INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES (ISSN: 2693-3500)
VOL.06 ISSUE02 (2026)

Research Article

Digital Transformation as a Socio-Technical Reconfiguration of Business Strategy, Innovation, and Value Creation

Nadia Petrova [1]

[1]Universite de Lausanne, Switzerland

Received: 12Decembe 2025
Revised: 2 January 2026
Accepted: 20 January 2026
Published: 01 February 2026

Abstract

Digital transformation has emerged as one of the most consequential and complex phenomena shaping contemporary organizations, industries, and economies. Far from being a purely technological shift, digital transformation represents a deep socio-technical reconfiguration that alters business strategies, organizational structures, innovation processes, and mechanisms of value creation. Drawing strictly and exclusively on the provided body of scholarly literature, this article develops an integrative and theoretically grounded examination of digital transformation in established organizations. The study synthesizes insights from strategic management, information systems, innovation studies, and operations management to conceptualize digital transformation as an ongoing, multi-layered process rather than a discrete initiative or technological upgrade.

The article begins by situating digital transformation within the historical evolution of digitization and information technology adoption, emphasizing the distinctive characteristics that differentiate contemporary digital transformation from earlier waves of automation and IT-enabled change. Building on foundational contributions, the analysis highlights how digital technologies enable new business models, reshape competitive dynamics, and redefine the boundaries of firms and markets. Particular attention is given to digital business strategy, platform-based competition, data-driven decision-making, and the emergence of ecosystems and open innovation arrangements.

Methodologically, the article adopts a qualitative, theory-synthesizing approach, systematically integrating conceptual frameworks and empirical findings from the reference literature. This approach allows for a nuanced exploration of how organizations navigate tensions between stability and change, control and openness, and efficiency and innovation during digital transformation. The results of this synthesis reveal recurring patterns related to organizational learning, capability development, governance, and human capital challenges. They also underscore the importance of leadership, participatory processes, and cultural adaptation in sustaining transformation efforts over time.

The discussion advances several theoretical contributions. First, it reframes digital transformation as a continuous process of strategic renewal embedded in socio-technical systems. Second, it highlights the interdependence between digital technologies and organizational practices, challenging deterministic and technology-centric narratives. Third, it identifies critical limitations in existing research, including underexplored issues related to ethics, workforce displacement, and long-term value appropriation. The article concludes by outlining directions for future research and offering implications for managers seeking to navigate the complexities of digital transformation in an increasingly interconnected and data-intensive world.

Keywords: Digital transformation, business strategy, innovation, socio-technical systems, digital business models, value creation

INTRODUCTION

Digital transformation has become a central concern for organizations across virtually all sectors of the global economy. While the use of digital technologies in organizations is not new, the scale, scope, and systemic nature of contemporary transformation distinguish it fundamentally from earlier phases of digitization and information technology adoption. Early waves of digitalization primarily focused on automating existing processes, improving efficiency, and supporting managerial decision-making. In contrast, digital transformation involves a profound rethinking of organizational logics, strategic priorities, and value creation mechanisms, often blurring the boundaries between products and services, firms and markets, and producers and consumers (Bharadwaj et al., 2013; Berman, 2012).

The growing prominence of digital transformation reflects the convergence of several technological and socio-economic trends. Advances in data analytics, cloud computing, mobile technologies, social media, and the Internet of Things have dramatically increased the volume, velocity, and variety of data available to organizations (Iansiti & Lakhani, 2014). At the same time, changing customer expectations, intensified global competition, and the rise of platform-based business models have reshaped competitive landscapes, forcing incumbent firms to adapt or risk obsolescence (Eisenmann et al., 2006; Eggers & Park, 2018). These dynamics have elevated digital transformation from a technical concern to a strategic imperative.

Despite its importance, digital transformation remains an ambiguous and contested concept in both academic and practitioner discourse. Some accounts frame it narrowly as the implementation of digital technologies, while others emphasize organizational change, cultural renewal, or business model innovation. Andriole (2017) cautions against simplistic narratives and identifies persistent myths that obscure the complexity of transformation efforts. Similarly, Andal-Ancion et al. (2003) argue that the digital transformation of traditional businesses requires more than technology adoption; it demands fundamental changes in processes, structures, and managerial mindsets.

The academic literature on digital transformation is fragmented across disciplines, including information systems, strategic management, innovation studies, and operations management. While this diversity has generated valuable insights, it has also led to conceptual inconsistency and a lack of integrative frameworks. Bharadwaj et al. (2013) call for a next generation of research on digital business strategy that explicitly recognizes the fusion of IT and business strategy in digitally enabled firms. Foss and Saebi (2017) similarly highlight the need to better understand how digital technologies enable novel forms of business model innovation.

This article seeks to address these challenges by offering a comprehensive, theory-driven synthesis of the digital transformation literature based strictly on the provided references. The central research objective is to conceptualize digital transformation as a socio-technical reconfiguration that reshapes business strategy, innovation processes, and value creation mechanisms. By integrating insights from multiple theoretical perspectives, the article aims to deepen understanding of how organizations navigate the opportunities and tensions associated with digital transformation.

The contribution of this study is threefold. First, it clarifies the conceptual foundations of digital transformation by distinguishing it from related concepts such as digitization and digitalization. Second, it synthesizes diverse strands of research into a coherent analytical framework that highlights the interdependence between technology, organization, and strategy. Third, it identifies key limitations and underexplored areas in the existing literature, thereby suggesting directions for future research.

METHODOLOGY

The methodological approach adopted in this study is qualitative and interpretive, grounded in an extensive synthesis of established academic literature. Rather than collecting primary empirical data, the study systematically analyzes and integrates theoretical arguments, conceptual models, and empirical findings from the provided reference list. This approach is particularly appropriate given the complexity and multi-

dimensionality of digital transformation, which spans technological, organizational, and strategic domains.

The analysis proceeds through an iterative process of close reading, thematic coding, and conceptual integration. Each reference was examined to identify its core arguments, theoretical foundations, and empirical insights related to digital transformation. Particular attention was paid to how different studies conceptualize technology, organizational change, and value creation. Through this process, recurring themes and points of convergence were identified, including digital business strategy, business model innovation, data-driven decision-making, organizational capabilities, and ecosystem dynamics.

The synthesis is informed by a socio-technical perspective, which emphasizes the mutual shaping of technology and social structures. This perspective is evident in studies that highlight the role of organizational culture, leadership, and participatory processes in digital transformation (Hansen et al., 2011; Bierwolf, 2016). It also aligns with research on service logic and value co-creation, which underscores the active role of customers and partners in digitally enabled value creation processes (Grönroos & Voima, 2013).

Importantly, the methodology avoids privileging any single theoretical lens. Instead, it embraces pluralism, recognizing that digital transformation cannot be fully understood through a single disciplinary perspective. By juxtaposing and integrating insights from strategy, information systems, innovation, and operations management, the study aims to provide a holistic and nuanced account of digital transformation.

RESULTS

The synthesis of the literature reveals several interrelated dimensions that collectively define digital transformation in contemporary organizations. These dimensions include strategic reorientation, business model innovation, data and analytics capabilities, organizational learning and adaptation, and ecosystem engagement.

A central finding is that digital transformation fundamentally alters the nature of business strategy. Traditional distinctions between IT strategy and business strategy become increasingly blurred as digital technologies permeate core organizational activities (Bharadwaj et al., 2013). Digital business strategy is characterized by its scope, speed, and scale, enabling organizations to experiment with new offerings, enter adjacent markets, and rapidly reconfigure resources. This strategic fluidity, however, also introduces new risks and uncertainties, particularly for incumbent firms accustomed to stable competitive environments (Eggers & Park, 2018).

Another key result concerns the role of business model innovation. Digital technologies enable organizations to create, deliver, and capture value in fundamentally new ways (Berman, 2012; Foss & Saebi, 2017). Platform-based models, in particular, illustrate how value creation increasingly depends on orchestrating interactions among multiple stakeholders rather than producing goods or services in isolation (Eisenmann et al., 2006). These models challenge traditional notions of firm boundaries and require new governance mechanisms to manage openness, control, and value appropriation.

The literature also underscores the growing importance of data and analytics as a source of competitive advantage. Data-driven decision-making enables organizations to optimize operations, personalize customer experiences, and identify new innovation opportunities (Dremel et al., 2017; Dong & Yang, 2019). However, the effective use of data requires not only technological infrastructure but also organizational capabilities related to data governance, privacy, and ethical use (Bai et al., 2012).

Organizational learning and adaptation emerge as critical enablers of digital transformation. Successful transformation is rarely linear or predictable; it involves experimentation, failure, and continuous learning (Bierwolf, 2016). Participatory processes that engage both IT and business leaders facilitate alignment and foster shared understanding of transformation goals (Hansen et al., 2011). At the same time, digital transformation places new demands on the workforce, raising concerns about skills gaps, talent management, and the risk of automation-induced displacement (Arntz et al., 2017;

Deloitte, 2015).

Finally, the results highlight the increasing significance of ecosystems and open innovation. Digital technologies lower barriers to collaboration and enable organizations to tap into external knowledge sources (Bogers et al., 2018). Open innovation practices allow firms to leverage complementary assets and accelerate innovation, but they also require careful management of intellectual property and competitive dynamics (Greenstein et al., 2013).

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study have several important theoretical and practical implications. Conceptually, they support a view of digital transformation as an ongoing process of socio-technical reconfiguration rather than a finite project. This perspective challenges deterministic narratives that attribute transformation outcomes solely to technology adoption. Instead, it emphasizes the interplay between digital technologies, organizational practices, and strategic intent.

One key implication concerns the role of leadership and governance. Digital transformation requires leaders to navigate paradoxes, such as balancing short-term performance pressures with long-term innovation investments. Governance structures must be flexible enough to support experimentation while maintaining accountability and control. The literature suggests that traditional hierarchical structures may be ill-suited to the speed and complexity of digital transformation, prompting interest in agile and network-based forms of organization (Bondar et al., 2017).

Another important issue relates to value creation and co-creation. Service-dominant logic highlights how digital transformation shifts the locus of value creation from the firm to interactive processes involving customers and partners (Grönroos & Voima, 2013). This shift raises questions about how value is measured, distributed, and appropriated in digital ecosystems. It also underscores the importance of customer experience and engagement as strategic priorities (Hoffman & Novak, 2017).

Despite these insights, the literature exhibits several limitations. Much of the existing research focuses on successful transformation cases, potentially underestimating the prevalence and causes of failure. There is also limited empirical work on the long-term societal implications of digital transformation, including its effects on employment, inequality, and organizational well-being. While studies on automation risk provide valuable insights (Arntz et al., 2017), more research is needed to understand how organizations can balance efficiency gains with social responsibility.

Future research should adopt longitudinal and comparative approaches to capture the dynamic nature of digital transformation. There is also scope for greater integration between micro-level studies of individual and team behavior and macro-level analyses of industry and ecosystem dynamics. Addressing these gaps will require interdisciplinary collaboration and methodological innovation.

CONCLUSION

Digital transformation represents one of the most profound challenges and opportunities facing contemporary organizations. As this article has demonstrated, it is not merely a matter of adopting new technologies but involves a deep reconfiguration of business strategy, organizational capabilities, and value creation mechanisms. By synthesizing insights from a diverse body of literature, the study has highlighted the socio-technical nature of digital transformation and the interdependencies that shape its outcomes.

The analysis underscores that successful digital transformation requires more than technical expertise. It demands strategic vision, organizational learning, participatory governance, and a willingness to engage with external partners and ecosystems. At the same time, it raises important questions about the limits and consequences of digital transformation, particularly with respect to workforce impacts and ethical considerations.

In conclusion, digital transformation should be understood as an ongoing journey rather

than a destination. Organizations that recognize and embrace this complexity are better positioned to navigate uncertainty and create sustainable value in an increasingly digital world.

REFERENCES

1. Andal-Ancion, A., Cartwright, P. A., & Yip, G. S. (2003). The digital transformation of traditional businesses. MIT Sloan Management Review, 44(4), 34–41.
2. Andriole, S. J. (2017). Five myths about digital transformation. MIT Sloan Management Review, 58(3), 20–22.
3. Arntz, M., Gregory, T., & Zierahn, U. (2017). Revisiting the risk of automation. Economics Letters, 159, 157–160.
4. Bai, X., Gopal, R., Nunez, M., & Zhdanov, D. (2012). On the prevention of fraud and privacy exposure in process information flow. INFORMS Journal on Computing, 24(3), 416–432.
5. Berman, S. J. (2012). Digital transformation: Opportunities to create new business models. Strategy and Leadership, 40(2), 16–24.
6. Bharadwaj, A., El Sawy, O. A., Pavlou, P. A., & Venkatraman, N. (2013). Digital business strategy: Toward a next generation of insights. MIS Quarterly, 37(2), 471–482.
7. Bierwolf, R. (2016). Project excellence or failure? Doing is the best kind of learning. IEEE Engineering Management Review, 44(2), 26–32.
8. Bogers, M., Chesbrough, H., & Moedas, C. (2018). Open innovation: Research, practices, and policies. California Management Review, 60(2), 5–16.
9. Bondar, S., Hsu, J. C., Pfouga, A., & Stjepandić, J. (2017). Agile digital transformation of system-of-systems architecture models using Zachman framework. Journal of Industrial Information Integration, 7, 33–43.
10. Bouncken, R. B., Kraus, S., & Roig-Tierno, N. (2021). Knowledge- and innovation-based business models for future growth: Digitalized business models and portfolio considerations. Review of Managerial Science, 15(1), 1–14.
11. Bruskin, S. N., Brezhneva, A. N., Dyakonova, L. P., Kitova, O. V., Savinova, V. M., Danko, T. P., & Sekerin, V. D. (2017). Business performance management models based on the digital corporation's paradigm. European Research Studies Journal, 20(4), 264–274.
12. Deloitte. (2015). The sting in the tale: Are banks attracting the right talent? The Deloitte Talent in Banking Survey 2015.
13. Dong, J. Q., & Wu, W. (2015). Business value of social media technologies: Evidence from online user innovation communities. Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 24(2), 113–127.
14. Dong, J. Q., & Yang, C.-H. (2019). Business value of big data analytics: A systems-theoretic approach and empirical test. Information and Management.
15. Dougherty, D., & Dunne, D. (2012). Digital science and knowledge boundaries in complex innovation. Organization Science, 23(5), 1467–1484.
16. Dremel, C., Wulf, J., Herterich, M. M., Waizmann, J. C., & Brenner, W. (2017). How AUDI AG established big data analytics in its digital transformation. MIS Quarterly Executive, 16(2), 81–100.
17. Eggers, J. P., & Park, K. F. (2018). Incumbent adaptation to technological change: The past, present, and future of research on heterogeneous incumbent response. Academy of Management Annals, 12(1), 357–389.
18. Eisenmann, T. R., Parker, G., & Van Alstyne, M. W. (2006). Strategies for two-sided markets. Harvard Business Review, 84, 92–101.
19. Foss, N. J., & Saebi, T. (2017). Fifteen years of research on business model innovation: How far have we come, and where should we go? Journal of Management, 43(1), 200–227.
20. Gensler, S., Neslin, S. A., & Verhoef, P. C. (2017). The showrooming phenomenon: It's more than just about price. Journal of Interactive Marketing, 38(2), 29–43.
21. Gölzer, P., & Fritzsche, A. (2017). Data-driven operations management: Organisational implications of the digital transformation in industrial practice. Production Planning and Control, 28(16), 1332–1343.
22. Greenstein, S., Lerner, J., & Stern, S. (2013). Digitization, innovation, and copyright: What is the agenda? Strategic Organization, 11(1), 110–121.
23. Grönroos, C., & Voima, P. (2013). Critical service logic: Making sense of value creation and co-creation. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 41(2), 133–150.
24. Hansen, A. M., Kraemmergaard, P., & Mathiassen, L. (2011). Rapid adaptation in digital transformation: A

participatory process for engaging IS and business leaders. MIS Quarterly Executive, 10(4), 175–186.

25. Hansen, R., & Sia, S. K. (2015). Hummel's digital transformation toward omnichannel retailing: Key lessons learned. MIS Quarterly Executive, 14(2), 51–66.

26. Ho, J. C., & Lee, C. S. (2015). A typology of technological change: Technological paradigm theory with validation and generalization from case studies. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 97, 128–139.

27. Hoffman, D. L., & Novak, T. P. (2017). Consumer and object experience in the internet of things: An assemblage theory approach. Journal of Consumer Research, 44(6), 1178–1204.

28. Iansiti, M., & Lakhani, K. R. (2014). Digital ubiquity: How connections, sensors, and data are revolutionizing business. Harvard Business Review, 92(11), 90–99.