

Research Article

Metaheuristic-Driven Intelligent Information Management Framework for Enterprise Decision Optimization

Aiko Tanaka ¹

¹Department of Business Information Management Osaka International University of Technology, Japan



Received: 12 May 2026
Revised: 2 June 2026
Accepted: 20 July 2026
Published: 22 August 2026

Doi:10.55640/ijbms-06-08-01

Page No: 01-09

Copyright: © 2026 Authors retain the copyright of their manuscripts, and all Open Access articles are disseminated under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC-BY), which licenses unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is appropriately cited.

Abstract

Enterprise decision-making increasingly depends on the ability to integrate heterogeneous information, manage uncertainty, optimize resource allocation, and respond rapidly to dynamic operational conditions. Conventional information management approaches often treat information acquisition, decision analysis, and optimization as separate activities, limiting their ability to address complex and uncertain decision environments. This paper proposes a Metaheuristic-Driven Intelligent Information Management Framework for Enterprise Decision Optimization that conceptually integrates fuzzy information representation, dynamic network modeling, adaptive decision mechanisms, and metaheuristic optimization. The framework is theoretically positioned using the supplied literature on fuzzy optimal allocation, dynamic network flow, emergency evacuation decision-making, human behavioral uncertainty, and route optimization. Although the referenced studies primarily address emergency evacuation and transportation-related decision environments, their underlying principles—dynamic optimization, fuzzy constraints, uncertain behavioral responses, collective decision-making, and resource allocation—are transferable to enterprise information management. The proposed methodology consists of information acquisition, uncertainty modeling, decision-network construction, metaheuristic search, adaptive evaluation, and decision-support generation. Analytical findings indicate that combining fuzzy representations with dynamic optimization can improve the robustness of enterprise decisions under uncertain conditions, while metaheuristic search can address large combinatorial decision spaces more flexibly than rigid deterministic approaches. The framework contributes a conceptual bridge between intelligent information management and optimization-driven enterprise decision support, while recognizing limitations associated with computational complexity, parameter sensitivity, and the absence of empirical enterprise datasets. The proposed framework provides a foundation for future simulation-based and real-world validation.

Keywords: Metaheuristic Optimization, Intelligent Information Management, Enterprise Decision-Making, Fuzzy Logic, Dynamic Networks, Decision Optimization, Resource Allocation, Decision Support, Uncertainty Management.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Modern enterprises operate through interconnected information flows involving resources, processes, infrastructure, customers, employees, suppliers, and operational constraints. Consequently, enterprise decision-making is not merely an information retrieval problem; it is an optimization problem in which managers must transform uncertain and dynamically changing information into actionable decisions. Decisions concerning resource allocation, process routing, service capacity, risk response, and operational priorities can involve multiple objectives and constraints simultaneously.

The theoretical foundation for addressing such environments can be derived from dynamic network optimization and fuzzy decision-making. Bozhenyuk et al. demonstrated that flow problems can be formulated in dynamic networks under fuzzy lower and upper flow bounds and transmission costs, illustrating how uncertainty can be incorporated directly into optimization structures (Bozhenyuk et al., 2017). Similarly, fuzzy optimal allocation of service centers demonstrates that uncertain information can be incorporated into resource-location and service-network decisions rather than being treated as an external disturbance (Bozhenyuk et al., 2017).

The relevance of these principles to enterprise information management lies in the structural similarity between physical networks and organizational information networks. An enterprise may be represented as a dynamic network in which information originates from multiple sources, moves through organizational or technological nodes, and ultimately supports decisions. The objective is therefore to determine not only how information should be collected but also how it should be prioritized, processed, allocated, and converted into optimized decisions.

1.2 Problem Statement

A major challenge is that enterprise information is frequently incomplete, uncertain, heterogeneous, and time-dependent. A decision that is optimal under one information state may become inefficient when resource availability, demand, risk, or operational constraints change. Research on emergency evacuation demonstrates the importance of rapid flow control and dynamic decision-making under time-sensitive conditions (Chen and Feng, 2009; Tjandra, 2003). These characteristics are directly relevant to enterprises that must respond rapidly to changing operational circumstances.

Human decision behavior adds another layer of complexity. Kuligowski's work demonstrates that human behavior during fire events cannot always be predicted through simple deterministic assumptions (Kuligowski, 2013, 2016). In enterprise contexts, analogous uncertainty may arise from employee responses, managerial preferences, customer reactions, or supplier behavior. Therefore, an intelligent information management framework should account for both informational and behavioral uncertainty.

1.3 Research Relevance

The proposed Metaheuristic-Driven Intelligent Information Management Framework for Enterprise Decision Optimization is motivated by the need to integrate these dimensions into a unified decision architecture. Rather than considering information management and optimization independently, the framework treats information as an evolving decision resource.

Dynamic collective decision-making under fuzzy conditions provides additional theoretical support for this perspective. Danilchenko and Kureychik developed a model for dynamic collective decisions in emergency evacuation tasks under fuzzy conditions, demonstrating the importance of combining changing conditions, uncertainty, and collective decision processes (Danilchenko and Kureychik, 2022). The proposed enterprise framework extends this conceptual logic from emergency environments to organizational decision systems.

The central conceptual premise of this research is that metaheuristic optimization can function as the decision-search mechanism within an intelligent information management architecture, while fuzzy and dynamic models provide representations of uncertainty and changing operational states.

1.4 Objectives

The objectives of the study are to:

1. Develop a conceptual architecture for metaheuristic-driven enterprise information management.
2. Integrate fuzzy information representation with dynamic decision-network modeling.
3. Define an optimization mechanism for complex enterprise resource and information decisions.
4. Establish a systematic process for converting uncertain information into optimized decisions.
5. Identify theoretical benefits, limitations, and future validation requirements.

1.5 Scope and Significance

The research focuses on conceptual framework development rather than empirical benchmarking. The supplied references are predominantly associated with evacuation, transportation, dynamic flow, fuzzy optimization, and human behavior. Their methodological principles are therefore synthesized rather than their application domains being reproduced literally. This approach is significant because enterprise decision environments similarly contain dynamic flows, capacity limitations, uncertain inputs, and competing decision priorities.

The framework is intended for potential application to resource allocation, operational planning, information prioritization, service-network management, risk-response decisions, and dynamic enterprise coordination. Its conceptual foundation follows the logic of the proposed framework itself: Metaheuristic-Driven Intelligent Information Management Framework for Enterprise Decision Optimization.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The supplied literature provides several interconnected theoretical foundations for intelligent enterprise decision optimization. The first is dynamic network optimization. Tjandra's study of dynamic network optimization for evacuation illustrates how network conditions and movement decisions can be jointly modeled in time-dependent environments (Tjandra, 2003). This is important for enterprise information management because information and resources also move through networks whose capacities and conditions change over time.

Bozhenyuk, Gerasimenko, Kacprzyk, and Rozenberg examined flow tasks in dynamic networks with fuzzy lower and upper flow bounds and transmission costs (Bozhenyuk et al., 2017). Their contribution is particularly relevant because enterprise systems rarely operate with perfectly known constraints. Information-processing capacity, service availability, production capability, and demand may all be represented more realistically through ranges or fuzzy values.

A complementary perspective is provided by fuzzy optimal service-center allocation. Bozhenyuk et al. formulated service-center allocation within sustainable transportation networks using fuzzy optimization principles (Bozhenyuk et al., 2017). The underlying theoretical implication is that uncertainty does not necessarily have to be eliminated before optimization. Instead, uncertainty can be embedded in the optimization model itself.

Gerasimenko and Rozenberg's earliest-arrival dynamic flow model further emphasizes temporal optimization under fuzzy conditions (Gerasimenko and Rozenberg, 2020). The

earliest-arrival principle is relevant to enterprise decision systems where the timing of information or resource availability can influence decision quality. A theoretically optimal decision that arrives too late may have lower practical value than a slightly less optimal decision generated earlier.

Reneke's evacuation decision model provides another perspective by emphasizing structured decision-making for emergency evacuation (Reneke, 2013). The model illustrates the value of converting complex operational circumstances into a formal decision framework. Xie, Ren, and Zhou similarly investigated determination of the best evacuation route in high-rise building fires (Xie et al., 2003), highlighting route selection as an optimization problem involving constraints and alternatives.

Chen and Feng's fast flow-control algorithm emphasizes real-time decision requirements (Chen and Feng, 2009). This is particularly important for intelligent information management because optimization cannot be evaluated exclusively by solution quality. Computational responsiveness is also essential. An enterprise system that identifies an optimal allocation after the decision window has closed does not provide operational value.

Yang, Gao, and Li examined emergency evacuation models and algorithms in buildings with multiple exits (Yang et al., 2011). Their work illustrates the importance of considering alternative pathways and network topology in decision optimization. An analogous enterprise information network may contain multiple processing routes, organizational decision channels, or resource alternatives.

Finally, Kuligowski's studies demonstrate that human behavior introduces uncertainty into emergency decision environments (Kuligowski, 2013, 2016). Danilchenko and Kureychik further integrate collective decision-making and fuzzy conditions (Danilchenko and Kureychik, 2022). Together, these studies establish an important research gap: optimization models can become more realistic when uncertainty, dynamic conditions, and human decision behavior are integrated rather than modeled independently.

The proposed framework addresses this gap conceptually by combining these dimensions with a metaheuristic optimization layer. The intended contribution is therefore not a direct replication of evacuation algorithms but an abstraction of their optimization principles for enterprise information management.

METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a conceptual research design based exclusively on synthesis of the supplied literature. The methodology transforms principles found in dynamic network optimization, fuzzy modeling, resource allocation, real-time flow control, route selection, and collective decision-making into an enterprise-oriented architecture.

The proposed framework is organized into six functional layers:

Information Acquisition → Uncertainty Modeling → Dynamic Decision Network → Metaheuristic Optimization → Decision Evaluation → Enterprise Decision Output

This architecture treats information management as an optimization pipeline rather than as a passive storage and retrieval process.

3.2 Information Acquisition Layer

The first layer collects information from enterprise sources such as operational systems,

production resources, service units, inventories, workflows, customer demand, and managerial inputs. Information is represented according to its temporal relevance and confidence level.

For example, if an enterprise must allocate limited service capacity among several operational units, the framework receives information concerning current demand, available capacity, processing time, and expected future requirements. The information is not assumed to be perfectly known.

This principle is consistent with fuzzy allocation models, where uncertain resource requirements can be incorporated into optimization rather than reduced prematurely to single deterministic values (Bozhenyuk et al., 2017).

3.3 Uncertainty Modeling

The second layer transforms uncertain information into fuzzy or bounded representations. Let an enterprise decision environment be represented as:

$$G(t)=(V,E,C(t),D(t))$$

where V represents decision nodes, E represents relationships or flows, $C(t)$ represents time-dependent capacity, and $D(t)$ represents demand or decision requirements.

For uncertain quantities, a fuzzy representation can be introduced:

$$x \sim (x_L, x_M, x_U)$$

where x_L , x_M , and x_U represent lower, most plausible, and upper estimates.

This approach is theoretically consistent with fuzzy lower and upper flow constraints explored in dynamic network problems (Bozhenyuk et al., 2017). It also corresponds to the broader observation that decision environments may contain uncertainty that cannot be eliminated through conventional deterministic assumptions.

3.4 Dynamic Decision Network

The third layer constructs a network of enterprise decisions. Nodes may represent departments, service centers, operational resources, information-processing units, or decision stages. Edges represent information flows, resource movements, dependencies, or alternative decision pathways.

The dynamic formulation is important because network conditions can change over time. Tjandra's dynamic network optimization and Gerasimenko and Rozenberg's earliest-arrival model demonstrate why temporal dimensions should be incorporated into optimization problems (Tjandra, 2003; Gerasimenko and Rozenberg, 2020).

A hypothetical enterprise example involves allocating customer-service requests across multiple service centers. If one center becomes overloaded, the optimal information-routing structure should dynamically redirect requests toward alternative nodes. The same principle is reflected in multi-exit evacuation optimization, where alternative routes are evaluated according to changing conditions (Yang et al., 2011; Xie et al., 2003).

3.5 Metaheuristic Optimization Layer

The fourth layer constitutes the central component of the proposed framework. A metaheuristic optimizer searches the decision space for high-quality solutions when the number of possible combinations becomes too large for exhaustive enumeration.

A generic objective function can be represented as:

$$F(X)=w_1C(X)+w_2T(X)+w_3R(X)+w_4U(X)$$

where $C(X)$ represents operational cost, $T(X)$ represents decision or processing time, $R(X)$ represents risk or constraint violation, and $U(X)$ represents uncertainty-related loss. The weights $w_1, \dots, w_4$ represent enterprise priorities.

The optimizer iteratively generates candidate solutions, evaluates them, retains high-performing solutions, and explores alternative regions of the decision space. The exact metaheuristic may be selected according to the enterprise problem, such as routing, allocation, scheduling, or network configuration.

This optimization role is conceptually compatible with the dynamic flow and route-selection problems discussed in the supplied literature. The principal extension is that the search mechanism is placed inside an information-management architecture rather than restricted to physical evacuation networks.

3.6 Adaptive Decision Evaluation

The fifth layer evaluates candidate solutions against changing information. A solution should not be regarded as permanently optimal. If new information modifies capacity, demand, or risk, the optimization process should be capable of recalculation.

This principle follows the real-time perspective emphasized by Chen and Feng (2009). Decision responsiveness becomes an optimization criterion in its own right.

Human behavioral uncertainty also requires consideration. Kuligowski's research indicates that human actions during emergencies may differ from idealized deterministic assumptions (Kuligowski, 2013, 2016). By analogy, enterprise decision systems should account for deviations between planned and actual behavior.

3.7 Decision Output

The final layer converts the optimized solution into an actionable enterprise recommendation. Outputs may include recommended resource allocation, preferred information pathway, service-center assignment, priority sequence, or contingency action.

Danilchenko and Kureychik's work on dynamic collective decisions under fuzzy conditions is particularly relevant here because enterprise decisions may involve multiple stakeholders rather than a single decision-maker (Danilchenko and Kureychik, 2022). The framework can therefore rank alternatives while preserving uncertainty information for managerial interpretation.

RESULTS

The conceptual analysis identifies five principal findings concerning the proposed framework.

First, dynamic network modeling provides a suitable structural foundation for enterprise information management. The reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that decisions involving flows, routes, capacities, and alternative pathways are better represented through networks than through isolated decision variables. Tjandra's dynamic network optimization and the flow-based studies of Bozhenyuk et al. demonstrate the importance of incorporating temporal and structural relationships into decision processes (Tjandra, 2003; Bozhenyuk et al., 2017). Within an enterprise, this suggests that information management should represent dependencies among organizational units rather than treating information resources as independent objects.

Second, fuzzy modeling strengthens decision robustness under incomplete information.

Fuzzy lower and upper flow bounds allow optimization systems to work with uncertainty instead of forcing uncertain variables into artificially precise values (Bozhenyuk et al., 2017). This principle is especially important where demand, capacity, processing time, or behavioral responses cannot be known exactly. The proposed framework therefore treats uncertainty as an explicit model component.

Third, optimization quality must be balanced with response time. Chen and Feng's focus on fast flow control demonstrates that computational speed can determine the usefulness of a decision in a rapidly changing environment (Chen and Feng, 2009). Similarly, Gerasimenko and Rozenberg's earliest-arrival perspective emphasizes temporal performance (Gerasimenko and Rozenberg, 2020). For enterprise applications, this means that the best solution is not necessarily the mathematically strongest solution if its computation exceeds the available decision window.

Fourth, alternative pathways improve system resilience. Research on evacuation routes and multiple exits shows the importance of maintaining alternative routes when primary pathways become constrained (Xie et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2011). Translated into enterprise information management, alternative processing channels and resource allocations can reduce dependence on a single operational pathway. Consequently, the framework should generate not only a preferred solution but, where computationally feasible, ranked alternatives.

Fifth, human and collective behavior should be incorporated into intelligent decision systems. Kuligowski's research highlights uncertainty in human responses, while Danilchenko and Kureychik demonstrate collective decision-making under fuzzy conditions (Kuligowski, 2013, 2016; Danilchenko and Kureychik, 2022). The proposed framework therefore treats managerial and human responses as potentially uncertain parameters rather than perfectly predictable variables.

Collectively, these findings support the conceptual architecture of the Metaheuristic-Driven Intelligent Information Management Framework for Enterprise Decision Optimization. Its primary advantage is integration: fuzzy modeling represents uncertainty, dynamic networks represent relationships and temporal changes, and metaheuristic optimization searches the resulting complex decision space. However, these findings remain conceptual because the supplied literature does not provide enterprise-specific experimental datasets. Empirical validation is therefore necessary before claims of quantitative performance improvement can be established.

DISCUSSION

The findings indicate that the proposed framework can theoretically address a major weakness of conventional information management: the separation between information processing and decision optimization. Information becomes strategically valuable only when it can influence an appropriate decision under relevant constraints. The framework therefore positions information management as an active optimization process.

The first theoretical implication concerns the integration of fuzzy and dynamic reasoning. Bozhenyuk et al. demonstrate that dynamic networks can incorporate fuzzy lower and upper flow constraints (Bozhenyuk et al., 2017). This is valuable for enterprise applications because uncertainty and time dependence frequently occur simultaneously. A resource may be uncertain in quantity while its availability also changes over time. Modeling only one of these dimensions produces an incomplete representation.

The second implication concerns optimization under computational constraints. Metaheuristic search can explore complex decision spaces without requiring exhaustive enumeration. Nevertheless, metaheuristics do not guarantee a globally optimal solution in every problem. Their effectiveness depends on search strategy, parameter configuration, initialization, stopping criteria, and objective-function design. Therefore,

the proposed architecture should treat optimization as an adaptive search mechanism rather than as an automatic guarantee of optimality.

The third implication involves human decision behavior. Kuligowski's findings concerning unpredictable human responses indicate that models based solely on physical or mathematical flows may overlook behavioral effects (Kuligowski, 2013, 2016). In enterprise environments, managers and employees can similarly deviate from recommended decisions because of experience, risk perception, workload, or organizational priorities. The framework should consequently support human-in-the-loop decision evaluation.

The literature also reveals an important trade-off between precision and responsiveness. Highly detailed models may represent operational reality more accurately but require greater computational resources. Conversely, simplified models may produce decisions rapidly but potentially sacrifice accuracy. Chen and Feng's emphasis on fast flow control demonstrates why real-time responsiveness must be considered alongside solution quality (Chen and Feng, 2009). This trade-off is central to the proposed architecture.

The framework also extends the conceptual meaning of alternative decision pathways. Research on evacuation routes and multiple exits demonstrates that resilient systems require alternatives when preferred pathways become unavailable (Xie et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2011). Enterprise information systems can adopt the same principle by generating primary and contingency decisions. This could be particularly valuable for service allocation, operational disruption, or resource shortages.

A further contribution is the incorporation of collective decision-making. Danilchenko and Kureychik's fuzzy collective-decision model suggests that uncertainty should not be considered exclusively at the technical system level (Danilchenko and Kureychik, 2022). Enterprise decisions may involve several stakeholders with different preferences. Accordingly, the proposed framework could incorporate stakeholder-weighted objectives or fuzzy preference structures.

Despite these strengths, several limitations remain. The principal limitation is the conceptual nature of the framework. No enterprise dataset was supplied, and therefore no empirical evidence can establish superiority over conventional optimization techniques. A second limitation is that the supplied literature focuses primarily on emergency evacuation and transportation contexts. Although the mathematical principles are transferable, direct enterprise applicability requires domain-specific validation. A third limitation is metaheuristic parameter sensitivity. Poor parameter settings may produce unstable or inefficient search behavior. Finally, fuzzy representations may increase interpretability but can also introduce subjectivity when membership functions or uncertainty ranges are defined.

Thus, the proposed framework should be understood as a theoretically grounded architecture rather than a validated enterprise software solution. Its principal research contribution is the systematic integration of concepts that are frequently studied independently.

Conclusion

This study proposed a Metaheuristic-Driven Intelligent Information Management Framework for Enterprise Decision Optimization based exclusively on the supplied literature. The framework integrates fuzzy uncertainty representation, dynamic network modeling, metaheuristic optimization, adaptive evaluation, and decision-support generation.

The literature demonstrates that dynamic flows, fuzzy constraints, alternative pathways, real-time requirements, and uncertain human behavior are critical characteristics of

complex decision environments. These principles provide a strong theoretical foundation for enterprise information systems in which information, resources, and decisions interact dynamically.

The proposed framework contributes by repositioning information management from a passive information-processing activity toward an optimization-oriented decision architecture. Fuzzy modeling allows uncertainty to be represented explicitly, dynamic networks capture temporal and structural relationships, while metaheuristic optimization provides a flexible mechanism for exploring complex decision spaces. The integration of collective and human decision considerations further improves conceptual realism.

Future research should implement the framework using real enterprise datasets and compare alternative metaheuristic strategies under standardized decision scenarios. Experimental studies should evaluate solution quality, computational time, robustness to uncertainty, scalability, and human acceptance. Simulation-based validation can provide an intermediate step before deployment in operational enterprise environments.

REFERENCES

1. Bozhenyuk A., Belyakov S., Gerasimenko E., Savelyeva M.: Fuzzy Optimal Allocation of Service Centers for Sustainable Transportation Networks Service. In: Kahraman C., Sari İ. (eds) *Intelligence Systems in Environmental Management: Theory and Applications*. Intelligent Systems Reference Library, vol 113. Springer, Cham (2017)
2. Bozhenyuk, A., Gerasimenko, E., Kacprzyk, J., Rozenberg, I.: Flow tasks solving in dynamic networks with fuzzy lower, upper flow bounds and transmission costs. *Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing* 346, pp. 77-159, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (2017).
3. Chen, P., Feng, F., 2009. Fast flow control algorithm for real-time emergency evacuation in large indoor areas, *Fire Safety Journal* 44, p. 732.
4. E. D. Kuligowski. Human behavior in fire. In *The Handbook of Fire Protection Engineering*, pages 2070-2114. Springer, 2016.
5. E. D. Kuligowski. Predicting human behavior during fires. *Fire Technology*, 49(1):101-120, 2013.
6. Gerasimenko, E., Rozenberg, I.: Earliest arrival dynamic flow model for emergency evacuation in fuzzy conditions. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* 734, pp. 1-6 (2020).
7. V.I. Danilchenko and V.M. Kureychik. A Model for Making Dynamic Collective Decisions in Emergency Evacuation Tasks in Fuzzy Conditions / 22nd International Conference on Hybrid Intelligent Systems (HIS 2022).
8. A. Reneke. Evacuation decision model. US Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2013. URL <https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/ir/2013/NIST.IR.7914.pdf>.
9. Tjandra, S., 2003. Dynamic network optimization with application to the evacuation problem. Germany: Technische Universität Kaiserslautern, p. 35.
10. Xie, X., Ren, A., Zhou, X., 2003, Determination of the best evacuation route in high-rise building fire, *Journal of Natural Disasters* 12, p. 75.
11. Yang, J., Gao, Y., Li L., 2011. Emergency evacuation model and algorithm in the building with several exits, *Systems Engineering-Theory & Practice* 31, p. 147.
12. M. Kalal, Y. R. Krishna, B. Jayswal, B. Konduru and V. S. A. Kondru, "Metaheuristic Optimization-Driven Information Management System for Enterprise Intelligence," 2026 IEEE 15th International Conference on Communication Systems and Network Technologies (CSNT), Al-Khobar, Saudi Arabia, 2026, pp. 1417-1423, doi: 10.1109/CSNT69054.2026.11502494.