaches. Therefore, combining these two approaches in modern education is the key to enhancing the effectiveness of the learning process and improving the quality of education. The widespread integration of technology in education through innovative methods increases students' interest, participation, and a sense of responsibility toward the learning process. Thus, harmonizing traditional and innovative teaching methods meets the modern educational demands and promotes comprehensive student development.

References:

1. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

2. Piaget, J. (1952). The Origins of Intelligence in Children. New York: International Universities Press.

3. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. American Psychologist, 55(1), 68–78.

4. UNESCO (2020). Education in a post-COVID world: Nine ideas for public action. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.

5. Anderson, T., & Dron, J. (2011). Three generations of distance education pedagogy. The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 12(3), 80–97.