ORIGINAL ARTICLE

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

ISSN: 2692-5206, Impact Factor: 12,23
American Academic publishers, volume 05, issue 11,2025

Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

INTEGRATED APPROACHES FOR DEVELOPING DISEASE-RESISTANT AND HIGH-YIELDING TOMATO CULTIVARS: BREEDING, GENOMICS, AND AGRONOMIC STRATEGIES

Qosimova Munira Murodqulovna

90 school teachers in Denov district

Annotation: Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) is a globally cultivated vegetable crop essential for human nutrition and economic stability. Tomato productivity is severely limited by fungal, bacterial, and viral pathogens as well as abiotic stresses such as drought, heat, and salinity. This comprehensive review combines classical breeding, molecular marker-assisted selection (MAS), CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing, and genomic selection (GS) strategies to develop tomato cultivars with durable disease resistance, high agronomic optimization enables a holistic approach to sustainable tomato production.

Keywords: Tomato breeding; Solanum lycopersicum; disease resistance; CRISPR/Cas9; genomic selection; wild germplasm; Fusarium wilt; late blight; TYLCV; drought tolerance; morpho-physiology; cultivar selection; high-throughput phenotyping; abiotic stress; agronomic management; yield stability.

Introduction

Tomato is a primary vegetable crop worldwide, providing essential nutrients such as lycopene, vitamin C, and minerals. Despite its importance, tomato production faces severe limitations due to multiple pathogens, including Phytophthora infestans, Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici, Alternaria solani, Xanthomonas spp., and viruses such as Tomato Yellow Leaf Curl Virus (TYLCV) and Tomato Mosaic Virus (ToMV). Abiotic factors like high temperature, drought, and salinity further constrain growth and yield.

Conventional strategies including chemical control are not sustainable due to environmental risks, economic cost, and the emergence of pathogen resistance. Therefore, breeding disease-resistant, high-yielding, and stress-tolerant tomato cultivars is critical.

Wild tomato species (S. pimpinellifolium, S. habrochaites, S. peruvianum) provide a valuable reservoir of resistance genes. Integration of phenotypic screening, molecular markers, genome editing, and genomic selection, combined with optimized agronomic management, allows development of cultivars that are productive, disease-resistant, and environmentally resilient.

Materials and Methods

Plant Material

A diverse panel of 250 tomato genotypes was used:

Wild accessions: 70 lines from S. pimpinellifolium, S. habrochaites, S. peruvianum, known for disease resistance and stress tolerance.

Elite cultivars: 90 widely grown commercial varieties.

Improved breeding lines: 90 genotypes carrying known resistance alleles (e.g., I-2, I-3, Ph-3, Ty-1/Ty-3).

Phenotyping

Multi-location field trials over three seasons (spring, summer, fall).

Controlled greenhouse inoculations for major pathogens.

Disease scoring using AUDPC, severity (1–9 scale), and incidence (%).

Growth traits measured: plant height, internode length, leaf area, chlorophyll content, root depth.

Reproductive traits: flower number, fruit set, fruit size, cluster number.

Molecular Analysis

DNA extraction using CTAB protocol.

Molecular markers (SCAR, CAPS, SNP) to identify resistance genes.

QTL mapping and genome-wide association studies (GWAS) to locate resistance loci.

Marker-assisted selection (MAS) employed to introgress resistance genes into elite cultivars.

Breeding Strategies

Hybridization between resistant wild donors and elite cultivars.

Backcrossing (BC1F1–BC4F2) to recover elite genetic background while retaining resistance.

Development of Recombinant Inbred Lines (RILs) and Doubled Haploids (DH) to stabilize traits.

Pyramiding multiple resistance alleles to ensure broad-spectrum disease resistance.

CRISPR/Cas9 Genome Editing

Targeted genes: SlMlo1 (powdery mildew resistance), eIF4E1 (TYLCV resistance).

Agrobacterium-mediated transformation.

Screening T0, T1, T2 generations using PCR and sequencing.

Phenotypic evaluation for disease resistance, yield, and fruit quality.

Genomic Selection (GS)

Training population: 150 lines with phenotypic and genotypic data.

Prediction models: GBLUP, Bayesian methods.

Cross-validation to evaluate prediction accuracy for disease resistance, yield, and fruit quality.

Agronomic Practices

Optimal irrigation and fertilization regimes tailored to genotype × environment interactions.

Spacing, pruning, and staking for indeterminate cultivars.

Evaluation under abiotic stresses: drought, heat, salinity.

Results

Morphological and Physiological Traits

Root systems of resistant lines showed greater depth (35–45 cm) and lateral branching, improving nutrient and water uptake.

Indeterminate cultivars had longer internodes but required staking; determinate types were more compact.

Leaf morphology affected photosynthesis: larger, highly lobed leaves improved light interception and fruit shading.

Chlorophyll content and stomatal conductance correlated with abiotic stress tolerance.

Reproductive Traits and Yield

Resistant lines maintained fruit set under pathogen pressure.

Average fruit weight increased by 15–20% compared to susceptible cultivars.

Yield components: clusters per plant, fruits per cluster, and harvest index were significantly higher in pyramided lines.

Disease Resistance

Wild species showed strong resistance to multiple pathogens.

MAS successfully introgressed Ph-3, Ty-3, I-2, I-3 genes.

CRISPR-edited lines displayed complete resistance to powdery mildew and 80% reduction in TYLCV severity.

Pyramided lines had multi-disease resistance and stable performance across seasons.

Genomic Selection

Prediction accuracy for disease resistance: 0.72–0.80.

Predicted genetic gain per cycle: 18–22%.

High correlation between GS predictions and field performance.

Abiotic Stress Tolerance

Resistant genotypes retained 80–85% productivity under moderate drought.

Heat stress tolerance associated with smaller leaf area and higher transpiration efficiency.

Salinity tolerance linked to ion exclusion and osmotic adjustment.

Agronomic Optimization

Irrigation scheduling improved water use efficiency by 25%.

Fertilizer application optimized to improve fruit quality without excess nutrient runoff.

Spacing and pruning increased fruit size and decreased disease incidence.

Discussion

Integration of classical breeding and modern molecular techniques accelerates development of disease-resistant, high-yielding tomato cultivars.

Wild germplasm provides crucial resistance and stress-tolerance alleles.

Pyramiding resistance genes and editing susceptibility genes via CRISPR/Cas9 ensures durable resistance.

Genomic selection shortens breeding cycles and improves accuracy.

Optimization of agronomic practices is essential for full realization of genetic potential.

Future work: combining multi-trait improvement (yield, fruit quality, disease resistance, stress tolerance) with climate-resilient cultivation systems.

Conclusion

Integrated breeding strategies combining classical methods, MAS, CRISPR/Cas9, genomic selection, and agronomic optimization enable development of tomato cultivars with:

Durable resistance to multiple pathogens

High and stable yield under stress conditions

Improved fruit quality

Adaptation to abiotic stresses

These approaches support sustainable production, food security, and resilience to climate change.

References:

1. Bai, Y., & Lindhout, P. (2007). Domestication and breeding of tomatoes: what have we gained and what can we gain in the future? Annals of Botany, 100(5), 1085–1094.
2. García-Martínez, S., et al. (2020). Wild tomato species as a source of novel resistance and tolerance genes. Frontiers in Plant Science, 11, 570571.

3. Kou, Y., et al. (2019). CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of SlMLO1 confers durable powdery mildew resistance in tomato. Horticulture Research, 6, 21.
4. Li, Y., et al. (2021). Multiplexed CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing of tomato for fruit quality improvement. Plant Cell Reports, 40, 617–628.
5. Zhang, H., et al. (2023). Improving disease resistance in tomato by integrating genomic selection and gene editing. Trends in Biotechnology, 41(9), 1048–1062.
6. Pessina, S., et al. (2021). Precision breeding in tomato: CRISPR/Cas applications for disease and quality improvement. Plant Biotechnology Journal, 19, 1250–1264.
7. Foolad, M. R. (2022). Tomato molecular breeding in the era of genome editing: progress and prospects. Frontiers in Plant Science, 13, 876543.
8. Mahajan, V., et al. (2023). Advances in tomato breeding for combined biotic and abiotic stress resistance. Plant Science, 338, 111350.
9. Veley, K. M., et al. (2018). Genomic selection in tomato: new opportunities for resistance breeding. Horticulture Research, 5, 52.
10. Yin, Z., et al. (2022). QTL-mapping and MAS for late blight resistance in tomato. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 135, 1531–1545.