

Research Article

Nanotechnological Innovations in Food Authenticity and Safety: A Critical Review of Advanced Sensing and Analytical Methodologies

Martin Petrov ¹

¹Department of Food Science and Technology, University of Melbourne, Australia

Abstract

The global food supply chain faces escalating challenges regarding product authenticity, safety, and the proliferation of sophisticated adulteration techniques. Traditional analytical methods, while robust, often lack the portability and real-time monitoring capabilities required for contemporary high-volume logistics. This article investigates the transformative role of nanotechnology in addressing these deficiencies. By synthesizing recent literature on nanobiosensors, carbon-based nanomaterials, and spectroscopic techniques, this research articulates the shift toward miniaturized, rapid-detection systems for milk, meat, and processed food products. The discussion encompasses the utilization of noble metal nanoparticles for colorimetric detection, the efficacy of halochromic nanofibers in pH sensing, and the application of surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) for chemical profiling. Furthermore, this study evaluates the integration of "electronic nose" technology and chemometrics in identifying foodborne pathogens and chemical contaminants. Theoretical analysis is applied to the challenges of nanomaterial stability, toxicity, and the necessity for standardized regulatory frameworks. The review concludes that while nanotechnology offers unprecedented sensitivity and agility in food quality assurance, future success depends on the harmonization of sensor miniaturization with robust data analytics to ensure consumer trust and global food security.

Keywords: Nanotechnology, Food Adulteration, Biosensors, Food Safety, Graphene, Analytical Spectroscopy, Chemometrics



Received: 12 February 2026

Revised: 28 February 2026

Accepted: 16 March 2026

Published: 31 March 2026

Copyright: © 2026 Authors retain the copyright of their manuscripts, and all Open Access articles are disseminated under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC-BY), which licenses unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is appropriately cited.

INTRODUCTION

The assurance of food safety and quality is a fundamental pillar of public health and global economic stability. As dietary patterns shift and international trade routes expand, the complexity of verifying the origin, composition, and safety of food products has grown exponentially. Food adulteration—the intentional addition of inferior substances to food products for economic gain—represents a persistent threat to nutritional security, particularly in the developing world where access to high-quality animal-source foods is critical for pediatric development (Neumann et al., 2002). Milk and meat products, in particular, remain frequent targets for fraudulent practices due to their high demand and perishable nature (Afzal et al., 2011).

Historically, the detection of adulterants such as melamine, formaldehyde, and various chemical preservatives relied upon centralized laboratory techniques, including chromatography and mass spectrometry. While these methods provide high degrees of accuracy, they are inherently limited by their time-intensive nature, high operational costs, and the requirement for specialized personnel (Nascimento et al., 2017). The gap between the rapid pace of the modern supply chain and the slow speed of conventional

analysis necessitates a paradigm shift. Nanotechnology, which involves the manipulation of matter at the scale of one to one hundred nanometers, offers a unique opportunity to bridge this divide.

The National Nanotechnology Initiative defines this field by the ability to exploit the unique physical, chemical, and biological properties of materials at the nanoscale (National Nanotechnology Initiative, n.d.). By leveraging these properties—such as increased surface area-to-volume ratios, unique optical responsiveness, and enhanced catalytic potential—researchers are developing a new generation of sensors that can detect contaminants with far greater sensitivity than traditional methods. Despite this potential, the literature reveals a significant gap in the transition from laboratory prototypes to commercially scalable, field-deployable systems. This article provides a comprehensive evaluation of current nanotechnological approaches, analyzing how nanomaterials are being synthesized, functionalized, and integrated into modern food safety workflows.

METHODOLOGY

This research employs a systematic, text-based analytical methodology to evaluate the state of nanotechnological applications in the food industry. Given the objective of maximizing theoretical elaboration and critical synthesis, the study was conducted through a multi-stage review process involving the aggregation, categorization, and comparative analysis of peer-reviewed sources provided in the reference list.

The methodology first involved an exhaustive identification of thematic clusters within the literature. These clusters were categorized into four primary domains: (1) Optical and Colorimetric Sensing, (2) Electrochemical and Electronic Sensing, (3) Spectroscopic Analysis, and (4) Nanomaterial-based Active Packaging and Antimicrobial Application. By isolating these domains, the analysis could focus on the specific physical mechanisms—such as localized surface plasmon resonance in gold nanoparticles or the conductive properties of graphene—that underpin sensing capabilities.

The comparative analysis was performed by synthesizing findings across different food matrices. For instance, the performance of citrate-stabilized gold nanoparticles for the detection of melamine in milk was compared against other biosensing strategies, such as smartphone-based halochromic nanofiber pH sensing (Tripathy et al., 2019; Kumar et al., 2014). This allowed for an evaluation of not just sensitivity, but also portability and user accessibility. Furthermore, the methodology integrated the theoretical principles of chemometrics, where the combination of vibrational spectroscopy and multivariate data analysis was evaluated as a powerful, non-destructive tool for detecting complex adulterants in liquid food products (Windarsih et al., 2021).

The review also accounted for the mechanical and chemical fabrication processes of nanomaterials. Descriptions of vapor-grown carbon nanofibers and the synthesis of ternary graphene-carbon nanofiber structures were processed to understand how the architecture of the sensor material directly influences its sensitivity to volatile organic compounds and pathogens (Tibbetts et al., 2007; Kshetri et al., 2020). By prioritizing this descriptive framework, the methodology ensures a deep exploration of the "why" and "how" behind current sensing successes and limitations, providing a robust narrative that avoids simplistic enumeration and instead offers a critical, research-driven interpretation of the current technical landscape.

RESULTS

The findings of this research indicate a significant evolution in the application of nanomaterials for food safety. One of the most prominent breakthroughs is the use of noble metal nanoparticles, particularly gold and silver, for the colorimetric and electrochemical detection of contaminants. Gold nanoparticles, when citrate-stabilized, serve as highly effective sensors that exhibit dramatic color changes in the presence of adulterants like melamine. This reaction is predicated on the aggregation of nanoparticles, which alters their optical properties due to shifts in localized surface plasmon resonance—a phenomenon that can be detected without the need for expensive instrumentation (Kumar et al., 2014).

Furthermore, the integration of graphene and other carbon-based nanomaterials has proven revolutionary for electrochemical sensing. Graphene's exceptional electrical conductivity and large surface area make it an ideal substrate for immobilizing bioreceptors, such as antibodies or enzymes, that target foodborne pathogens and specific chemical markers (Pan et al., 2019). The research highlights that graphene-based sensors exhibit high sensitivity, enabling the detection of toxicants even in complex matrices where interference is high. Recent studies have demonstrated the utility of graphene-mesh hybrids and Ag-ZnO nanoparticle composites for surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy, allowing for the detection of organic pollutants at extremely low concentrations (Raghavan et al., 2021).

In the domain of milk and meat quality, the results point to the successful development of miniaturized systems. Portable pH sensors utilizing electrospun halochromic nanofibers have shown remarkable accuracy in identifying the subtle chemical shifts associated with milk spoilage or adulteration (Tripathy et al., 2019). Simultaneously, the use of "electronic nose" technology, which employs gas sensors based on metal oxide nanowires, has provided a non-destructive method for detecting formaldehyde in food products. These devices simulate human olfactory perception but operate with analytical precision, identifying specific "thermal fingerprints" that correlate with the degradation of organic matter (Tonezzer et al., 2019; Gu et al., 2019).

The results also emphasize the growing synergy between sensing and packaging. Silver nanoparticles have emerged as the gold standard for antimicrobial applications within food packaging, providing an inherent barrier that prevents the proliferation of bacteria, thus extending shelf life while simultaneously acting as a safety monitor (Bruna et al., 2021). The convergence of these diverse technologies-ranging from quantum-dot-based diagnostics to carbon-dot principles-suggests that the food industry is moving toward a future of integrated, real-time safety verification.

DISCUSSION

The deployment of nanotechnological solutions in food safety is characterized by a dichotomy between laboratory efficacy and industrial implementation. While the results clearly demonstrate that nanomaterials can achieve levels of detection far superior to conventional methods, the transition to the food production floor is hindered by several critical factors. A primary concern is the stability and reproducibility of these sensors. Many of the sensors described, such as those relying on functionalized carbon nanotubes or metal nanoparticles, are susceptible to environmental variables like temperature, humidity, and the presence of interfering compounds within the food matrix (Bülbül et al., 2015).

A critical theoretical implication of current research is the move from "targeted" to "non-targeted" sensing. Targeted methods are excellent for known adulterants, but they fail to account for the ingenuity of modern fraud, where perpetrators introduce novel or unknown substances to bypass screening. Therefore, the discussion must emphasize the role of chemometrics. By applying advanced statistical models to data derived from vibrational spectroscopy or electronic noses, we can identify "deviations from the norm" in food products without needing to know the specific adulterant beforehand. This is an essential evolution in food security, yet it requires a high degree of integration between hardware (the sensors) and software (the analytical algorithms) (Windarsih et al., 2021; Roy & Yadav, 2022).

The toxicity and regulation of nanomaterials constitute a secondary but equally important barrier. The use of silver nanoparticles for antibacterial purposes, while effective, raises questions about the migration of these particles into the human body through food ingestion. While current evidence suggests minimal risk at low concentrations, long-term toxicological studies are lacking. Regulatory bodies are cautious, and the lack of a global, standardized framework for the safety assessment of nano-enabled food packaging creates a chilling effect on industry adoption. We must ensure that the pursuit of food safety does not introduce new food-based hazards,

necessitating rigorous life-cycle assessments of all nano-integrated products.

Furthermore, there is a socio-economic dimension to this research. The potential for these technologies to be used in resource-limited settings is high-as evidenced by the development of smartphone-coupled sensors-but the costs of the initial R&D and the synthesis of high-quality nanomaterials remain prohibitive for many small-scale producers in developing nations. To achieve true global food security, research must focus on low-cost, scalable, and environmentally sustainable synthesis methods, such as green chemistry, to ensure that the benefits of nanotechnology reach those who need them most.

Future scope lies in the "Internet of Things" integration. Imagine a supply chain where every batch of milk or meat is continuously monitored by integrated nanosensors that report data to a blockchain-backed platform. This would provide not just safety but total transparency, effectively eliminating food fraud by design. The limitation remains the power supply and data transmission capabilities of these miniature sensors, which necessitate continued innovation in energy-harvesting materials, such as hybrid supercapacitors (Kshetri et al., 2020).

CONCLUSION

The convergence of nanotechnology and food science has yielded a profound expansion of our capabilities in ensuring safety and authenticity. From the precise colorimetric detection of milk adulterants to the deployment of antimicrobial silver-nanoparticle-embedded packaging, the technology is available to transform how we secure the food supply. This review has synthesized the current state of these innovations, highlighting the efficacy of carbon-based and noble metal-based sensing systems in providing rapid, highly sensitive, and actionable data.

However, the path to a widespread industrial transformation is paved with challenges that extend beyond the laboratory. Future success requires a holistic strategy that combines material innovation with rigorous toxicological evaluation, the development of non-destructive screening methods via chemometrics, and, crucially, the establishment of clear, enforceable international regulations. By addressing these hurdles, the food industry can move toward a more intelligent, responsive, and secure infrastructure. Ultimately, nanotechnology will not merely be an accessory to traditional methods but the foundational element of a modern food safety ecosystem, ensuring that the global population has access to food that is as safe as it is plentiful.

REFERENCES

1. About Nanotechnology | National Nanotechnology Initiative.
2. Afzal, A., Mahmood, M. S., Hussain, I., & Akhtar, M. (2011). Adulteration and microbiological quality of milk (A review). *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*.
3. Agarwal, R., Harini, P., Sri Varshni, J. (2025). New Insights on Nano Biosensors Applications for Chemical and Adulterant in Foods. In: Sillu, D., Bey Hing, G., Akhtar, N. (eds) *Nanobiosensors for the Food Industry*. Smart Nanomaterials Technology. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-95-0136-6_9
4. Alagarasi, A. (2013). Chapter-introduction to nanomaterials.
5. Bruna, T., Maldonado-Bravo, F., Jara, P., & Caro, N. (2021). Silver nanoparticles and their antibacterial applications. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*.
6. Bülbül, G., et al. (2015). Portable nanoparticle-based sensors for food safety assessment. *Sensors*.
7. Esmonde-White, K., Lewis, M., Perilli, T., Della Vedova, T., & Lewis, I. (2022). Raman spectroscopy in analyzing fats and oils in foods. *Spectroscopy*.
8. Graphene nano-mesh-Ag-ZnO hybrid paper for sensitive SERS sensing and self-cleaning of organic pollutants. *Chemical Engineering Journal* (2018).
9. Gu, D. C., et al. (2019). A novel method for rapid quantitative evaluating formaldehyde in squid based on electronic nose. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*.
10. Inbaraj, B. S., et al. (2016). Nanomaterial-based sensors for detection of foodborne bacterial pathogens and toxins as well as pork adulteration in meat products. *Journal of Food and Drug Analysis*.

11. Kshetri, T., et al. (2020). Ternary graphene-carbon nanofibers-carbon nanotubes structure for hybrid supercapacitor. *Chemical Engineering Journal*.
12. Kumar, N., Seth, R., & Kumar, H. (2014). Colorimetric detection of melamine in milk by citrate-stabilized gold nanoparticles. *Analytical Biochemistry*.
13. Lakshminarayana, P., & Kota, S. K. (2016). Screening of adulterants in milk. *International Journal of Current Research and Review*.
14. Li, J., Wang, M., Liu, Q., Zhang, Y., & Peng, Z. (2018). Validation of uplc method on the determination of formaldehyde in smoked meat products. *International Journal of Food Properties*.
15. López-Campos, G., et al. Detection, identification, and analysis of foodborne pathogens.
16. Meng, Y., et al. (2016). A simple preparation of Ag@ graphene nanocomposites for surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy of fluorescent anticancer drug. *Chemical Physics Letters*.
17. Moosavy, M. H., Kordasht, H. K., Khatibi, S. A., & Sohrabi, H. (2019). Assessment of the chemical adulteration and hygienic quality of raw cow milk in the northwest of Iran. *Quality Assurance and Safety of Crops & Foods*.
18. Mustafa, F., et al. Nanotechnology-based approaches for food sensing and packaging applications.
19. Nascimento, C. F., Santos, P. M., Pereira-Filho, E. R., & Rocha, F. R. P. (2017). Recent advances on determination of milk adulterants. *Food Chemistry*.
20. Neumann, C., Harris, D. M., & Rogers, L. M. (2002). Contribution of animal source foods in improving diet quality and function in children in the developing world. *Nutrition Research*.
21. Nikoleli, G.-P. Advanced lipid based biosensors for food analysis.
22. Pan, M., et al. (2019). Carbon-based nanomaterials in sensors for food safety. *Nanomaterials*.
23. Qu, J.-H., et al. (2018). Carbon dots: Principles and their applications in food quality and safety detection. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*.
24. Raghavan, V. S., O'Driscoll, B., Bloor, J. M., Li, B., Katare, P., Sethi, J., Gorthi, S. S., & Jenkins, D. (2021). Emerging graphene-based sensors for the detection of food adulterants and toxicants – a review. *Food Chemistry*.
25. Roy, M., & Yadav, B. K. (2022). Electronic nose for detection of food adulteration: a review. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*.
26. Singh, R., Kumar, N., Mehra, R., Gupta, S., Kumar, H., Amity Institute of Biotechnology, & Amity University Rajasthan Jaipur. (2020b). Nanotechnology-based approaches for detection of food adulterants. In UGC Sponsored National Conference on Food Safety, Nutritional Security and Sustainability.
27. Tibbetts, G. G., et al. (2007). A review of the fabrication and properties of vapor-grown carbon nanofiber/polymer composites. *Composites Science and Technology*.
28. Tonezzer, M., et al. (2019). Predictive gas sensor based on thermal fingerprints from Pt-SnO₂ nanowires. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*.
29. Tripathy, S., Reddy, M. S., Vanjari, S. R. K., et al. (2019). A Step Towards Miniaturized Milk Adulteration Detection System: Smartphone-Based Accurate pH Sensing Using Electrospun Halochromic Nanofibers. *Food Analytical Methods*.
30. Tseng, W., Hsieh, M., Chen, C., Chiu, T., & Tseng, W. (2020). Functionalized gold nanoparticles for sensing of pesticides: A review. *Journal of Food and Drug Analysis*.
31. Windarsih, A., Rohman, A., & Irnawati, S. R. (2021). The combination of vibrational spectroscopy and chemometrics for analysis of milk products adulteration. *International Journal of Food Science*.