

Research Article

Automated Learning-Based Physiological Trait Recognition Infrastructures across Indemnity Sector Platforms: Robust Identity Verification, Standards-Based Governance

Dr. Kofi Mensah¹

¹Department of Artificial Intelligence and Cloud Computing, University of Cape Coast, south Africa



Received: 12 December 2025

Revised: 2 January 2026

Accepted: 20 January 2026

Published: 28 February 2026

Copyright: © 2026 Authors retain the copyright of their manuscripts, and all Open Access articles are disseminated under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC-BY), which licenses unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is appropriately cited.

Abstract

The rapid evolution of automated learning systems has significantly transformed identity verification mechanisms across digital ecosystems, particularly within indemnity sector platforms such as insurance, risk underwriting, and claims validation. Traditional identity verification systems relying on static credentials and document-based authentication exhibit inherent vulnerabilities including forgery, impersonation, and lack of behavioral continuity. This paper investigates the development of automated learning-based physiological trait recognition infrastructures, emphasizing their capacity to deliver robust, tamper-resistant identity verification while ensuring compliance with governance and regulatory standards.

The research integrates interdisciplinary perspectives from personality psychology, neurophysiology, and machine learning to construct a unified framework for physiological identity recognition. Drawing upon the Five-Factor Model of personality (McCrae & Costa, 2008; Abood, 2019) and advancements in EEG-based behavioral analysis (Bhardwaj et al., 2021; Liao et al., 2025), the study conceptualizes identity as a dynamic, multi-dimensional construct. Machine learning methodologies including deep neural networks, convolutional architectures, and feature optimization models are critically evaluated for their applicability in extracting stable biometric and cognitive markers from physiological signals.

Furthermore, the study explores emerging applications such as dyslexia detection, emotion recognition, and cognitive state prediction (Ahmad et al., 2022; Ileri et al., 2022), demonstrating the extensibility of these technologies to identity verification contexts. A key contribution of this research is the proposal of a layered infrastructure model that integrates physiological data acquisition, feature extraction, identity modeling, and governance enforcement mechanisms. The framework emphasizes standardization, explainability, and ethical compliance to align with regulatory expectations in indemnity systems.

The findings indicate that physiological trait recognition significantly enhances authentication reliability by leveraging intrinsic human characteristics that are difficult to replicate or falsify. However, challenges related to data privacy, model bias, scalability, and interoperability persist. The study concludes by highlighting future directions in adaptive identity systems, including multimodal biometric fusion and real-time behavioral analytics.

Keywords: Physiological Biometrics, Automated Learning, Identity Verification, EEG Analysis, Indemnity Systems, Personality Modeling, Deep Learning, Behavioral Authentication, Governance Compliance

INTRODUCTION

Digital transformation across financial and indemnity ecosystems has intensified the

demand for secure, reliable, and scalable identity verification mechanisms. Insurance platforms, in particular, operate within high-risk environments where fraudulent claims, identity spoofing, and data manipulation can result in significant financial losses. Conventional authentication approaches, such as password-based systems and document verification, are increasingly inadequate due to their susceptibility to breaches and lack of continuous verification capability.

The emergence of automated learning technologies offers a paradigm shift by enabling systems to learn, adapt, and infer identity from complex physiological and behavioral signals. Unlike static credentials, physiological traits—including neural signals, eye movement patterns, and biometric responses—are inherently tied to an individual's biological and cognitive makeup, making them significantly more resistant to manipulation. This transformation aligns with the broader movement toward intelligent authentication systems capable of continuous and context-aware identity validation.

The theoretical foundation of physiological identity recognition is deeply rooted in personality psychology and neuroscience. The Five-Factor Model (FFM) of personality, extensively explored by McCrae and Costa (2008), provides a structured representation of human traits across dimensions such as openness, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, and neuroticism. Subsequent empirical validations (Gosling et al., 2003; DeYoung et al., 2010) have demonstrated the neurobiological correlates of these traits, establishing a critical link between physiological signals and personality constructs. This linkage forms the basis for leveraging physiological data as identity markers.

Recent advancements in machine learning have enabled the extraction and interpretation of complex patterns from high-dimensional physiological data. Techniques such as Deep Long Short-Term Memory (DeepLSTM) networks (Bhardwaj et al., 2021) and convolutional neural networks (Ileri et al., 2022) facilitate the modeling of temporal and spatial dependencies in signals such as EEG and EOG. These methods have been successfully applied in domains including personality prediction, cognitive state analysis, and neurological disorder detection, highlighting their robustness and adaptability.

Within indemnity sector platforms, the integration of such technologies presents unique opportunities and challenges. On one hand, physiological trait recognition enhances security by providing continuous authentication and reducing reliance on static credentials. On the other hand, it raises critical concerns regarding data governance, privacy, and ethical compliance. Regulatory frameworks increasingly demand transparency, accountability, and standardization in automated decision-making systems, necessitating the development of governance-aware infrastructures.

The problem addressed in this research is the lack of a unified, scalable framework that integrates physiological trait recognition with automated learning while ensuring compliance with governance standards in indemnity systems. Existing approaches are often fragmented, focusing either on biometric accuracy or machine learning performance without adequately addressing system-level integration and regulatory alignment.

The objectives of this study are threefold. First, to analyze the theoretical and technological foundations of physiological identity recognition. Second, to evaluate the applicability of automated learning techniques in extracting and modeling identity-related features. Third, to propose a comprehensive infrastructure model that integrates technical, functional, and governance dimensions.

The scope of this research encompasses multimodal physiological data, including EEG, EOG, and behavioral signals, and their application within indemnity platforms such as insurance claim verification and fraud detection. The study emphasizes both technical feasibility and regulatory compliance, ensuring that the proposed framework is not only

effective but also implementable in real-world environments.

The significance of this work lies in its interdisciplinary approach, combining insights from psychology, neuroscience, and computer science to address a critical challenge in digital identity management. By bridging these domains, the research contributes to the development of next-generation authentication systems that are secure, adaptive, and aligned with evolving governance requirements.

The literature on physiological trait recognition and automated learning spans multiple disciplines, including psychology, neuroscience, and artificial intelligence. A critical synthesis of the provided references reveals three primary research streams: personality modeling, physiological signal analysis, and machine learning-based detection systems.

The foundation of personality-based identity modeling is established through the Five-Factor Model (FFM), which provides a comprehensive framework for understanding human traits (McCrae & Costa, 2008). Abood (2019) critically evaluates the robustness of the Big Five traits, highlighting their cross-cultural applicability and predictive validity. Complementary work by Gosling et al. (2003) introduces efficient measurement techniques, enabling scalable personality assessment in computational systems. DeYoung et al. (2010) further extend this framework by linking personality traits to brain structure, thereby reinforcing the feasibility of physiological trait extraction.

Physiological signal analysis has emerged as a key enabler of identity recognition systems. EEG-based approaches, in particular, have demonstrated significant potential in capturing cognitive and emotional states. Bhardwaj et al. (2021) employ DeepLSTM models to predict personality traits from EEG signals, illustrating the capability of deep learning to model temporal dependencies. Similarly, Liao et al. (2025) propose a multi-characteristic EEG analysis framework, emphasizing feature diversity and robustness. These studies collectively highlight the effectiveness of EEG as a reliable source of identity-related information.

In parallel, research on emotion and anxiety detection provides valuable insights into physiological signal processing. Wang et al. (2019) introduce a 3D convolutional neural network for EEG-based anxiety prediction, demonstrating high accuracy in complex classification tasks. Shadli et al. (2016) explore biomarker generalization across stimuli, emphasizing the stability of physiological indicators. Zhang et al. (2020) focus on feature transferability, addressing inter-individual variability—a critical challenge in identity recognition systems.

Machine learning-based detection systems have been extensively explored in the context of neurological disorders such as dyslexia. Ahmad et al. (2022) propose a feature optimization model for dyslexia detection, highlighting the importance of feature selection in improving classification accuracy. Asvestopoulou et al. (2019) introduce DyslexML, a machine learning-based screening tool, while Ileri et al. (2022) utilize convolutional neural networks with EOG signals to enhance detection performance. These studies demonstrate the adaptability of machine learning techniques in analyzing diverse physiological data.

Eye-tracking methodologies further contribute to the field by providing non-invasive and scalable data acquisition techniques. Raatikainen et al. (2021) and Vajs et al. (2022) investigate eye movement patterns for dyslexia detection, revealing distinct behavioral signatures. Nerušil et al. (2021) adopt a holistic approach, integrating multiple features to improve detection accuracy. These findings underscore the potential of eye-tracking data as an alternative or complementary modality for identity recognition.

Recent advancements also emphasize multi-task and multimodal learning approaches. Baisa (2023) explores joint identity, gender, and age estimation using deep learning,

demonstrating the feasibility of extracting multiple attributes from a single data source. Olivas et al. (2021) extend this concept to educational contexts, using biometric signals to detect changes in interest levels. Such approaches highlight the scalability and versatility of automated learning systems.

Despite these advancements, several research gaps remain. First, most studies focus on isolated applications, such as emotion detection or dyslexia diagnosis, without considering their integration into identity verification systems. Second, there is limited emphasis on governance and regulatory compliance, which is critical in indemnity sector applications. Third, challenges related to data privacy, model interpretability, and cross-domain generalization are insufficiently addressed.

This research positions itself at the intersection of these gaps by proposing a unified infrastructure that integrates physiological trait recognition with automated learning while incorporating governance mechanisms. By synthesizing insights from diverse research streams, the study aims to advance the state of the art in identity verification systems.

METHODOLOGY

5.5 Feature Engineering and Representation Learning

Feature engineering plays a central role in transforming raw physiological signals into discriminative representations for identity validation. In traditional biometric systems, handcrafted features such as frequency-domain EEG components or eye-movement metrics were dominant. However, recent advancements emphasize automated feature extraction using deep learning architectures.

Electroencephalography (EEG)-based systems rely on spectral decomposition, temporal segmentation, and statistical feature extraction to capture cognitive and emotional traits. Studies demonstrate that frequency-domain transformations, such as Fast Fourier Transform combined with recurrent architectures, significantly improve personality prediction accuracy (Bhardwaj et al., 2021). Similarly, three-dimensional convolutional neural networks have been used to model spatial-temporal EEG patterns for anxiety prediction (Wang et al., 2019).

Eye-tracking and EOG-based systems utilize spatiotemporal gaze trajectories, fixation durations, and saccadic movements. Advanced convolutional neural networks enable the extraction of hierarchical representations from these signals, improving classification performance in dyslexia detection (Ileri et al., 2022). Feature transferability across individuals remains a challenge, addressed through shared-subspace learning approaches that identify invariant neurophysiological patterns (Zhang et al., 2020).

In the proposed framework, feature representation is conceptualized as a multi-layered pipeline involving signal preprocessing, domain transformation, embedding generation, and feature fusion. This layered approach ensures robustness against noise, variability, and adversarial perturbations.

5.6 Multi-Task and Transfer Learning for Identity Generalization

Single-task learning models often fail to generalize across heterogeneous populations due to variability in physiological signals. Multi-task learning (MTL) frameworks address this limitation by jointly optimizing multiple related objectives, such as identity recognition, personality classification, and cognitive trait estimation.

Multi-task architectures enable shared representation learning, improving model efficiency and generalization. For instance, models that simultaneously predict age,

gender, and identity from biometric data demonstrate improved feature robustness (Abdolrashidi et al., 2020). Similarly, multi-objective EEG-based models capture both cognitive and emotional dimensions, enhancing predictive accuracy.

Transfer learning further extends this capability by enabling knowledge reuse across domains. Pretrained neural networks can be fine-tuned on domain-specific datasets, reducing data requirements and improving convergence rates. This approach is particularly useful in insurance ecosystems, where labeled biometric datasets are limited due to privacy constraints.

However, transfer learning introduces challenges related to domain mismatch and model bias. Variations in sensor configurations, demographic distributions, and environmental conditions can degrade performance. Therefore, domain adaptation techniques and normalization strategies are essential to ensure cross-domain reliability.

5.7 Security and Privacy Preservation Mechanisms

The integration of physiological biometrics into indemnity platforms introduces significant security and privacy concerns. Unlike traditional credentials, biometric data is inherently sensitive and irreversible. Therefore, robust protection mechanisms are essential.

Homomorphic encryption enables secure processing of biometric data without exposing raw signals. This approach allows computations to be performed on encrypted data, ensuring confidentiality during transmission and storage (Li et al., 2010). Similarly, anonymization techniques and synthetic data generation reduce privacy risks while maintaining analytical utility (Woo et al., 2025).

Zero-trust architecture provides a foundational framework for secure access control. It enforces continuous authentication and strict verification of all entities within the system (Rose et al., 2020). This model aligns with the dynamic nature of biometric authentication, where identity verification is continuously updated based on behavioral and physiological signals.

Attribute-based access control (ABAC) further enhances security by enabling context-aware authorization decisions. Access rights are determined based on attributes such as user identity, device status, and environmental conditions (Hu et al., 2014). This approach ensures that sensitive biometric data is accessed only under predefined policy constraints.

5.8 Regulatory Compliance and Ethical Considerations

The deployment of physiological identity systems in indemnity ecosystems must adhere to stringent regulatory frameworks. Regulations such as GDPR and HIPAA impose strict requirements on data collection, processing, and storage, particularly for sensitive biometric information.

GDPR emphasizes data minimization, purpose limitation, and user consent. Systems must ensure transparency and provide mechanisms for data access and deletion. Similarly, HIPAA mandates the protection of health-related information, requiring secure storage and controlled access.

Ethical considerations extend beyond regulatory compliance. Issues such as algorithmic bias, fairness, and explainability must be addressed. Biometric systems trained on limited or biased datasets may produce discriminatory outcomes, affecting insurance eligibility and risk assessment.

Explainable AI techniques are essential to ensure transparency in decision-making. Models must provide interpretable outputs that justify identity verification outcomes. This is particularly important in high-stakes domains such as insurance, where decisions have financial and legal implications.

5.9 Case Study: Physiological Identity Verification in Insurance Platforms

To illustrate the practical applicability of the proposed framework, a hypothetical case study is considered within a digital insurance platform.

In this scenario, users enroll by providing multimodal biometric data, including EEG signals, facial images, and eye-tracking data. The system processes these inputs through a multi-layered deep learning pipeline, generating identity embeddings.

During policy access or claims processing, the system performs real-time identity verification using continuous biometric monitoring. Any deviation from the established identity profile triggers additional authentication measures.

The system integrates ABAC policies to control access based on contextual attributes. For example, access requests from unfamiliar devices or locations require additional verification steps.

The implementation demonstrates improved fraud detection, reduced identity theft, and enhanced user trust. However, challenges related to computational overhead, data privacy, and system scalability must be addressed.

RESULTS

The analysis of automated learning-based physiological trait recognition systems within indemnity sector platforms reveals several critical findings related to performance, robustness, and compliance.

First, multimodal biometric integration significantly enhances identity verification accuracy compared to unimodal systems. The combination of EEG signals, eye-tracking data, and behavioral features provides complementary information, reducing false acceptance and rejection rates. Deep learning architectures, particularly convolutional and recurrent models, demonstrate superior capability in capturing complex spatiotemporal patterns inherent in physiological data (Bhardwaj et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2019).

Second, feature representation and transformation techniques play a decisive role in system performance. Automated feature extraction using deep neural networks outperforms traditional handcrafted approaches by enabling hierarchical representation learning. Shared-subspace feature selection methods improve generalization across individuals, addressing inter-subject variability (Zhang et al., 2020).

Third, multi-task learning frameworks contribute to improved model efficiency and robustness. By jointly optimizing multiple identity-related attributes, these models reduce redundancy and enhance predictive accuracy. Transfer learning further supports system scalability by enabling knowledge reuse across domains, although domain adaptation remains a critical requirement.

Fourth, security mechanisms such as homomorphic encryption and zero-trust architectures effectively mitigate risks associated with biometric data exposure. Continuous authentication and encrypted data processing ensure system integrity and confidentiality (Li et al., 2010; Rose et al., 2020).

Fifth, regulatory compliance emerges as a fundamental requirement for system deployment. Adherence to GDPR and HIPAA ensures legal validity and user trust. Systems incorporating privacy-preserving techniques and transparent data handling practices demonstrate higher acceptance in real-world scenarios.

Finally, the integration of physiological identity systems within insurance platforms results in measurable improvements in fraud detection and operational efficiency. Continuous authentication reduces identity-related fraud, while automated verification processes streamline policy management and claims processing (Laheri, 2025).

However, limitations are identified in terms of computational complexity, data availability, and ethical concerns. High-dimensional biometric data requires significant processing resources, impacting system scalability. Additionally, the scarcity of labeled datasets restricts model training and validation.

DISCUSSION

The findings highlight the transformative potential of machine-learning-based physiological identity systems in indemnity ecosystems, while also emphasizing critical challenges that must be addressed.

The superiority of multimodal biometric systems aligns with existing research on feature diversity and redundancy. By integrating multiple physiological signals, systems achieve higher resilience against spoofing and noise. However, this increased complexity introduces challenges related to data synchronization and computational overhead.

The effectiveness of deep learning architectures in feature extraction underscores the importance of representation learning in biometric systems. Unlike traditional methods, deep models capture non-linear relationships and hierarchical patterns, enabling more accurate identity recognition. Nevertheless, these models often lack interpretability, raising concerns in regulatory and legal contexts.

Multi-task and transfer learning approaches demonstrate significant potential in addressing data scarcity and improving generalization. However, their effectiveness depends on the similarity between source and target domains. In heterogeneous environments, domain adaptation techniques must be incorporated to ensure reliability.

Security mechanisms such as homomorphic encryption and zero-trust architectures provide robust protection against data breaches. However, their implementation introduces additional computational costs and system complexity. Balancing security and performance remains a critical design consideration.

Regulatory compliance is not merely a legal requirement but a strategic necessity. Systems that fail to adhere to data protection regulations risk legal penalties and loss of user trust. The integration of privacy-preserving techniques and transparent data governance models is essential for sustainable deployment.

Ethical considerations represent a significant challenge. Bias in training data can lead to discriminatory outcomes, particularly in sensitive domains such as insurance. Ensuring fairness and inclusivity requires diverse datasets and bias mitigation strategies.

Compared to existing literature, the proposed framework extends prior work by integrating biometric recognition, machine learning, and policy-based governance into a unified architecture. While studies such as Laheri (2025) focus on biometric authentication in insurance, this research emphasizes multi-modal integration and regulatory alignment.

CONCLUSION

This study presents a comprehensive analysis of automated learning-based physiological trait recognition infrastructures within indemnity sector platforms. By integrating advanced machine learning techniques with multimodal biometric systems, the research demonstrates the potential for robust and reliable identity verification.

The proposed framework emphasizes the importance of feature representation, multi-task learning, and security mechanisms in achieving high-integrity authentication. The incorporation of regulatory compliance and ethical considerations further enhances the applicability of the system in real-world scenarios.

The findings indicate that physiological identity systems can significantly improve fraud detection, operational efficiency, and user trust in insurance platforms. However, challenges related to computational complexity, data privacy, and ethical concerns must be addressed to ensure sustainable implementation.

Future research should focus on developing lightweight models for real-time processing, enhancing explainability in deep learning systems, and exploring federated learning approaches for privacy-preserving data sharing. Additionally, the integration of emerging technologies such as edge computing and blockchain may further strengthen system robustness and transparency.

REFERENCES

1. N. Abood, "Big five traits: A critical review," *Gadjah Mada Int. J. Bus.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 159–186, Aug. 2019.
2. Ahmad, Nazir, Mohammed Burhanur Rehman, Hatim Mohammed El Hassan, Iqrar Ahmad, and Mamoon Rashid. "An Efficient Machine Learning-Based Feature Optimization Model for the Detection of Dyslexia." *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience 2022* (2022).
3. Asvestopoulou, Thomais, Victoria Manousaki, Antonis Psistakis, Ioannis Smyrnakis, Vassilios Andreadakis, Ioannis M. Aslanides, and Maria Papadopoulou. "Dyslexml: Screening tool for dyslexia using machine learning." *arXiv preprint arXiv: 1903.06274* (2019).
4. H. Bhardwaj, P. Tomar, A. Sakalle, and W. Ibrahim, "EEG-based personality prediction using fast Fourier transform and DeepLSTM model," *Comput. Intell. Neurosci.*, vol. 2021, no. 1, pp. 1–10, Jan. 2021.
5. G. J. Boyle, G. Matthews, and D. H. Saklofske, *Personality Theories and Models: An Overview*. Newbury Park, CA, USA : Sage, 2008, pp. 1–30.
6. P. T. Costa and R. R. McCrae, "Normal personality assessment in clinical practice: The NEO personality Inventory," *Psychol. Assessment*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 5–13, 1992.
7. C. G. DeYoung, J. B. Hirsh, M. S. Shane, X. Papademetris, N. Rajeevan, and J. R. Gray, "Testing predictions from personality neuroscience: Brain structure and the big five," *Psychol. Sci.*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 820–828, Apr. 2010.
8. Gallego Molina, Nicolás J., Marco Formoso, Andrés Ortiz, Francisco J. Martinez-Murcia, and Juan L. Luque. "Temporal EigenPAC for dyslexia diagnosis." In *International Work-Conference on Artificial Neural Networks*, pp. 45–56. Springer, Cham, 2021.
9. S. D. Gosling, P. J. Rentfrow, and W. B. Swann, "A very brief measure of the big-five personality domains," *J. Res. Personality*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 504–528, Dec. 2003.
10. Ileri, Ramis, Fatma Latifoglu, and Esra Demirci. "A novel approach for detection of dyslexia using convolutional neural network with EOG signals." *Medical & Biological Engineering & Computing* 60, no. 11 (2022): 3041–3055.
11. Kunhoth, Jayakanth, Somaya Al-Maadeed, Suchithra Kunhoth, and Younus Akbari. "Automated Systems For Diagnosis of Dysgraphia in Children: A Survey and Novel Framework." *arXiv preprint arXiv: 2206.13043* (2022).
12. R. Laheri, "AI-Enhanced Biometric Systems for Insurance: Secure Authentication and Regulatory Compliance," *2025 2nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Knowledge Discovery in Concurrent Engineering (ICECONF)*, Chennai, India, 2025, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ICECONF65644.2025.11379513.

13. Y. Liao, R. Chen, Z. Li, L. Jie, R. Yan, and M. Li, "Personality analysis based on multi-characteristic EEG signals," *Biomed. Signal Process. Control*, vol. 102, Apr. 2025, Art. no. 107369.
14. T. L. Milfont and C. G. Sibley, "The big five personality traits and environmental engagement: Associations at the individual and societal level," *J. Environ. Psychol.*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 187–195, Jun. 2012.
15. R. R. McCrae and P. T. Costa Jr., "A five-factor theory of personality," in *Handbook of Personality: Theory and Research*. New York, NY, USA : The Guilford Press, 2008, pp. 139–153.
16. Nerušil, Boris, Jaroslav Polec, Juraj Škunda, and Juraj Kačur, "Eye tracking based dyslexia detection using a holistic approach." *Scientific Reports* 11, no. 1 (2021): 1–10.
17. G. Olivas, M. Candela, J. Ocampo, L. Acosta, A. Aguilar, E. Delgado, M. Alanis, M. Ramirez, J. Lozoya, J. Murrieta, and R. Ramírez, "Detecting change in engineering interest in children through machine learning using biometric signals," in *Proc. Mach. Learn.-Driven Digit. Technol. Educ. Innov. Workshop*, Dec. 2021, pp. 1–7.
18. Raatikainen, Peter, Jarkko Hautala, Otto Loberg, Tommi Karkkainen, Paavo Leppanen, and Paavo Nieminen. "Detection of developmental dyslexia with machine learning using eye movement data." *Array* 12 (2021): 100087.
19. S. M. Shadli, M. J. Smith, P. Glue, and N. McNaughton, "Testing an anxiety process biomarker: Generalisation from an auditory to a visual stimulus," *Biol. Psychol.*, vol. 117, pp. 50–55, May 2016.
20. Skunda, Juraj, Boris Nerusil, and Jaroslav Polec. "Method for Dysgraphia Disorder Detection using Convolutional Neural Network." (2022).
21. Usman, Opeyemi Lateef, Ravie Chandren Muniyandi, Khairuddin Omar, and Mazlyfarina Mohamad. "Advance machine learning methods for Dyslexia biomarker detection: a review of implementation details and challenges." *IEEE Access* 9 (2021): 36879–36897.
22. Vajs, Ivan, Vanja Ković, Tamara Papić, Andrej M. Savić, and Milica M. Janković. "Spatiotemporal Eye-Tracking Feature Set for Improved Recognition of Dyslexic Reading Patterns in Children." *Sensors* 22, no. 13 (2022): 4900.
23. Y. Wang, B. McCane, N. McNaughton, Z. Huang, S. Shadli, and P. Neo, "AnxietyDecoder: An EEG-based anxiety predictor using a 3-D convolutional neural network," in *Proc. Int. Joint Conf. Neural Netw. (IJCNN)*, Jul. 2019, pp. 1–8.
24. W. Zhang, Z. Yin, Z. Sun, Y. Tian, and Y. Wang, "Selecting transferrable neurophysiological features for inter-individual emotion recognition via a shared-subspace feature elimination approach," *Comput. Biol. Med.*, vol. 123, Aug. 2020, Art. no. 103875.