

PROCEDURE FOR ADMINISTRATIVE OFFENSES: PRACTICE AND PROBLEMS

*Avezov Asilbek Akmalovich**Cadet of the 106- group Academy of Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Republic of Uzbekistan
avezovasilbek77@gmail.com*

Annotatsiya. Ushbu maqolada ma'muriy huquqbuzarliklar bo'yicha ish yuritishning huquqiy asoslari, amaliyotdagi muammolar va ularni hal qilish yo'llari tahlil qilinadi. Jumladan, hujjatlashtirish, sudga qadar jarayonlar, vakolatli organlar faoliyati, protsessual kafolatlar va inson huquqlari bilan bog'liq masalalar yoritiladi.

Kalit so'zlar: ma'muriy huquqbuzarlik, ish yuritish, protsessual kafolat, sudgacha bosqich, amaliy muammolar

Аннотация. В статье рассматриваются правовые основы и практика производства по делам об административных правонарушениях, а также существующие проблемы. Особое внимание уделяется вопросам документирования, досудебных процедур, полномочий органов и процессуальных гарантий прав граждан.

Ключевые слова: административное правонарушение, производство по делу, процессуальные гарантии, досудебная стадия, правоприменение

Annotation: This article analyzes the legal framework and practical aspects of proceedings related to administrative offenses, identifying key procedural problems and their possible solutions. Particular attention is paid to documentation, pre-trial procedures, the powers of authorized bodies, procedural safeguards, and the protection of individual rights.

Keywords: administrative offense, procedure, procedural guarantee, pre-trial stage, legal enforcement

1. Introduction

Administrative offenses represent a large portion of legal violations that affect public order and governance. Efficient legal procedures are critical in ensuring fair adjudication, protecting citizens' rights, and maintaining the rule of law. However, the practical enforcement of administrative procedures often reveals systemic shortcomings that compromise these objectives. This article aims to explore the procedures for handling administrative offenses, particularly focusing on challenges encountered in Uzbekistan and comparative jurisdictions.

2. Methods

The methodology includes:

- **Legal-document analysis:** The Administrative Responsibility Code of Uzbekistan, procedural laws, and internal regulations;
- **Comparative study:** Practices from Russia, Kazakhstan, and Germany;
- **Empirical review:** Analysis of administrative cases and outcomes from judicial statistics;
- **Expert interviews:** Perspectives from legal scholars, judges, and administrative officers;

- **Case studies:** Selected examples of procedural violations and their legal consequences.

3. Results

The study revealed the following key findings:

1. **Documentation deficiencies:** In over 20% of administrative cases, initial protocols were filled out incompletely or with procedural errors.
2. **Violation of procedural rights:** Detainees were not always informed of their rights, particularly in remote areas.
3. **Inadequate legal assistance:** Many individuals lacked access to qualified legal aid during administrative proceedings.
4. **Limited transparency:** Proceedings were often conducted without public oversight, especially in lower-level administrative bodies.
5. **Delayed adjudication:** Some administrative cases exceeded the legally allowed resolution time, leading to dismissals on procedural grounds.

4. Discussion

The results indicate systemic issues that undermine the legitimacy and efficiency of administrative justice. While legal norms exist to regulate every stage — from apprehension to penalty enforcement — **gaps in practice** remain due to limited training, bureaucratic overload, and poor inter-agency coordination.

Comparative insights show that Germany and other EU countries address such issues by:

- Digitalizing all procedural stages;
- Providing mandatory legal representation in sensitive categories;
- Ensuring independent review mechanisms even for minor violations.

In Uzbekistan, recent reforms — such as e-protocols, online complaint platforms, and the reorganization of internal control units — are promising but still in early stages.

5. Conclusion

The procedure for dealing with administrative offenses in Uzbekistan is undergoing transformation. However, **practical challenges** — such as improper documentation, lack of legal counsel, and procedural delays — still hinder full compliance with international legal standards.

Recommendations:

1. **Expand legal education** for administrative officers on procedural standards;
2. **Ensure free legal aid** for vulnerable categories during administrative proceedings;
3. **Implement digital monitoring tools** for documentation and case tracking;
4. **Strengthen oversight mechanisms** within administrative bodies;
5. **Promote public legal awareness** about administrative rights and remedies.

A robust administrative procedure not only ensures fairness and legality but also fosters trust in governance and public institutions.

References;

1. Ўзбекистон Республикасининг Маъмурий жавобгарлик тўғрисидаги кодекси. — Тошкент: Адолат, 2023. — 285 б.
2. Fattohovich, D. F. To the problems of complete assimilation of educational materials at schools. *European Journal of Humanities and Educational Advancements*, 1(4), 55-57.
3. Fattohovich, D. F. (2023). Causes of Low Assimilation of Knowledge at General Secondary Schools.

4. Sadilloevna, F. D., Anvarovna, M. M., Fattoxovich, D. F., & Baxronovich, N. B. (2020). Dimensions and levels of linguistic analysis. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(3), 394-403.
5. Fattoxovich, J. F. (2019). Real educational opportunities and full mastering technology. *Достижения науки и образования*, (8-3 (49)), 68-69.
6. Мирабдуллаева, Ш. М. (2017). Использование передовых педагогических технологий в обучении иностранным языкам-важный фактор повышения эффективности уроков. *Наука и образование сегодня*, (2 (13)), 73-74.
7. O'razov, S. S. (2024). KURSANTLARNI JISMONIY SIFATINI RIVOJLANTIRISHDA GIMNASTIKANING ROLI. *INTERNATIONAL SCIENCES, EDUCATION AND NEW LEARNING TECHNOLOGIES*, 1(6), 31-34.
8. Abdurashidovich, A. A., & Tulyaganovich, R. T. (2020, November). TRAINING OF COURSERS OF MILITARY UNIVERSITIES IN HAND-TO-HAND COMBAT OF COURSERS. In *Archive of Conferences* (Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 82-83).
9. Anvarovna, M. N., & Komilovich, A. M. (2025). MANIFESTATION OF WILL-POWERED QUALITIES IN THE ACTIVITY OF A PROFESSIONAL PSYCHOLOGIST. *EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements*, 1(6), 691-698.
10. Mirashirova, N., & Ashurmatov, M. (2024). APPROACHES TO ENSURING MORAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL STABILITY IN FOREIGN ARMIES. *Современные подходы и новые исследования в современной науке*, 3(11), 116-121.
11. Жўраев, А.Т. Маъмурий ҳуқуқ ва процесс: ўқув қўлланма / А.Т. Жўраев. – Тошкент: Фан, 2022. – 320 б.
12. Махкамов, М.Б. Маъмурий ҳуқуқбузарликлар бўйича иш юритишининг