INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES
(ISSN: 2693-3608)
VOL.06 ISSUE01 (2026)

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER

Research Article

Agency Theory, Institutional Governance, and Community-Based Mangrove Forest Management: Integrating Economic Governance and Socio-Ecological Systems

Dr. Atif Pranowo [1]

[1]Department of Environmental Economics and Policy, Gadjah Mada University, Indonesia

Abstract

Mangrove forests represent one of the most complex socio-ecological systems in the world, simultaneously functioning as ecological buffers, sources of livelihood, and arenas of institutional contestation. Despite their recognized ecological and economic value, mangrove ecosystems continue to experience rapid degradation, particularly in developing coastal regions where property rights are ambiguous and governance arrangements are fragmented. This article develops an integrative theoretical and empirical analysis that bridges agency theory and institutional perspectives with community-based natural resource management in mangrove ecosystems. Drawing strictly on classical agency theory literature and an extensive body of mangrove governance and conservation studies, the paper conceptualizes mangrove management as a multi-layered principal–agent problem embedded within dynamic socio-ecological systems. Through a qualitative synthesis of institutional arrangements, property rights regimes, and participation mechanisms documented across Southeast Asia and other developing regions, the study elucidates how agency costs, information asymmetries, and incentive misalignments shape management outcomes. The results demonstrate that mangrove degradation cannot be adequately explained by ecological pressures alone; rather, it is deeply rooted in institutional failures, conflicting mandates, and weak accountability structures. Conversely, cases of successful mangrove conservation reveal the importance of devolved governance, community participation, and adaptive institutional design that aligns incentives between state agencies, local communities, and resource users. The discussion advances a theoretically grounded framework that integrates agency theory with socio-ecological resilience and common-property governance, highlighting limitations and future research directions. The article contributes to environmental economics, institutional analysis, and natural resource governance by offering a unified analytical lens for understanding and improving mangrove forest management in developing coastal contexts.

Keywords: Agency theory, mangrove governance, community-based management, institutional economics, property rights, socio-ecological systems

check for updates

Received: 12 November 2025
Revised: 2 December 2025
Accepted: 20 December 2025
Published: 01 January 2026

INTRODUCTION

Mangrove forests occupy a unique ecological niche at the interface of terrestrial and marine environments, providing a wide range of ecosystem services that extend far beyond their immediate geographic boundaries. These services include shoreline stabilization, storm protection, carbon sequestration, water quality improvement, and support for fisheries and coastal livelihoods (Ewel et al., 1998; Badola and Hussain, 2005; Duke et al., 2007). Despite their ecological and socio-economic importance, mangrove ecosystems have experienced widespread degradation over the past several decades, particularly in developing countries where population pressure, aquaculture expansion,

logging, and institutional weaknesses converge (Alongi, 2002; FAO, 2007; Giri et al., 2011).

The persistence of mangrove degradation has stimulated a vast literature exploring ecological drivers, valuation of ecosystem services, and community-based rehabilitation strategies. However, less systematic attention has been given to the underlying governance structures and incentive mechanisms that shape human behavior in mangrove management. Many conservation failures can be traced not merely to lack of ecological knowledge but to institutional arrangements that fail to align the interests of policymakers, implementing agencies, and local communities (Brechin et al., 2002; Armitage, 2002). This observation points toward the relevance of economic theories of organization and governance, particularly agency theory, in understanding natural resource management outcomes.

Agency theory, as articulated by Jensen and Meckling (1976) and later refined by Eisenhardt (1989), focuses on relationships in which one party (the principal) delegates authority to another (the agent) to perform tasks on its behalf. The theory emphasizes problems arising from divergent interests, information asymmetry, and incomplete contracts, which generate agency costs in the form of monitoring, bonding, and residual loss. Although originally developed in the context of corporate governance, agency theory has been increasingly applied to public sector governance, environmental policy, and common-pool resource management, where similar delegation problems arise between governments, bureaucracies, and local resource users.

Mangrove forest management in many developing countries can be conceptualized as a complex web of principal–agent relationships. Central governments act as principals delegating management authority to forestry agencies; these agencies, in turn, rely on local officials, concessionaires, or community groups to implement policies on the ground. Local communities often function simultaneously as agents of the state and as principals managing shared resources among themselves. In such settings, unclear property rights, weak enforcement, and limited participation exacerbate agency problems, leading to over-exploitation and ecological decline (Adger and Luttrell, 2000; Galli, 2007).

In Indonesia, for example, mangrove degradation in areas such as Muara Gembong and Ujung Krawang has been linked to overlapping claims, institutional fragmentation, and ineffective coordination between state agencies and local communities (Integrated Team on Muara Gembong/Ujung Krawang, 2005; Suhaeri, 2005). Similar patterns have been observed across Southeast Asia, South Asia, Africa, and Latin America, where centralized management regimes have struggled to achieve sustainable outcomes in the absence of local legitimacy and incentive alignment (Datta et al., 2010; Beitl, 2011; Egbuche et al., 2008).

The literature on community-based natural resource management (CBNRM) and participatory conservation offers an alternative governance paradigm that emphasizes local involvement, shared decision-making, and adaptive institutions (Carson, 1999; Babo and Froehlich, 1998; Chotthong and Aksornkoae, 2006). While numerous studies document positive ecological and social outcomes from community-based mangrove management, others caution against overly romanticized views of participation, highlighting issues of elite capture, internal power asymmetries, and limited capacity (Brown, 2003; Bergquist, 2007). These mixed findings underscore the need for a robust theoretical framework capable of explaining when and why certain institutional arrangements succeed or fail.

This article addresses this gap by integrating agency theory with institutional and socio-ecological perspectives on mangrove management. Rather than treating ecological degradation as an isolated environmental problem, the study conceptualizes it as an outcome of governance systems characterized by multiple principals and agents, competing incentives, and evolving property rights. By synthesizing insights from agency theory and an extensive body of mangrove management research, the article aims to develop a comprehensive analytical framework that can inform more effective and equitable governance strategies.

The central objectives of this study are threefold. First, it seeks to reinterpret mangrove forest management through the lens of agency theory, identifying key principal–agent relationships and sources of agency costs. Second, it examines how different institutional arrangements, particularly community-based and co-management models, mitigate or exacerbate agency problems. Third, it explores the broader theoretical and policy implications of integrating economic governance theories with socio-ecological systems thinking. In doing so, the article contributes to interdisciplinary scholarship at the intersection of environmental economics, institutional analysis, and conservation governance.

METHODOLOGY

The methodological approach adopted in this study is qualitative and integrative, grounded in an extensive theoretical and documentary analysis of the provided references. Rather than employing quantitative models or empirical datasets, the study relies on systematic interpretation and synthesis of established academic literature, policy documents, and case studies related to agency theory and mangrove forest management. This approach is appropriate given the study's objective of developing a comprehensive conceptual framework that bridges economic governance theory and socio-ecological systems.

The first stage of the methodology involves a detailed conceptual analysis of agency theory as articulated by Jensen and Meckling (1976) and Eisenhardt (1989). Core concepts such as principal–agent relationships, information asymmetry, moral hazard, adverse selection, and agency costs are examined in depth. Particular attention is paid to how these concepts have been adapted beyond corporate settings to public sector and environmental governance contexts.

The second stage involves an institutional analysis of mangrove forest management systems, drawing on a wide range of case studies and thematic reviews. The selected references encompass diverse geographic contexts, including Indonesia, India, Thailand, Vietnam, the Philippines, Brazil, Iran, Cambodia, and Africa. These studies provide rich qualitative descriptions of property rights regimes, governance structures, participation mechanisms, and ecological outcomes (Adger and Luttrell, 2000; Armitage, 2002; Datta et al., 2011). The methodology emphasizes comparative interpretation, identifying recurring patterns and divergences across cases.

The third stage integrates agency theory with insights from community-based natural resource management and socio-ecological resilience literature. This involves interpreting community participation, co-management arrangements, and devolved governance as mechanisms for reducing agency costs and aligning incentives. The analysis also considers counter-arguments and documented limitations of participatory approaches, ensuring a balanced and critical perspective.

Throughout the methodology, theoretical triangulation is employed to enhance analytical robustness. Concepts from property rights theory, common-pool resource management, and institutional economics are used to complement agency theory, particularly where agency theory alone may not fully capture the complexity of collective action and ecological dynamics (Adger and Luttrell, 2000; Beitl, 2011). This integrative approach allows for a nuanced understanding of mangrove governance that acknowledges both economic rationality and social embeddedness.

Importantly, the study adheres strictly to the constraint of using only the provided references. No external data sources or additional literature are introduced. All interpretations and arguments are derived from, and supported by, the cited works, ensuring theoretical consistency and methodological transparency.

RESULTS

The integrative analysis yields several interrelated findings concerning the governance of mangrove forests and the applicability of agency theory to socio-ecological systems. These findings are presented descriptively, emphasizing institutional patterns and theoretical implications rather than statistical outcomes.

A central finding is that mangrove forest degradation is strongly associated with high agency costs arising from centralized and hierarchical governance structures. In many cases, national governments retain formal ownership of mangrove forests while delegating management responsibilities to regional forestry agencies or state-owned enterprises, such as Perum Perhutani in Indonesia (Perum Perhutani, 2009; KPH Bogor, 2014). These agencies often lack complete information about local ecological conditions and community practices, leading to information asymmetry between principals and agents. As a result, monitoring and enforcement become costly and ineffective, allowing illegal logging, land conversion, and encroachment to persist (Suhaeri, 2005).

The analysis further reveals that overlapping mandates and fragmented institutional responsibilities exacerbate agency problems. In coastal regions where mangroves intersect with fisheries, aquaculture, and land development, multiple government agencies act as competing principals, issuing conflicting directives to local agents. This institutional complexity dilutes accountability and increases residual loss, as no single actor bears full responsibility for ecological outcomes (Integrated Team on Muara Gembong/Ujung Krawang, 2005; Armitage, 2002).

Conversely, cases of successful mangrove conservation and rehabilitation often correspond with institutional arrangements that reduce agency costs through incentive alignment and information sharing. Community-based management and co-management models emerge as particularly effective in this regard. By devolving management authority to local communities and recognizing customary rights, these models transform local resource users from residual claimants into co-principals with a vested interest in long-term sustainability (Babo and Froehlich, 1998; Chotthong and Aksornkoae, 2006).

Empirical accounts from India's Sundarbans, for example, demonstrate how community-based criteria and indicators can enhance accountability and adaptive management, leading to improved ecological and livelihood outcomes (Datta et al., 2010). Similarly, experiences from Thailand and the Philippines illustrate how participatory rehabilitation initiatives foster local stewardship and reduce monitoring costs for the state (Galli, 2007; Agdalipe, 2003).

Another significant finding is the role of property rights clarity in mitigating agency problems. Where property rights are well-defined and enforced, whether through formal legal recognition or robust customary systems, resource users exhibit greater compliance with conservation rules and invest more in sustainable practices (Adger and Luttrell, 2000; Beitl, 2011). In contrast, ambiguous or contested property rights create moral hazard, as users have little incentive to conserve resources from which they may be excluded in the future.

The analysis also highlights important limitations and risks associated with devolved governance. Community-based arrangements are not immune to agency problems; internal power asymmetries, elite capture, and limited technical capacity can undermine collective action (Brown, 2003; Bergquist, 2007). These issues represent intra-community principal–agent relationships, where community leaders act as agents on behalf of broader membership. Successful cases tend to incorporate mechanisms for transparency, participation, and external support, reducing internal agency costs.

Overall, the results underscore the explanatory power of agency theory in understanding mangrove governance while also revealing the necessity of integrating it with broader institutional and socio-ecological perspectives.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study carry significant theoretical and practical implications for the governance of mangrove forests and, more broadly, for the management of common-pool resources in developing contexts. By framing mangrove management as a constellation of principal–agent relationships, agency theory provides a systematic lens for diagnosing governance failures and identifying leverage points for institutional reform.

From a theoretical standpoint, the application of agency theory to mangrove governance challenges the notion that environmental degradation is primarily a consequence of

population pressure or economic necessity. Instead, it emphasizes the role of incentive structures and information flows in shaping behavior. This perspective aligns with broader critiques of technocratic conservation approaches that prioritize ecological interventions while neglecting governance dynamics (Brechin et al., 2002; Brown, 2003).

However, agency theory alone is insufficient to capture the full complexity of socio-ecological systems. Mangrove ecosystems are characterized by non-linear dynamics, ecological thresholds, and cultural meanings that extend beyond economic rationality (Alongi, 2002; Ewel et al., 1998). The integration of agency theory with institutional and resilience frameworks allows for a more holistic understanding that acknowledges both human agency and ecological constraints.

The discussion also highlights the importance of adaptive institutional design. Successful mangrove management systems tend to be flexible, context-specific, and inclusive, enabling actors to respond to ecological feedback and changing socio-economic conditions (Biswas et al., 2009; Bosire et al., 2008). From an agency perspective, such adaptability reduces information asymmetry and residual loss by fostering continuous learning and trust among principals and agents.

Policy implications are equally significant. Efforts to conserve and rehabilitate mangrove forests should prioritize governance reforms that clarify property rights, devolve authority, and align incentives across scales. Central governments retain a crucial role as meta-principals, setting legal frameworks, providing resources, and ensuring equity, but they must avoid over-centralization that exacerbates agency costs. Co-management arrangements that combine state oversight with community participation offer a promising pathway, provided that mechanisms for accountability and capacity building are in place.

The study acknowledges several limitations inherent in its qualitative and theoretical approach. The reliance on secondary literature limits the ability to assess causal relationships empirically. Additionally, the diversity of case contexts cautions against overly generalized prescriptions. Future research could build on this framework by conducting comparative empirical studies that quantify agency costs and ecological outcomes under different governance regimes.

Further avenues for research include exploring the interaction between market mechanisms, such as payments for ecosystem services, and community-based governance, as well as examining how climate change adaptation reshapes principal–agent relationships in coastal ecosystems (Badola and Hussain, 2008; Duke et al., 2007). Such work would deepen understanding of how economic and institutional innovations can support resilient mangrove socio-ecological systems.

CONCLUSION

This article has advanced an integrative analysis of mangrove forest management by bridging agency theory and institutional perspectives with socio-ecological systems thinking. Drawing strictly on the provided references, it has demonstrated that mangrove degradation is fundamentally a governance problem rooted in misaligned incentives, information asymmetries, and weak institutional arrangements. Agency theory offers a powerful analytical tool for diagnosing these challenges, particularly when extended beyond its traditional corporate domain.

At the same time, the study underscores the limitations of centralized management and the potential of community-based and co-management approaches to reduce agency costs and enhance sustainability. Successful mangrove governance emerges not from uniform solutions but from adaptive, context-specific institutions that align the interests of states, communities, and ecosystems.

By integrating economic governance theory with empirical insights from mangrove conservation literature, the article contributes to a more nuanced and actionable understanding of natural resource management. It calls for continued interdisciplinary research and policy experimentation to design governance systems capable of sustaining both human livelihoods and ecological integrity in coastal regions.

REFERENCES

1. Adger, W.N., Luttrell, C. (2000). The values of wetlands: landscape and institutional perspectives, property rights and the utilization of wetlands. Ecological Economics, 35, 75–89.
2. Agdalipe, L.A. (2003). Designing a devolved mangrove management model in the municipality of Panay, Capiz, Philippines. M.Sc. Thesis, Asian Institute of Technology, Bangkok.
3. Alongi, D.M. (2002). Present state and future of the world's mangrove forests. Environmental Conservation, 29, 331–349.
4. Alongi, D.M., de Carvalho, N.A. (2008). The effect of small-scale logging on stand characteristics and soil biogeochemistry in mangrove forests of Timor Leste. Forest Ecology and Management, 255, 1359–1366.
5. Armitage, D. (2002). Socio-institutional dynamics and the political ecology of mangrove forest conservation in central Sulawesi, Indonesia. Global Environmental Change, 12, 203–217.
6. Babo, N.R., Froehlich, J.W. (1998). Community-based mangrove rehabilitation: A lesson learned from East Sinjai, South Sulawesi, Indonesia. World Bank, Indonesia.
7. Badola, R., Hussain, S.A. (2005). Valuing ecosystems functions: an empirical study on the storm protection function of Bhitarkanika mangrove ecosystem, India. Environmental Conservation, 32, 85–92.
8. Badola, R., Hussain, S.A. (2008). Valuing mangrove ecosystem services: linking nutrient retention function of mangrove forests to enhanced agroecosystem production. Wetlands Ecology and Management, 16, 441–450.
9. Beitl, C.M. (2011). Cockles in custody: the role of common property arrangements in the ecological sustainability of mangrove fisheries on the Ecuadorian coast. International Journal of the Commons, 5, 485–512.
10. Bergquist, D.A. (2007). Sustainability and local people's participation in coastal aquaculture. Environmental Management, 40, 787–802.
11. Biswas, S.R., Mallik, A.U., Choudhury, J.K., Nishat, A. (2009). A unified framework for the restoration of Southeast Asian mangroves. Wetlands Ecology and Management, 17, 365–383.
12. Bosire, J.O., Dahdouh-Guebas, F., Walton, M., Crona, B.I., Lewis, R.R., Field, C., Kairo, J.G., Koedam, N. (2008). Functionality of restored mangroves: a review. Aquatic Botany, 89, 251–259.
13. Brechin, S.R., Wilshusen, P.R., Fortwangler, C.F., West, P.C. (2002). Beyond the square wheel. Society and Natural Resources, 15, 41–64.
14. Brown, K. (2003). Three challenges for a real people-centred conservation. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 12, 89–92.
15. Carson, T. (1999). Community based natural resource management. FAO, Phnom Penh.
16. Chotthong, B., Aksornkoae, S. (2006). Sustainable community-based mangrove rehabilitation in Thailand. Thailand Environment Institute, Bangkok.
17. Datta, D., Chattopadhyay, R.N., Guha, P. (2010). Application of criteria and indicators in community based sustainable mangrove management in the Sunderbans, India. Ocean and Coastal Management, 58, 468–477.
18. Datta, D., Chattopadhyay, R.N., Deb, S. (2011). Prospective livelihood opportunities from the mangroves of the Sunderbans, India. Research Journal of Environmental Sciences, 5, 536–543.
19. Duke, N.C., Ball, M.C., Ellison, J.C. (1998). Factors influencing biodiversity and distributional gradients in mangroves. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 7, 24–47.
20. Duke, N.C., Meynecke, J.O., Dittmann, S., et al. (2007). A world without mangroves? Science, 317, 41–42.
21. Egbuche, C.T., Zhang, J., Ukaga, O. (2008). Community-based natural resources management in Xinhui, Guangdong province, China. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 11, 905–928.
22. Eisenhardt, K.M. (1989). Agency theory: an assessment and review. Academy of Management Review, 14, 57–74.
23. Ewel, K.C., Twilley, R.R., Ong, J.E. (1998). Different kinds of mangrove forests provide different goods and services. Global Ecology and Biogeography Letters, 7, 83–94.
24. FAO. (2007). The World's Mangroves 1980–2005. FAO Forestry Paper 153. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
25. Galli, D. (2007). "Tragedy in common" or "mutual success". Asian Institute of Technology, Bangkok.
26. Giri, C., Ochieng, E., Tieszen, L.L., et al. (2011). Status and distribution of mangrove forests of the world. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 20, 154–159.

27. Integrated Team on Muara Gembong/Ujung Krawang. (2005). Laporan pengkajian lapangan tim terpadu penyelesaian permasalahan kawasan hutan lindung Ujung Krawang (Muara Gembong) Kabupaten Bekasi Provinsi Jawa Barat. Kementerian Kehutanan, Jakarta.

28. Jensen, M.C., Meckling, W.H. (1976). Theory of the firm: managerial behaviour, agency cost, and ownership structure. Journal of Financial Economics, 3, 305–360.

29. KPH Bogor. (2014). Profil Perum Perhutani KPH Bogor. Bogor.

30. Perum Perhutani. (2009). Pedoman pengelolaan sumberdaya hutan bersama masyarakat (PHBM). Jakarta.

31. Suhaeri. (2005). Perubahan institusi untuk mengatasi kerusakan hutan mangrove. Dissertation, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor.