ORIGINAL ARTICLE

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23

American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 01,2026

Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

ERROR TOLERANCE PEDAGOGY: THE EFFECTS OF DELAYED ERROR CORRECTION ON SPEAKING CONFIDENCE AND FLUENCY IN EFL LEARNERS

Kdirbayeva Sevara Berdimuratovna
Tashkent Pedagogical Technical College, Teacher
E-mail: sevarakdirbayeva@gmail.com
Phone: +998 90 262 74 09

Annotation

This article examines the pedagogical concept of error tolerance with a specific focus on delayed error correction and its effects on speaking confidence and fluency among learners of English as a Foreign Language (EFL). In communicative language teaching, excessive immediate correction has been shown to negatively affect learners' willingness to speak, increase anxiety, and reduce fluency. Drawing on established methodological studies in Uzbek applied linguistics and foreign language pedagogy, this paper analyzes how delayed corrective feedback supports affective factors, promotes uninterrupted speech production, and enhances communicative competence. The study synthesizes findings from classroom-based research, methodological manuals, and empirical observations reported in Uzbek academic sources. The results confirm that delayed error correction contributes positively to learner confidence, oral fluency, and participation, particularly at intermediate proficiency levels.

Key words

error tolerance, delayed error correction, speaking confidence, fluency, EFL pedagogy, communicative competence

Introduction

Speaking is widely recognized as one of the most complex skills in foreign language acquisition, requiring the simultaneous coordination of lexical retrieval, grammatical accuracy, pronunciation, and pragmatic appropriateness. In EFL contexts, where exposure to authentic communication is limited, the classroom becomes the primary environment for oral practice. Uzbek scholars in foreign language methodology emphasize that psychological barriers, especially fear of making mistakes, significantly hinder learners' oral performance [1].

Traditional teacher-centered approaches often prioritize accuracy over fluency, resulting in frequent immediate correction of learners' errors. While such practices aim to prevent fossilization, research in communicative language teaching indicates that constant interruption disrupts speech flow and negatively affects learners' confidence [2]. Error tolerance pedagogy, which allows learners to complete their utterances before feedback is provided, has emerged as an effective alternative.

Delayed error correction does not imply ignoring errors; rather, it involves strategic postponement of corrective feedback until after communicative tasks are completed. Uzbek methodological literature increasingly supports this approach, particularly for developing speaking skills and reducing foreign language anxiety [3]. This article explores the pedagogical value of delayed error correction and its influence on speaking confidence and fluency in EFL learners.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23
American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 01,2026
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

Methodology

The methodological framework of this study is based on qualitative analysis of Uzbek scholarly sources in the fields of foreign language teaching methodology, applied linguistics, and pedagogy. The analysis includes methodological textbooks, peer-reviewed journal articles, and teacher training manuals published in Uzbekistan between 2005 and 2022.

Content analysis was employed to identify recurring pedagogical principles related to error correction, fluency development, and affective factors in speaking instruction. Comparative analysis was used to contrast immediate and delayed error correction approaches as described in the literature. Classroom observation findings reported by Uzbek researchers were also examined to assess practical outcomes of delayed corrective feedback [4].

The study focuses specifically on EFL learners in secondary and higher education settings, where communicative competence is a primary instructional goal. Only sources providing empirical observations, methodological justification, or classroom-based evidence were included.

Results

The reviewed literature consistently indicates that delayed error correction positively affects learners' speaking confidence. Uzbek researchers report that when learners are allowed to speak without interruption, they demonstrate increased willingness to participate in oral activities and longer speech turns [5]. Learners perceive the classroom as a psychologically safe environment, which reduces anxiety associated with making grammatical or pronunciation errors.

In terms of fluency, delayed correction enables uninterrupted speech production, allowing learners to focus on meaning rather than form during communicative tasks. Studies show that learners exposed to delayed feedback produce fewer pauses, hesitations, and self-corrections compared to those subjected to immediate correction [6].

Another important result concerns learner autonomy. When teachers collect errors during speaking activities and address them afterward through collective feedback, learners engage more actively in self-correction and peer correction. Uzbek methodological sources highlight that this approach strengthens metalinguistic awareness without damaging confidence [7].

The findings also indicate that delayed error correction is particularly effective during fluency-focused activities such as discussions, role-plays, and presentations. However, for accuracy-focused drills, immediate correction remains pedagogically justified.

Analysis and Discussion

The pedagogical effectiveness of delayed error correction in EFL speaking instruction can be comprehensively understood through affective, cognitive, methodological, and sociolinguistic perspectives. Uzbek scholars in foreign language pedagogy emphasize that speaking is not merely a linguistic process but also a psychologically sensitive activity strongly influenced by learners' emotional states, classroom atmosphere, and teacher behavior [8]. Consequently, the way errors are addressed during oral production plays a decisive role in shaping learners' confidence, fluency, and long-term communicative competence.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23
American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 01,2026
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

From an affective perspective, fear of negative evaluation has been identified as one of the most significant barriers to oral participation in EFL classrooms. Empirical observations in Uzbek educational settings demonstrate that learners often associate speaking in a foreign language with the risk of public embarrassment, particularly when mistakes are corrected immediately and explicitly in front of peers [8]. Immediate correction during speech interrupts not only the linguistic flow but also the learner's emotional equilibrium, reinforcing anxiety and self-doubt. As a result, learners may shorten their responses, avoid complex structures, or refrain from speaking altogether.

Delayed error correction mitigates these negative emotional reactions by allowing learners to complete their communicative intent without interruption. Uzbek researchers argue that when learners are not stopped mid-utterance, they experience a sense of communicative success, even if their speech contains errors [9]. This perceived success strengthens self-efficacy, which is a crucial factor in sustained language learning motivation. Increased confidence, in turn, leads to higher participation rates and more frequent voluntary contributions during speaking activities.

In communicative language teaching, fluency is regarded as a foundational component of speaking proficiency. Uzbek methodological literature consistently supports the principle that fluency development should precede accuracy refinement, especially at elementary and intermediate levels [9]. Delayed error correction aligns with this principle by prioritizing meaning-focused interaction during speaking tasks. When learners are allowed to express ideas freely, they allocate cognitive resources to message formulation rather than constant self-monitoring for grammatical correctness. This shift in focus facilitates more natural speech patterns, longer utterances, and reduced hesitation.

From a cognitive standpoint, delayed error correction addresses the limitations of working memory during real-time speech production. Research in Uzbek applied linguistics indicates that speaking in a foreign language requires simultaneous processing of lexical selection, grammatical structuring, pronunciation, and discourse organization [10]. Immediate correction introduces an additional cognitive demand, forcing learners to reprocess form while still attempting to convey meaning. This dual focus often overloads working memory, leading to breakdowns in fluency, increased pauses, and fragmented speech.

Delayed feedback reduces this cognitive overload by separating the phases of communication and analysis. During the speaking task, learners concentrate on meaning and interaction; afterward, attention is redirected to linguistic form. Uzbek scholars emphasize that this temporal separation allows learners to process corrective feedback more effectively, as they are no longer under the pressure of real-time communication [10]. Consequently, delayed correction supports deeper cognitive engagement with language forms and promotes longer retention of corrected structures.

The manner in which delayed corrective feedback is delivered is also a critical factor in its effectiveness. Uzbek pedagogical studies highlight that feedback should be neutral, descriptive, and focused on patterns rather than isolated individual mistakes [11]. When teachers collect errors during speaking activities and present them later in anonymized or generalized form, learners are more receptive to correction. This approach reduces defensiveness and fosters a collaborative learning environment where errors are viewed as a natural part of the learning process rather than as personal failures.

Collective feedback sessions following speaking activities have been shown to encourage peer discussion and self-correction. Uzbek researchers note that learners often recognize their own mistakes when they are presented in a group context, leading to increased

ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23
American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 01,2026
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

metalinguistic awareness [11]. This awareness is a key component of language development, as it enables learners to notice gaps between their interlanguage and target language norms. Importantly, this process occurs without the emotional cost associated with immediate public correction.

Another significant dimension in the analysis of delayed error correction is learner autonomy. By postponing correction, teachers implicitly encourage learners to take responsibility for their own language use. Uzbek methodological literature suggests that learners who are not constantly interrupted develop stronger self-monitoring skills over time [9]. They become more attentive to their own output and more willing to revise their speech when given reflective opportunities after communicative tasks. This autonomy contributes to sustainable language development beyond the classroom.

However, Uzbek scholars also emphasize that delayed error correction must be systematic and pedagogically intentional. Completely ignoring errors under the guise of tolerance can lead to fossilization, particularly with frequently repeated grammatical or pronunciation errors [10]. Therefore, delayed correction should not mean absence of correction but rather strategic timing. Teachers are advised to identify recurring errors that impede intelligibility or distort meaning and address them explicitly during feedback stages.

The effectiveness of delayed correction also depends on the type of speaking activity. Uzbek studies distinguish between fluency-oriented and accuracy-oriented tasks [8]. In fluency-oriented activities such as discussions, role-plays, debates, and presentations, delayed correction is considered most appropriate because the primary objective is meaningful communication. In contrast, during controlled practice or form-focused exercises, immediate correction may be pedagogically justified. This task-sensitive approach ensures a balance between communicative freedom and linguistic accuracy.

Sociolinguistic factors further support the use of delayed error correction in EFL contexts. In Uzbek classrooms, where respect for authority and fear of public error are culturally reinforced, immediate correction by the teacher can be perceived as face-threatening [11]. Delayed correction minimizes this threat by preserving learners' social dignity. This cultural sensitivity is essential for creating an inclusive and supportive learning environment conducive to oral interaction.

Moreover, delayed error correction aligns with the broader educational goal of developing communicative competence rather than mere grammatical accuracy. Uzbek pedagogical research underscores that successful communication involves appropriateness, coherence, and strategic competence alongside linguistic correctness [9]. By allowing learners to experiment with language during speaking tasks, delayed correction supports the development of these competencies. Learners become more willing to take risks, use new vocabulary, and attempt complex structures, all of which are essential for progress.

Conclusion

The analysis of Uzbek academic literature confirms that error tolerance pedagogy, particularly delayed error correction, has a positive impact on speaking confidence and fluency in EFL learners. By minimizing interruption during speech, this approach reduces anxiety, encourages participation, and supports continuous oral production. Delayed corrective feedback also promotes learner autonomy and metalinguistic awareness when implemented systematically.

ISSN: 2692-515-x, Impact Factor: 9.23
American Academic publishers, volume 6, issue 01,2026
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

The findings suggest that delayed error correction should be integrated into communicative speaking activities, while immediate correction may be reserved for accuracy-focused tasks. For EFL contexts in Uzbekistan, where learners often experience high levels of speaking anxiety, error tolerance pedagogy represents an effective methodological strategy for improving oral competence.

Future research in Uzbek EFL classrooms may focus on longitudinal studies to examine the long-term effects of delayed correction on grammatical accuracy and communicative competence.

References

1. Jalolov, J.J. *Chet tillarni o‘qitish metodikasi*. Toshkent: O‘qituvchi, 2012, pp. 145–148.
2. Saidova, M.X. *Ingliz tilini o‘qitishda kommunikativ yondashuv*. Toshkent: Fan va texnologiya, 2015, pp. 92–96.
3. Karimova, D.Sh. “Og‘zaki nutqni rivojlantirishda xatolarga munosabat.” *Xorijiy tillarni o‘qitish metodikasi*, 2018, No. 2, pp. 34–38.
4. Rasulova, N.A. *Chet til o‘qitishda interfaol metodlar*. Samarqand: SamDU nashriyoti, 2016, pp. 67–70.
5. Tursunova, G.B. “Talabalarning nutqiy faolligini oshirish omillari.” *Pedagogik ta’lim*, 2019, No. 4, pp. 41–45.
6. Ismoilov, S.K. *Ingliz tilida og‘zaki nutqni shakllantirish*. Toshkent: Universitet, 2014, pp. 103–107.
7. Abdullayeva, M.R. “O‘qituvchi fikr-mulohazalarining ta’limiy ahamiyati.” *Til va adabiyot ta’limi*, 2020, No. 1, pp. 52–56.
8. Norqobilova, L.U. *Chet til o‘rganishda psixologik omillar*. Toshkent: Fan, 2011, pp. 88–91.
9. Jalolov, J.J. *Nutq faoliyati turlari va ularni o‘qitish*. Toshkent: O‘qituvchi, 2008, pp. 120–124.
10. Hamidova, Z.K. “Kognitiv yuklama va chet til o‘rganish.” *Zamonaviy tilshunoslik masalalari*, 2017, No. 3, pp. 29–33.
11. Qodirova, S.M. *Ingliz tili darslarida baholash va fikr bildirish*. Buxoro: BDU nashriyoti, 2021, pp. 61–65.
12. Usmonova, F.T. “Xatolar ustida ishlash strategiyalari.” *Pedagogik innovatsiyalar*, 2022, No. 2, pp. 74–78.