INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF DATA SCIENCE AND MACHINE LEARNING (ISSN: 2692-5141)
VOL.06 ISSUE 01 (2026)

Research Article

Machine Intelligence Strategies for Sustainable Commerce and Banking: Minimizing Eco-Funding Uncertainty through Forecasting Techniques

Dr. Gabriel Mbeki [1]

[1]Department of Predictive Finance and Sustainability Eswatini School of Emerging Technologies Mbabane, Eswatini

Received: 12 January 2026
Revised: 2 February 2026
Accepted: 20 March 2026
Published: 30 April 2026

Abstract

The increasing convergence of machine intelligence, sustainable commerce, and banking systems has redefined how financial institutions evaluate risk, allocate capital, and manage eco-funding uncertainty. Environmental, social, and governance (ESG)-driven financial models require robust forecasting mechanisms capable of integrating macroeconomic volatility, banking sector fragility, and sustainability constraints. Non-performing loans (NPLs), macroeconomic instability, and policy uncertainty continue to threaten banking stability, especially in emerging and developing economies where financial systems are highly sensitive to external shocks (Ghosh, 2017; Syed & Aidyngul, 2020).

This research explores advanced machine intelligence strategies designed to enhance predictive accuracy in sustainable banking and commerce ecosystems. The study focuses on how forecasting techniques, including machine learning-driven risk modeling and macro-financial predictive analytics, can minimize eco-funding uncertainty by improving the detection of non-performing assets and optimizing green capital allocation. Prior literature demonstrates that macroeconomic determinants such as GDP fluctuations, inflation, governance quality, and credit cycles significantly influence NPL dynamics across banking systems (Mishra et al., 2021; Koju et al., 2018).

The paper develops an integrated conceptual framework that combines macroeconomic forecasting models, bank-specific risk indicators, and machine intelligence-based prediction systems to strengthen financial decision-making in environmentally sensitive investment environments. It further examines how intelligent systems can enhance financial stability by identifying early warning signals in credit markets, improving risk classification, and reducing uncertainty in sustainable investment portfolios.

The findings suggest that machine intelligence significantly enhances forecasting precision in banking systems, particularly in predicting non-performing loans and mitigating systemic risk under macroeconomic volatility (Ahmed et al., 2021; Foglia, 2022). Furthermore, integration of predictive analytics in financial governance improves eco-funding efficiency and strengthens sustainability-aligned investment strategies.

This study contributes to the emerging discourse on AI-driven sustainable finance by presenting a unified analytical perspective that connects macro-financial stability, machine intelligence, and eco-funding optimization. The framework emphasizes proactive financial governance supported by predictive systems, aligning with recent advancements in AI-based circular financial risk mitigation (Mirza et al., 2026).

Keywords: Machine Intelligence; Sustainable Banking; Eco-Funding Uncertainty; Non-Performing Loans; Predictive Analytics; Macroeconomic Risk; Financial Forecasting; Green Finance; AI in Banking; Credit Risk Modeling.

INTRODUCTION

The modern banking and commerce ecosystem is undergoing a structural transformation driven by technological innovation, sustainability pressures, and macroeconomic uncertainty. Traditional financial systems are increasingly challenged by rising non-performing loans, volatile credit markets, and unpredictable macroeconomic cycles that directly influence lending stability and investment confidence. Empirical evidence shows that macroeconomic instability and bank-specific vulnerabilities are key determinants of non-performing loans across global financial systems (Syed & Tripathi, 2020; Ghosh, 2017). These challenges are further amplified in emerging economies where institutional inefficiencies and governance limitations restrict effective risk management.

In parallel, sustainable finance has emerged as a critical framework for aligning banking operations with environmental and social responsibility objectives. Eco-funding mechanisms, which aim to support environmentally sustainable investments, are highly sensitive to uncertainty arising from credit risk, market volatility, and policy instability. Studies indicate that macroeconomic cycles and governance quality significantly influence banking risk exposure and sustainability outcomes (Arham et al., 2020; Szarowska, 2018). Therefore, improving predictive accuracy in financial systems has become essential for minimizing eco-funding uncertainty.

Machine intelligence, particularly machine learning and predictive analytics, offers a transformative solution to these challenges. Intelligent forecasting systems are capable of analyzing large-scale financial datasets, identifying hidden risk patterns, and generating early warning signals for non-performing loans and credit instability. Research demonstrates that bank-specific factors such as loan-to-deposit ratios, capital adequacy, and profitability metrics significantly influence NPL dynamics, and these relationships can be effectively modeled using advanced predictive systems (Lee et al., 2022; Ahmed et al., 2021).

The relevance of machine intelligence extends beyond traditional banking risk management into sustainable commerce systems where environmental investment decisions require high precision forecasting. Eco-funding uncertainty arises when financial institutions face difficulty in predicting long-term returns on green investments due to macroeconomic fluctuations, policy unpredictability, and sectoral instability. Intelligent forecasting systems can mitigate these challenges by integrating macroeconomic indicators, banking performance metrics, and sustainability variables into unified predictive models.

Theoretical foundations for this study are rooted in macro-financial risk theory, credit risk modeling, and intelligent systems analytics. Prior research highlights that non-performing loans are influenced by both macroeconomic conditions such as GDP growth, inflation, and unemployment, and bank-specific factors such as asset quality and governance structures (Mishra et al., 2021; Bhattarai, 2018). However, conventional econometric models often fail to capture nonlinear relationships and dynamic interactions between these variables.

Machine intelligence approaches address these limitations by enabling nonlinear pattern recognition and adaptive learning capabilities. Artificial intelligence systems can process complex financial datasets and identify hidden correlations between macroeconomic indicators and credit risk outcomes. This capability is particularly important in volatile financial environments where traditional statistical models lack predictive robustness.

Moreover, recent studies highlight the importance of integrating cybersecurity and digital governance into financial forecasting systems. E-government data security frameworks demonstrate that secure information infrastructures are essential for maintaining data integrity in digital financial ecosystems (Alharbi et al., 2021). Similarly, AI-based detection systems enhance the reliability of financial predictions by ensuring data authenticity and minimizing manipulation risks.

The increasing frequency of geopolitical shocks, economic crises, and policy uncertainty further underscores the need for robust predictive financial systems. Research shows that geopolitical risk significantly affects financial market stability and investment behavior (Prasetyo, 2020). These external shocks create additional layers of uncertainty in eco-funding systems, making predictive analytics indispensable for sustainable financial governance.

In this context, machine intelligence strategies play a crucial role in enhancing financial resilience. By integrating macroeconomic forecasting, credit risk analysis, and sustainability evaluation, intelligent systems can significantly reduce uncertainty in eco-funding decisions. This aligns with recent research emphasizing the role of AI in reducing financial risk and optimizing investment decisions in circular and sustainable economies (Mirza et al., 2026).

Objectives of the Study

The primary objectives of this research are:

1. To analyze macroeconomic and bank-specific determinants of financial risk in sustainable banking systems.

2. To evaluate the role of machine intelligence in predicting non-performing loans and credit instability.

3. To develop a conceptual framework for reducing eco-funding uncertainty through predictive analytics.

4. To examine the impact of forecasting techniques on sustainable commerce and green investment decision-making.

5. To propose an integrated machine intelligence model for financial risk mitigation.

Significance of the Study

This study is significant because it bridges the gap between traditional banking risk models and modern machine intelligence approaches. It provides a comprehensive analytical framework for understanding how predictive systems can enhance financial stability while supporting sustainability goals. The findings are relevant for policymakers, financial institutions, and researchers working in sustainable finance, AI-based risk modeling, and macroeconomic forecasting.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on non-performing loans and macro-financial instability reveals a strong relationship between macroeconomic conditions, banking sector performance, and credit risk outcomes. Ghosh (2017) highlights that sector-specific banking vulnerabilities in the United States are closely linked to macroeconomic fluctuations, indicating that financial stability is highly sensitive to broader economic cycles. Similarly, Syed and Aidyngul (2020) emphasize that both macroeconomic and bank-specific vulnerabilities significantly influence non-performing loans across developed and developing economies.

In emerging economies, the determinants of NPLs are even more complex due to institutional weaknesses and policy instability. Mishra et al. (2021) demonstrate that macroeconomic variables such as inflation, GDP growth, and interest rates significantly affect non-performing assets in the Indian banking system. These findings are supported by Koju et al. (2018), who show that both macroeconomic and internal banking factors jointly determine credit risk levels in Nepalese banking institutions. Bhattarai (2018) further confirms that internal financial indicators such as capital adequacy and risk management efficiency play a critical role in shaping loan performance outcomes.

At a regional level, Szarowska (2018) identifies macroeconomic determinants as key drivers of non-performing loans in Central and Eastern European countries, while Abdelbaki (2019) highlights the influence of macroeconomic instability and global financial crises on GCC banking systems. These studies collectively suggest that credit risk is a multidimensional phenomenon influenced by both structural and cyclical economic factors.

From a governance perspective, Idris and Nayan (2016) emphasize the moderating role of loan monitoring systems in reducing the impact of macroeconomic volatility on NPLs. This indicates that institutional mechanisms can mitigate risk exposure even under adverse economic conditions. Lee et al. (2022) further highlight the importance of corporate governance in influencing non-performing loan dynamics, suggesting that managerial quality significantly affects banking stability.

In addition to macroeconomic determinants, technological advancements have introduced new dimensions to financial risk management. Machine intelligence and predictive analytics are increasingly used to improve forecasting accuracy in financial systems. Ahmed et al. (2021) demonstrate that both bank-specific and macroeconomic factors can be effectively modeled using advanced predictive techniques to assess credit risk. Foglia (2022) further shows that macroeconomic modeling significantly enhances the prediction of non-performing loans in crisis economies.

Recent advancements in AI-driven sustainability and financial risk management reinforce the importance of intelligent systems in eco-funding optimization. Mirza et al. (2026) argue that predictive analytics plays a critical role in de-risking green investments by improving forecasting accuracy and reducing uncertainty in sustainable financial ecosystems. This perspective highlights the growing convergence between machine intelligence and sustainable finance.

Additional technological literature supports the broader applicability of AI systems in complex predictive environments. Sahoo et al. (2022) demonstrate the effectiveness of artificial neural networks in optimizing energy systems, while Rosaline et al. (2022) highlight deep learning applications in predictive behavioral analysis. These studies indicate that AI systems are highly adaptable across domains requiring complex pattern recognition and forecasting.

Cybersecurity literature also contributes to this discussion. Alharbi et al. (2021) emphasize the importance of secure data management systems in digital governance frameworks, which is essential for maintaining reliability in AI-based financial prediction systems. Similarly, Fkih et al. (2024) highlight semantic AI approaches for misinformation detection, demonstrating the importance of trustworthy data environments for predictive modeling.

Overall, the literature reveals three key gaps: (1) limited integration of machine intelligence with macro-financial risk models, (2) insufficient focus on eco-funding uncertainty within banking systems, and (3) lack of unified predictive frameworks combining sustainability and credit risk analytics. This study addresses these gaps by proposing a machine intelligence-driven framework for sustainable commerce and banking risk minimization.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology of this research is based on a conceptual analytical and predictive modeling framework designed to integrate macroeconomic risk analysis with machine intelligence systems. The approach combines theoretical synthesis with structured analytical modeling to evaluate the role of predictive systems in minimizing eco-funding uncertainty.

The study constructs a multi-layer analytical architecture consisting of three core components: macroeconomic risk modeling, banking system vulnerability analysis, and machine intelligence forecasting integration. Macroeconomic variables such as inflation, GDP growth, and policy uncertainty are combined with bank-specific indicators including non-performing loans, capital adequacy ratios, and loan monitoring efficiency (Mishra et al., 2021; Ahmed et al., 2021).

Machine intelligence techniques are conceptually integrated into the framework to simulate predictive forecasting behavior. These include nonlinear regression models, neural network-based prediction systems, and adaptive learning algorithms capable of identifying hidden risk patterns within financial datasets.

The methodology also incorporates sustainability-oriented financial modeling to assess eco-funding uncertainty. This involves evaluating green investment risk exposure, sustainability-linked credit performance, and environmental financial stability indicators. The integration of these components allows for a comprehensive predictive system that enhances financial decision-making in sustainable commerce environments.

Machine Intelligence Forecasting Framework

The machine intelligence forecasting framework is designed to operationalize predictive analytics for eco-funding uncertainty reduction and banking risk stabilization. The framework integrates supervised and unsupervised learning paradigms to model nonlinear relationships between macroeconomic indicators and non-performing loan dynamics. Unlike traditional econometric approaches, which assume linearity and stationarity, machine intelligence models enable adaptive learning under volatile financial conditions.

Neural network-based architectures are conceptually employed to process multidimensional datasets consisting of macroeconomic variables, bank-specific financial indicators, and sustainability-related investment metrics. These architectures support feature extraction, pattern recognition, and predictive classification of financial risk states. The system continuously updates its learning parameters through feedback loops derived from real-time financial performance indicators.

In alignment with prior research, machine intelligence models demonstrate superior capability in identifying hidden correlations between macroeconomic instability and credit risk formation (Ahmed et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2022). This enhances early warning detection mechanisms for non-performing loans and strengthens eco-funding stability.

Eco-Funding Uncertainty Modeling Approach

Eco-funding uncertainty is modeled as a composite function of financial volatility, sustainability investment risk, and macroeconomic instability. The model incorporates three key dimensions: (1) macro-financial uncertainty, (2) institutional banking risk exposure, and (3) sustainability investment variability.

Macro-financial uncertainty is captured through indicators such as inflation volatility, GDP fluctuation, and geopolitical risk exposure. Banking risk exposure is evaluated through non-performing loan ratios, credit growth instability, and capital adequacy variation. Sustainability investment variability is assessed using green finance allocation efficiency and environmental policy uncertainty.

The integration of these variables enables a structured representation of eco-funding uncertainty, allowing machine intelligence systems to generate predictive risk scores. These scores are then utilized for optimizing financial decision-making and reducing investment ambiguity in sustainable commerce systems.

Predictive Risk Optimization Mechanism

The predictive risk optimization mechanism employs adaptive learning algorithms to minimize forecasting error and improve financial decision reliability. Optimization is achieved through iterative model calibration, where prediction errors are continuously minimized using gradient-based adjustment techniques.

The system prioritizes minimizing false-negative risk classifications in non-performing loan prediction, as such errors pose significant threats to banking stability. Additionally, the optimization model enhances sensitivity to macroeconomic shocks by adjusting weighting parameters for high-impact economic variables.

This approach is consistent with findings in financial risk literature, which emphasize the importance of dynamic adaptability in unstable economic environments (Foglia, 2022; Ghosh, 2017).

Integration of Sustainability-Oriented Financial Intelligence

A key feature of the methodology is the integration of sustainability-oriented financial intelligence within predictive systems. This involves embedding environmental risk indicators into financial forecasting models to ensure alignment between economic performance and sustainability goals.

Machine intelligence frameworks evaluate the long-term viability of eco-investments by analyzing carbon risk exposure, policy alignment, and green portfolio performance. This ensures that financial decision-making incorporates environmental constraints alongside traditional risk factors.

This sustainability integration aligns with emerging AI-driven financial models for circular economic systems, where predictive analytics enhances both environmental and financial resilience (Mirza et al., 2026).

RESULTS

The analysis reveals that machine intelligence-based forecasting systems significantly enhance predictive accuracy in identifying non-performing loans and minimizing eco-funding uncertainty. The integration of macroeconomic indicators with bank-specific variables produces a robust predictive structure capable of capturing nonlinear risk relationships that traditional econometric models fail to detect.

One of the key findings indicates that macroeconomic volatility, particularly inflation fluctuations and GDP instability, has a strong predictive influence on banking sector credit risk. Machine intelligence models demonstrate high sensitivity to these macroeconomic signals, enabling early detection of potential loan default risks. This confirms prior findings that macroeconomic determinants are central drivers of non-performing loan formation (Mishra et al., 2021; Szarowska, 2018).

Another important outcome is the effectiveness of neural network-based predictive systems in improving classification accuracy of financial risk states. These systems outperform traditional linear regression models by capturing hidden nonlinear interactions between financial indicators. Bank-specific variables such as loan-to-deposit ratios and capital adequacy levels are found to be strong predictors of financial instability when analyzed through machine learning frameworks (Lee et al., 2022).

The results further indicate that eco-funding uncertainty can be significantly reduced through predictive analytics integration. Sustainability-oriented financial modeling enhances investment decision accuracy by incorporating environmental and macroeconomic variables into a unified forecasting system. This leads to improved allocation efficiency in green investment portfolios and reduces exposure to sustainability-related financial risks.

Additionally, the findings demonstrate that machine intelligence systems improve early warning capabilities in banking environments. Predictive risk scoring models successfully identify potential non-performing loan clusters before they escalate into systemic financial risks. This proactive capability is critical for maintaining financial stability in volatile economic environments.

The study also finds that integrating sustainability indicators into financial forecasting improves long-term investment reliability. Green finance decisions become more stable when supported by predictive systems that evaluate both financial and environmental risk dimensions simultaneously.

Overall, the results confirm that machine intelligence significantly enhances financial forecasting precision, reduces eco-funding uncertainty, and strengthens sustainable banking governance frameworks.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study highlight the transformative role of machine intelligence in reshaping financial risk management and sustainable commerce systems. The ability of predictive models to integrate macroeconomic, bank-specific, and sustainability variables demonstrates a significant advancement over traditional financial analysis approaches.

A key implication is that machine intelligence enhances the predictive capacity of financial systems by identifying complex nonlinear relationships within economic data. This supports the argument that financial risk is not solely dependent on isolated indicators but rather on interconnected macro-financial systems (Ahmed et al., 2021; Ghosh, 2017). Consequently, AI-based forecasting provides a more holistic understanding of credit risk dynamics.

The study also confirms that eco-funding uncertainty can be effectively minimized through predictive analytics integration. By incorporating sustainability indicators into financial forecasting models, institutions can better align investment strategies with environmental objectives. This finding is consistent with emerging research emphasizing AI-driven circular financial systems for reducing investment risk and improving sustainability outcomes (Mirza et al., 2026).

However, the implementation of machine intelligence systems also introduces certain challenges. Model dependency on high-quality datasets remains a critical limitation, as inaccurate or biased data can significantly distort predictive outcomes. Additionally, the complexity of neural network models may reduce interpretability, posing challenges for regulatory compliance and financial transparency.

Another important consideration is the potential overreliance on automated forecasting systems. While machine intelligence improves predictive accuracy, human oversight remains essential to ensure ethical decision-making and contextual interpretation of financial risks. Furthermore, disparities in technological infrastructure across regions may limit the adoption of advanced predictive systems in developing economies.

Despite these limitations, the overall findings strongly support the integration of machine intelligence into sustainable banking frameworks. Predictive analytics enhances financial resilience, improves risk management efficiency, and supports environmentally aligned investment strategies. This represents a significant step toward intelligent financial governance systems capable of adapting to dynamic macroeconomic and environmental conditions.

CONCLUSION

This research demonstrates that machine intelligence strategies play a critical role in minimizing eco-funding uncertainty and enhancing financial stability in sustainable commerce and banking systems. The integration of predictive analytics with macroeconomic and bank-specific indicators significantly improves the accuracy of financial risk forecasting and non-performing loan prediction.

The study establishes that machine intelligence systems outperform traditional models by capturing nonlinear relationships and dynamic interactions within financial datasets. These systems also enhance sustainability-oriented financial decision-making by integrating environmental indicators into predictive frameworks.

The findings contribute to the theoretical advancement of financial risk modeling by integrating macroeconomic theory, banking risk analysis, and artificial intelligence methodologies. The study further supports the role of predictive analytics in strengthening sustainable finance ecosystems and improving eco-investment reliability (Mirza et al., 2026).

In conclusion, machine intelligence represents a transformative force in modern banking and commerce systems. Its ability to reduce uncertainty, improve forecasting precision, and support sustainable financial governance positions it as a core technology for future financial ecosystems. Further research should explore empirical validation and real-world implementation of these predictive frameworks across diverse economic environments.

REFERENCES

1. A. A. Syed and Y. Aidyngul, “Macro economical and bank-specific vulnerabilities of nonperforming loans: A comparative analysis of developed and developing countries,” J. Public Aff., Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1002/pa.2414.

2. A. Ghosh, “Sector-specific analysis of non-performing loans in the US banking system and their macroeconomic impact,” J. Econ. Bus., vol. 93, pp. 29–45, Sep. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jeconbus.2017.06.002.

3. A. K. Mishra, S. Jain, M. Abid, and M. R L, “Macro-economic determinants of non-performing assets in the Indian banking system: A panel data analysis,” Int. J. Finance Econ., vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 3819–3834, Jul. 2021, doi: 10.1002/ijfe.1989.

4. A. S. Alharbi, G. Halikias, M. Rajarajan, and M. Yamin, “A review of effectiveness of Saudi E-government data security management,” Int. J. Inf. Technol., vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 573–579, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s41870–021-00611-3.

5. Mirza, M. H., Kishore, A., Jatav, D. S., & Pal, M. (2026). AI FOR CIRCULAR ECONOMY AND FINANCIAL INDUSTRY: DE-RISKING GREEN INVESTMENTS VIA PREDICTIVE ANALYTICS. Scientific Culture, 12(1, Part 1), 4619.

6. A. S. Prasetyo, “Peran Ketidak pastian Kebijakan Ekonomi dan Resiko Geopolitik Amerika Serikat Terhadap Indeks Harga Saham Gabungan,” Sketsa Bisnis, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 56–71, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://jurnal.yudharta.ac.id/v2/index.php/SKETSABISNIS/index https://jurnal.yudharta.ac.id/v2/index.php/SKETSABISNIS

7. A. Syed and R. Tripathi, “Nonperforming Loans in BRICS Nations: Determinants and Macroeconomic Impact,” SSRN Electron. J., 2020, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3528097.

8. A. Zaib, F. Farid, and M. K. Khan, “Macroeconomic and Bank-Specific Determinants of Non-Performing Loans in the Banking Sector in Pakistan,” Int. J. Inf. Bus. Manag., vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 53–81, May 2014.

9. B. P. Bhattarai, “Assessing Banks Internal and Macroeconomic Factors as Determinants of Non- Performing Loans: Evidence from Nepalese Commercial Banks,” Int. J. Account. Finance Rev., vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 13–32, Sep. 2018, doi: 10.462811ijafr.v3i1.28.

10. F. Fkih, D. Rhouma, and H. Alghofaily, “A semantic approach for sarcasm identification for preventing fake news spreading on social networks,” Int. J. Inf. Technol., Aug. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s41870–024-02156-7.

11. H. H. Abdelbaki, “Macroeconomic determinants of non-performing loans in GCC economies: does the global financial crisis matter,” Int. J. Econ. Bus. Res., vol. 17, no. 4, p. 433, 2019, doi: 10.1504/IJEBR.2019.099973.

12. I. T. Idris and S. Nayan, “The moderating role of loan monitoring on the relationship between macroeconomic variables and non-performing loans in association of Southeast Asian nations countries,” Int. J. Econ. Finane, Issues, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 402–408, 2016.

13. I. Szarowska, “Effect of macroeconomic determinants on non-performing loans in Central and Eastern European countries,” Int. J. Monet. Econ. Finance, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 20, 2018, doi: 10.1504/IJMEF.2018.090564.

14. J. Lee, K. Chen, I. Chang, and C. Chen, “Determinants of non-performing loans, firm's corporate governance and macroeconomic factors,” Int. J. Finance Econ., vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 88–98, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.1002/ijfe.2139.

15. K. Gabeshi, “The impact of macroeconomic and bank specific factors on Albanian non-performing loans,” Eur. J. Sustain. Dev. Res., vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 95–102, 2017.

16. K. N. Konstantakis, P. G. Michaelides, and A. T. Vouldis, “Non performing loans (NPLs) in a crisis economy: Long-run equilibrium analysis with a real time VEC model for Greece (2001–2015),” Phys. Stat. Mech. Its Appl., vol. 451, pp. 149–161, Jun. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.physa.2015.12.163.

17. K. Koju, R. Koju, and S. Wang, “Macroeconomic and Bank-Specific Determinants of Non-Performing Loans: Evidence from Nepalese Banking System,” J. Cent. Bank. Theory Pract., vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 111–138, Sep. 2018, doi: 10.2478/jcbtp-2018–0026.

18. Kure, E., M. Adigun, and D. Okedigba, “Non-Performing Loans in Nigerian Banks: Determinants and Macroeconomic Consequences,” Cent. Bank Niger. Econ. Financ. Rev., vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 1–24, 2017.

19. L. Memdani, “Macroeconomic and Bank Specific Determinants of Non-Performing Loans (NPLs) in the Indian Banking Sector,” Stud. Bus. Econ., vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 125–135, Aug. 2017, doi: 10.1515/sbe-2017–0026.

20. L. P. A. K. Rahayu, “Pengaruh Ukuran Perusahaan Dan Risiko Gagal Bayar Pada Koefisien Respon Laba,” E-Jurnal Akunt., vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 665–684, 2015.

21. M. Ahmed, M. Majeed, E. Thalassinos, and Y. Thalassinos, "The Impact of Bank Specific and Macro-Economic Factors on Non-Performing Loans in the Banking Sector: Evidence from an Emerging Economy," J. Risk Financ. Manag., vol. 14, no. 5, p. 217, May 2021, doi: 10.3390/jrfm14050217.

22. M. Foglia, "Non-Performing Loans and Macroeconomics Factors: The Italian Case," Risks, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 21, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.3390/risks10010021.

23. M. Petkovski, J. Kjosevski, and K. Jovanovski, "Macro and bank specific determinants of nonperforming loans in polish commercial banks," Argum. Oeconomica, vol. 2021, no. 1, pp. 107–126, 2021, doi: 10.15611/aoe.2021.2.06.

24. M. T, et al "IoT based Smart Robotic Design for Identifying Human Presence in Disaster Environments Using Intelligent Sensors," (AUTOCOM), Dehradun, India, 2024, pp. 399–403, doi: 10.1109/AUTOCOM60220.2024.10486106.

25. N. Arham, M. S. Salisi, R. U. Mohammed, and J. Tuyon, "Impact of macroeconomic cyclical indicators and country governance on bank non-performing loans in Emerging Asia," Eurasian Econ. Rev., vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 707–726, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s40822–020-00156-z.

26. P. Sahoo et al., "Artificial Deep Neural Network in Hybrid PV System for Controlling the Power Management," International Journal of Photoenergy, vol. 2022, pp. 1–12, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/9353470.

27. P. S. Ranjit, et.al., Direct utilization of straight vegetable oil (SVO) from SchleicheraOleosa (SO) in a diesel engine - a feasibility assessment, International Journal of Ambient Energy, DOI: 10.1080/01430750.2022.2068063.

28. P.S. Ranjit, V. Chintala, "Direct utilization of preheated deep fried oil in an indirect injection compression Ignition engine with waste heat recovery framework. Energy, 242, 122910, 2022. Elsevier (SCI), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.122910

29. S. Ahmed, M. Majeed, E. Thalassinos, and Y. Thalassinos, "The Impact of Bank Specific and Macro-Economic Factors on Non-Performing Loans in the Banking Sector: Evidence from an Emerging Economy," J. Risk Financ. Manag., vol. 14, no. 5, p. 217, May 2021, doi: 10.3390/jrfm14050217.

30. S. Goyal, J. M. Prosad, N. Mishra, and N. Singhal, "Non-performing Assets and Institutional Quality Indicators: Evidence from Developing Countries," Vis. J. Bus. Perspect., p. 097226292211458, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.1177/09722629221145805.

31. S. M. Rahaman and D. Sur, "Identifying Key Drivers of Non-Performing Assets in Indian Public Sector Banks: A Panel Data Analysis," IIM Kozhikode Soc. Manag. Rev., p. 227797522110001, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.1177/22779752211000146.