INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF DATA SCIENCE AND MACHINE LEARNING (ISSN: 2692-5141)
VOL.06 ISSUE01 (2026)

Research Article

Explainability-Driven Computational Approaches in Smart Sensing Systems for Climate Observation and Emergency Anticipation

Dr. Elena Petrova Dimitrova [1]

[1]Department of Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence, Sofia Technical University, Sofia, Bulgaria

check for updates

Received: 12 March 2025
Revised: 2 April 2026
Accepted: 20 May 2026
Published: 30 June 2026

Doi: 10.55640/ijdsml-06-01-06
Page No: 344 -354

Abstract

The increasing complexity of climate-related challenges and environmental emergencies has created a critical demand for intelligent sensing systems capable of continuous observation, accurate prediction, and reliable decision support. Smart sensing infrastructures based on the Internet of Things (IoT), mobile sensing platforms, and machine learning technologies have significantly improved the ability to monitor environmental conditions, particularly in areas such as air quality assessment, pollution detection, and emergency risk forecasting. However, the growing dependence on computational models has introduced a major limitation: many advanced artificial intelligence systems operate as opaque decision-making mechanisms, reducing user confidence and limiting their adoption in critical environmental applications. This research presents an explainability-driven computational framework for smart sensing systems designed to enhance climate observation and emergency anticipation through transparent and trustworthy artificial intelligence approaches.

The proposed framework integrates heterogeneous environmental sensing, machine learning-based sensor calibration, feature representation, predictive analytics, and explainable artificial intelligence mechanisms into a unified architecture. The study investigates how computational intelligence can transform raw environmental observations into interpretable knowledge while maintaining prediction accuracy and operational efficiency. Existing smart sensing approaches demonstrate strong capabilities in collecting environmental information through fixed, mobile, vehicle-based, and crowdsourced sensing networks. However, challenges related to sensor uncertainty, data inconsistency, calibration errors, and lack of model transparency remain significant barriers to practical deployment.

The framework emphasizes that explainability should be incorporated throughout the complete sensing lifecycle, including data acquisition, preprocessing, model training, prediction generation, and emergency decision support. Interpretable computational mechanisms allow environmental stakeholders to understand the influence of different factors contributing to climate observations and risk predictions. Hasan et al. (2025) demonstrated the importance of interpretable AI models in IoT-enabled environmental monitoring and disaster risk forecasting, highlighting that transparent decision-making improves reliability and user acceptance of intelligent environmental systems.

Keywords: Explainable Artificial Intelligence, Smart Sensing Systems, Climate Observation, Emergency Anticipation, Internet of Things, Environmental Monitoring, Machine Learning, Air Quality Prediction, Sensor Analytics.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Research Context

Climate variability, rapid urbanization, industrial expansion, and increasing environmental risks have created a strong requirement for advanced monitoring systems capable of providing continuous and accurate environmental information. Conventional environmental observation methods primarily depend on fixed monitoring stations that provide reliable measurements but often suffer from limited geographical coverage, high deployment costs, and insufficient capability for real-time localized analysis. The emergence of smart sensing systems has introduced new possibilities by combining IoT-enabled sensor networks, mobile monitoring platforms, communication technologies, and artificial intelligence-based analytics.

Smart sensing systems represent an evolution from traditional data collection mechanisms toward intelligent environmental observation ecosystems. These systems integrate multiple sensing devices capable of measuring air pollutants, meteorological parameters, traffic-related emissions, and other environmental indicators. The collected information is processed through computational models that identify patterns, forecast future conditions, and support decision-making processes.

In urban environments, air quality monitoring has become one of the most important applications of smart sensing technologies. Increasing traffic density, industrial activities, and changing climatic conditions have created complex pollution patterns that require advanced analytical approaches. IoT-based sensing systems have demonstrated the ability to collect environmental information and generate predictive models for urban air quality assessment (Barthwal and Acharya, 2021). However, environmental prediction remains challenging because pollution levels are influenced by multiple interacting factors, including emission sources, weather conditions, geographical characteristics, and human activities.

Mobile and distributed sensing approaches have expanded the capabilities of environmental monitoring by overcoming limitations associated with fixed sensing infrastructure. Anjomshoaa et al. (2018) introduced a mobile sensing platform for smart city services, demonstrating that flexible sensing architectures can provide dynamic environmental observations. Similarly, vehicle-based sensing systems enable large-scale monitoring by utilizing transportation networks as mobile observation platforms (O'Keeffe et al., 2019).

Despite these advancements, the increasing use of machine learning models in environmental applications introduces a significant challenge related to transparency. Modern computational models can identify complex relationships within environmental data, but many operate as black-box systems where the reasoning behind predictions remains unclear. In climate observation and emergency anticipation scenarios, this limitation is critical because environmental authorities require understandable evidence before implementing preventive actions.

Explainability-driven artificial intelligence provides a solution by enabling computational models to communicate the reasons behind their predictions. Rather than producing only numerical forecasts or risk classifications, explainable models identify influential variables, prediction factors, and relationships between environmental observations and outcomes. Hasan et al. (2025) emphasized that interpretable AI models improve trust and effectiveness in IoT-enabled environmental monitoring and disaster risk forecasting by providing transparent decision mechanisms.

1.2 Problem Statement

Although smart sensing technologies have significantly improved environmental monitoring capabilities, several unresolved problems limit their effectiveness. The first challenge is data reliability. Environmental sensors frequently operate under changing conditions and may produce inaccurate measurements due to calibration issues, hardware limitations, and environmental interference. Low-cost sensors provide opportunities for large-scale deployment but require advanced calibration techniques to ensure measurement accuracy. Wang et al. (2023) demonstrated that machine learning approaches can improve low-cost air sensor calibration by establishing relationships between sensor outputs and reference measurements.

The second challenge involves the integration of heterogeneous sensing sources. Modern environmental monitoring systems may combine fixed stations, mobile sensors, vehicle-based sensing platforms, and crowdsourced observations. Although this improves monitoring coverage, differences in sensor characteristics and data quality create computational difficulties.

The third and most significant challenge is the lack of interpretability in predictive models. High-performance machine learning algorithms often provide accurate forecasts but fail to explain why specific predictions are generated. This limitation reduces confidence among environmental experts, emergency management authorities, and policymakers.

Therefore, there is a requirement for computational frameworks that combine accurate environmental prediction with transparent decision-making capabilities.

1.3 Research Motivation and Relevance

The motivation behind this research emerges from the increasing need for trustworthy artificial intelligence systems in environmental applications. Climate observation and emergency anticipation directly influence public safety, environmental policy, and resource management. Therefore, computational models used in these domains must provide not only accurate predictions but also understandable explanations.

The relevance of explainability becomes particularly important during emergency situations. For example, an environmental warning system predicting hazardous air quality conditions should identify the contributing factors behind the prediction. Understanding whether the risk is caused by industrial emissions, traffic congestion, meteorological conditions, or sensor anomalies allows authorities to make more effective decisions.

The integration of explainable computational methods can also improve long-term environmental planning. Transparent models allow researchers to analyze environmental trends, evaluate intervention strategies, and identify important factors influencing climate-related changes.

1.4 Research Objectives

This research aims to develop an explainability-driven computational framework for smart sensing systems supporting climate observation and emergency anticipation.

The major objectives are:

1. To analyze existing smart sensing approaches used for environmental monitoring and climate observation.
2. To examine the role of machine learning techniques in processing environmental sensor data.
3. To identify challenges associated with sensor reliability, prediction transparency, and computational decision-making.
4. To propose an integrated framework combining smart sensing, predictive analytics, and explainable artificial intelligence.
5. To evaluate the implications, advantages, and limitations of explainability-driven environmental intelligence systems.

1.5 Scope and Significance

The scope of this research focuses on computational approaches that support environmental monitoring through smart sensing technologies. The study considers applications including air quality assessment, pollution detection, urban environmental observation, and emergency anticipation.

The significance of this work lies in addressing the gap between computational intelligence and practical usability. Existing systems demonstrate strong capabilities in collecting and analyzing environmental data, but limited transparency prevents their complete adoption in critical decision-making environments.

By integrating explainability into smart sensing architectures, this research contributes toward developing environmental intelligence systems that are accurate, understandable, and reliable. Such systems can support sustainable urban management, improved emergency response, and more informed climate-related decision-making.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The integration of smart sensing systems, Internet of Things (IoT), machine learning (ML), and explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) has significantly transformed environmental monitoring and emergency anticipation research. Previous studies have primarily focused on improving sensing accuracy, expanding monitoring coverage, and developing predictive models for environmental risks. However, recent research indicates that prediction accuracy alone is insufficient for critical applications such as climate observation and disaster forecasting, where transparent and interpretable decision-making is essential. Hasan et al. (2025) highlighted the importance of interpretable AI models in IoT-enabled environmental monitoring and disaster risk forecasting, emphasizing that transparency improves trust and practical usability of AI-based environmental systems.

2.1 Evolution of Smart Sensing Systems for Environmental Observation

Smart sensing technologies have emerged as an advanced alternative to traditional environmental monitoring approaches. Conventional monitoring infrastructures generally depend on fixed observation stations that provide accurate measurements but suffer from limited geographical coverage and high deployment costs. IoT-enabled sensing systems address these limitations by enabling distributed data collection through interconnected sensor networks.

Barthwal and Acharya (2021) investigated an IoT-based sensing system for urban air quality modeling and forecasting. Their study demonstrated that integrating sensor networks with computational analytics can improve continuous environmental observation and prediction capabilities. The research established that smart sensing platforms are capable of transforming raw environmental measurements into meaningful information for urban pollution management.

Mobile sensing approaches further expand the capabilities of environmental monitoring by enabling dynamic data collection. Anjomshoaa et al. (2018) introduced the City Scanner platform, which demonstrated the potential of mobile sensing infrastructures for smart city applications. Unlike fixed monitoring systems, mobile sensing platforms can collect environmental observations from different locations, improving spatial understanding of urban conditions.

Similarly, vehicle-based sensing has become an important research direction because transportation networks can act as large-scale environmental observation platforms. O'Keeffe et al. (2019) analyzed the sensing capabilities of vehicle fleets and demonstrated that connected vehicles can provide extensive environmental data coverage. Such approaches reduce dependence on expensive fixed monitoring infrastructure while enabling high-resolution environmental analysis.

However, these distributed sensing approaches introduce challenges related to sensor reliability, calibration, and data consistency. Since environmental decisions depend heavily on sensor accuracy, computational methods are required to validate and improve collected measurements.

2.2 Machine Learning-Based Environmental Monitoring and Prediction

Machine learning has become a fundamental component of modern environmental sensing systems because environmental processes involve complex, nonlinear relationships among multiple variables. Traditional statistical approaches often struggle to capture interactions between weather conditions, human activities, and pollution sources. Machine learning models provide the capability to identify hidden patterns from large-scale environmental datasets.

Air quality monitoring represents one of the most significant applications of machine learning-based environmental analysis. Zarrar and Dyo (2023) reviewed drive-by air pollution sensing systems and highlighted that mobile pollution monitoring provides valuable opportunities for identifying spatial pollution variations. However, the authors also emphasized challenges associated with calibration, sensor deployment, and data interpretation.

Low-cost sensing technologies have further increased the accessibility of environmental monitoring. Eriyadi et al. (2023) developed a low-cost IoT-based air quality monitoring system for industrial environments, demonstrating that affordable sensing devices can support localized pollution monitoring. Nevertheless, low-cost sensors frequently experience accuracy limitations due to environmental interference and hardware variations.

To overcome these challenges, machine learning-based calibration methods have gained importance. Wang et al. (2023) explored the application of machine learning algorithms for improving low-cost air sensor calibration in stationary and mobile environments. The study demonstrated that computational calibration approaches can improve measurement reliability by learning relationships between low-cost sensor outputs and reference observations.

Environmental prediction models must also consider interactions between multiple environmental parameters. Jin et al. (2024) investigated the influence of dynamic traffic conditions and wind patterns on local air quality, demonstrating that pollution behavior depends on complex relationships among emission sources and atmospheric conditions. This finding supports the need for intelligent computational models capable of integrating diverse environmental variables.

2.3 Distributed, Mobile, and Crowdsourced Environmental Sensing

The expansion of distributed sensing has introduced new possibilities for high-resolution environmental monitoring. Crowdsourced sensing approaches allow environmental information to be collected from multiple individuals and devices, creating dense observation networks.

Huang et al. (2018) examined crowdsource-based sensing systems for fine-grained air quality monitoring in urban environments. Their research demonstrated that combining observations from distributed sources can improve spatial awareness of pollution conditions. However, crowdsourced sensing also introduces challenges related to inconsistent sensor quality and uncertain data reliability.

Mobile sensing platforms provide similar advantages by enabling flexible deployment according to monitoring requirements. These approaches are particularly valuable in urban environments where pollution levels may vary significantly between different locations.

Despite these advantages, integrating heterogeneous sensing sources remains a major challenge. Data collected from fixed stations, vehicles, mobile devices, and crowdsourced platforms may differ in sampling frequency, accuracy, and reliability. Therefore, future environmental intelligence systems require computational frameworks capable of evaluating, integrating, and explaining information obtained from multiple sensing sources.

2.4 Explainable Artificial Intelligence for Environmental Intelligence

Although machine learning models provide powerful prediction capabilities, many advanced models operate as black-box systems. Their inability to explain decision-making processes creates significant limitations in safety-critical applications. Explainable artificial intelligence addresses this challenge by providing mechanisms that reveal relationships between input variables, model behavior, and final predictions.

Hasan et al. (2025) presented interpretable AI models for IoT-enabled environmental monitoring and disaster risk forecasting. Their work emphasized that explainability improves confidence in AI-based environmental systems by allowing users to understand the reasons behind computational predictions.

Recent advancements in semantic artificial intelligence have further strengthened intelligent decision-support systems by integrating semantic knowledge representation with explainable reasoning mechanisms. Goyal (2025) proposed a Semantic AI Infrastructure for Sustainable Decision Intelligence that improves contextual understanding, transparent inference, and sustainable decision-making across complex computational environments. The study demonstrates that semantic AI enhances the interpretability of predictive models by enabling structured knowledge representation and reasoning, making AI-driven decisions more reliable and understandable for critical applications such as environmental monitoring and climate observation.

The importance of explainability is particularly significant in emergency anticipation scenarios. For example, an AI-based warning system predicting severe environmental conditions should not only provide a risk level but also explain the factors contributing to that prediction. Such explanations allow decision-makers to evaluate whether actions are justified and scientifically supported.

Recent studies on explainable AI in IoT environments highlight that transparency, accountability, and trust are major requirements for deploying AI systems in real-world applications. Explainability techniques can help convert complex computational models into understandable decision-support tools.

However, implementing explainable AI in environmental monitoring remains challenging. Complex models may achieve high predictive accuracy but require additional computational resources for interpretation. Furthermore, explanations must remain scientifically meaningful rather than simply providing statistical associations.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Methodological Approach

This research adopts a framework-development methodology to design an explainability-driven computational architecture for smart sensing systems supporting climate observation and emergency anticipation. The methodology is based on the synthesis of concepts derived from environmental sensing, IoT-based monitoring, machine learning-based calibration, predictive analytics, and explainable artificial intelligence.

The primary objective of the proposed methodology is to establish a computational pipeline where environmental observations are transformed into reliable and interpretable intelligence. Unlike conventional sensing systems that primarily focus on data acquisition and prediction accuracy, the proposed approach incorporates transparency throughout the complete analytical lifecycle.

The framework is designed around five major principles:

1. Reliable environmental data acquisition through heterogeneous smart sensing networks.
2. Data quality enhancement through calibration and preprocessing mechanisms.
3. Intelligent feature representation for identifying complex environmental patterns.
4. Predictive computational modeling for climate observation and emergency anticipation.
5. Explainable decision generation for improving human understanding and trust.

The methodology considers smart sensing systems as cyber-physical intelligence platforms where sensors, communication networks, computational models, and decision-makers operate as interconnected components.

3.2 Proposed Explainability-Driven Smart Sensing Architecture

The proposed architecture consists of six functional layers:

Layer 1: Environmental Sensing and Data Acquisition

The first layer collects environmental observations from multiple sensing sources. These sources include stationary IoT sensors, mobile sensing platforms, vehicle-mounted monitoring systems, industrial monitoring devices, and crowdsourced sensing networks.

Traditional monitoring infrastructures generally rely on fixed stations that provide accurate measurements but limited spatial information. Smart sensing approaches overcome this limitation by enabling distributed observation.

For example, urban air quality monitoring can combine fixed monitoring stations with mobile sensors installed on vehicles. Vehicle-based sensing systems provide continuous movement-based observations and improve understanding of pollution variations across different locations. O'Keeffe et al. (2019) demonstrated that vehicle fleets possess significant sensing capabilities and can support large-scale environmental monitoring.

Similarly, mobile sensing platforms can provide flexible monitoring capabilities in smart cities. Anjomshoaa et al. (2018) developed the City Scanner platform, demonstrating how mobile sensing systems can support dynamic urban observation and smart city services.

However, heterogeneous sensing introduces challenges related to measurement consistency. Different sensors may have different calibration requirements, accuracy levels, and environmental sensitivities. Therefore, the proposed framework includes reliability evaluation mechanisms before data enters the prediction stage.

3.3 Data Preprocessing and Sensor Calibration Framework

Environmental sensor data often contain noise, missing values, measurement errors, and inconsistencies. These issues can significantly affect machine learning predictions if not properly managed.

The proposed framework introduces a preprocessing layer consisting of four major processes:

3.3.1 Data Cleaning and Noise Reduction

Raw environmental data are analyzed to identify abnormal values caused by sensor failures, communication interruptions, or external disturbances.

For example, a particulate matter sensor may suddenly report extremely high pollution values because of hardware malfunction rather than actual environmental change. The preprocessing layer detects such anomalies by comparing current measurements with historical patterns.

3.3.2 Sensor Calibration

Calibration is essential for maintaining accuracy, especially when low-cost sensors are deployed at large scale. Low-cost devices provide economical monitoring solutions but may suffer from measurement deviations.

Machine learning-based calibration approaches improve reliability by learning relationships between sensor measurements and reference observations. Wang et al. (2023) demonstrated that machine learning algorithms can enhance low-cost air sensor calibration in both stationary and mobile environments.

Within the proposed framework, calibration models continuously evaluate sensor performance and adjust measurements before prediction generation.

3.3.3 Data Synchronization

Smart sensing systems often collect information from sensors operating at different sampling frequencies. Therefore, temporal synchronization is required to create consistent environmental datasets.

For example, traffic emission measurements, weather observations, and pollution concentration data must be aligned according to time intervals before being analyzed together.

3.3.4 Uncertainty Estimation

Environmental observations naturally contain uncertainty due to changing weather conditions, sensor limitations, and unpredictable events. The proposed framework incorporates uncertainty estimation to measure confidence levels associated with sensor observations.

This allows the system to distinguish between reliable predictions and predictions affected by incomplete or uncertain information.

4. RESULTS

The analysis of explainability-driven computational approaches for smart sensing systems reveals several significant findings related to climate observation, environmental prediction, and emergency anticipation. The proposed framework demonstrates that integrating transparent artificial intelligence mechanisms with distributed sensing infrastructures can improve the reliability, usability, and practical adoption of environmental intelligence systems.

The first major finding is that smart sensing networks significantly enhance environmental observation capabilities by overcoming the limitations of traditional monitoring approaches. Fixed monitoring stations provide accurate measurements but often lack sufficient spatial coverage. The reviewed studies demonstrate that mobile sensing platforms, vehicle-based monitoring systems, and crowdsourced sensing networks can expand observation capabilities and provide more detailed environmental information. Anjomshoaa et al. (2018) and O'Keeffe et al. (2019) showed that mobile sensing infrastructures can contribute significantly to smart city monitoring by enabling dynamic data collection.

The second finding indicates that sensor data quality remains a critical factor influencing computational prediction performance. Environmental sensing systems frequently operate under diverse conditions, resulting in measurement uncertainty and calibration challenges. Studies on low-cost air quality monitoring demonstrate that machine learning-based calibration methods can improve sensor reliability by correcting measurement errors and enhancing data consistency (Wang et al., 2023). This finding confirms that preprocessing and calibration must be considered essential components of intelligent sensing architectures rather than optional improvements.

The third finding relates to the importance of multi-variable environmental analysis. Climate observation and emergency anticipation cannot rely on individual environmental parameters because environmental conditions emerge from complex interactions among multiple factors. Research examining traffic conditions, wind patterns, and air quality relationships demonstrates that environmental prediction requires integrated analysis of diverse variables (Jin et al., 2024). The proposed framework addresses this requirement through feature extraction and computational representation mechanisms.

The fourth finding highlights the importance of explainability in environmental artificial intelligence systems. Existing computational approaches demonstrate strong predictive capabilities; however, limited transparency remains a major barrier to their practical deployment. Hasan et al. (2025) emphasized that interpretable AI models improve trust and reliability in IoT-enabled environmental monitoring and disaster risk forecasting. The proposed framework confirms that explanation mechanisms should be integrated throughout the sensing pipeline, including data processing, prediction generation, and decision support.

The fifth finding demonstrates that combining multiple sensing sources creates significant opportunities for improved emergency anticipation. Vehicle-based sensing, mobile platforms, and crowdsourced observations provide complementary environmental information. However, these heterogeneous sources require intelligent integration methods to manage differences in accuracy, sampling frequency, and operational conditions.

The sixth finding indicates that explainability improves the relationship between artificial intelligence systems and human decision-makers. In emergency scenarios, predictions alone may not be sufficient because authorities require evidence-based reasoning before implementing actions. Explainable systems provide information regarding contributing environmental factors, prediction confidence, and possible causes of detected risks.

The overall findings suggest that future smart sensing systems must move beyond accuracy-focused computational models toward transparent environmental intelligence platforms. A successful climate observation framework requires a balance between sensing capability, predictive performance, computational efficiency, and interpretability.

The proposed explainability-driven framework provides a foundation for developing trustworthy environmental monitoring systems capable of supporting pollution management, climate analysis, and emergency response planning. The results indicate that integrating explainable artificial intelligence with IoT-based sensing can improve not only technical performance but also user confidence and operational effectiveness.

5. DISCUSSION

The findings of this research demonstrate that explainability-driven computational approaches represent an important advancement for smart sensing systems used in climate observation and emergency anticipation. While conventional environmental monitoring systems have primarily focused on improving data collection and prediction accuracy, the proposed framework emphasizes that transparency is equally important for real-world deployment.

The integration of semantic AI infrastructures further enhances explainable environmental intelligence by enabling contextual reasoning and knowledge-driven decision support. As highlighted by Goyal (2025), semantic reasoning allows AI systems to generate transparent and sustainable decisions while improving trust, accountability, and human understanding in complex intelligent systems. Similar concepts can strengthen explainability-driven smart sensing architectures by providing semantic interpretation of environmental observations.

One important implication of this research is that environmental intelligence systems should be designed as decision-support platforms rather than simple prediction engines. Accurate predictions are valuable; however, environmental authorities require understandable explanations to evaluate risks and determine appropriate responses. For example, an air quality warning becomes more useful when the system identifies whether pollution changes are associated with traffic emissions, industrial activities, or meteorological conditions.

The reviewed literature demonstrates that smart sensing technologies have significantly improved environmental monitoring capabilities. Mobile sensing platforms, vehicle-based monitoring, and crowdsourced systems increase observation coverage and provide detailed environmental information. However, these approaches also introduce challenges related to data quality and sensor reliability. The integration of machine learning-based calibration methods provides an effective solution for improving sensor accuracy, particularly for low-cost sensing devices (Wang et al., 2023).

A major contribution of the proposed framework is the integration of explainability throughout the computational process. Previous approaches often apply interpretation methods after model development, treating explainability as an additional feature. This research argues that transparency should be considered a fundamental design requirement from the beginning of system development.

Hasan et al. (2025) demonstrated that interpretable AI improves reliability in IoT-enabled environmental monitoring and disaster forecasting applications. The present framework extends this concept by incorporating explanation mechanisms into data acquisition, preprocessing, prediction, and decision-support stages. This approach enables users to understand not only what the system predicts but also why the prediction occurs.

However, several trade-offs must be considered. Highly complex machine learning models often provide superior predictive capability but may reduce interpretability. Simpler models may offer clearer explanations but may not capture complex environmental relationships. Therefore, future smart sensing systems must achieve an appropriate balance between model complexity and transparency.

Another important issue is computational efficiency. Environmental monitoring networks frequently operate through edge devices with limited processing power and energy availability. Although advanced explainable models provide valuable insights, their computational requirements may restrict deployment in resource-constrained environments. Lightweight explainable algorithms and efficient model optimization techniques are required to address this challenge.

The discussion also highlights limitations associated with environmental uncertainty. Climate-related processes are influenced by numerous natural and human factors, making complete prediction accuracy impossible. Explainability mechanisms can reduce uncertainty by identifying contributing variables, but they cannot eliminate unpredictable environmental changes.

Furthermore, integrating heterogeneous sensing sources requires careful consideration of data governance, privacy, and standardization. Crowdsourced and mobile sensing systems increase monitoring coverage but may introduce variability in data quality and reliability.

Overall, the research indicates that explainability-driven computational frameworks provide a pathway toward more trustworthy environmental intelligence systems. By combining advanced sensing technologies with transparent artificial intelligence, future platforms can support more effective climate observation, pollution management, and emergency anticipation while maintaining human understanding and accountability.

6. CONCLUSION

The increasing complexity of environmental challenges requires intelligent sensing systems capable of providing accurate, timely, and understandable information. This research presented an explainability-driven computational framework for smart sensing systems supporting climate observation and emergency anticipation.

The study identified that modern sensing infrastructures, including IoT networks, mobile platforms, vehicle-based systems, and crowdsourced sensing approaches, provide valuable opportunities for improving environmental monitoring. However, challenges related to sensor reliability, data heterogeneity, prediction transparency, and computational complexity continue to limit their effectiveness.

The proposed framework addresses these challenges by integrating sensor data acquisition, calibration mechanisms, feature extraction, machine learning-based prediction, explainable artificial intelligence, and decision-support capabilities into a unified architecture. The approach emphasizes that explainability should not be treated as a secondary component but as a fundamental requirement for trustworthy environmental intelligence.

Future explainable smart sensing systems may further benefit from Semantic AI infrastructures that combine knowledge representation, explainable reasoning, and sustainable decision intelligence to support transparent environmental decision-making (Goyal, 2025).

The analysis demonstrates that machine learning-based calibration improves sensor reliability, while explainable models enhance confidence in computational predictions. Hasan et al. (2025) highlighted the importance of interpretable AI approaches for environmental monitoring and disaster forecasting, supporting the need for transparent computational frameworks.

The primary contribution of this research is the development of a conceptual architecture that balances prediction accuracy, sensing efficiency, and interpretability. Such systems can support climate observation, pollution control, and emergency response by providing both predictions and explanations.

Future research should focus on implementing the proposed framework in real-world environmental monitoring scenarios, developing lightweight explainable models for edge devices, improving uncertainty estimation techniques, and establishing standardized evaluation methods for explainable environmental intelligence systems.

By integrating transparency with computational intelligence, smart sensing systems can evolve into reliable decision-support platforms capable of addressing future climate and environmental challenges.

REFERENCES

1. M. T. Hasan, D. S. Jatav, R. K. Gadiraju, A. Jain, P. Sharma and S. A. Aetukuri, "Interpretable AI Models for IoT-Enabled Environmental Monitoring and Disaster Risk Forecasting," 2025 International Conference on Innovations in Intelligent Systems: Advancements in Computing, Communication, and Cybersecurity (ISAC3), Bhubaneswar, India, 2025, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ISAC364032.2025.11156565.
2. A. Anjomshoaa, F. Duarte, D. Rennings, T. J. Matarazzo, P. Desouza, and C. Ratti, “City Scanner: Building and Scheduling a Mobile Sensing Platform for Smart City Services,” IEEE Internet Things J, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 4567–4579, 2018, doi: 10.1109/JIOT.2018.2839058.
3. A. Barthwal and D. Acharya, “An IoT based Sensing System for Modeling and Forecasting Urban Air Quality,” Wirel Pers Commun, vol. 116, no. 4, pp. 3503–3526, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s11277-020-07862-6.

4. D. Lohani, A. Barthwal, and D. Acharya, “Modeling vehicle indoor air quality using sensor data analytics,” J Reliab Intell Environ, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 105–115, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s40860-021-00137-2.
5. H. Zarrar and V. Dyo, “Drive-by Air Pollution Sensing Systems: Challenges and Future Directions,” IEEE Sens J, vol. 23, no. 19, pp. 23692–23703, 2023, doi: 10.1109/JSEN.2023.3305779.
6. I. Huang, N. Duan, P. Ji, C. Ma, Y. Ding, “A crowdsource-based sensing system for monitoring fine-grained air quality in urban environments,” IEEE Internet of …, 2018, [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/85343511.
7. K. P. O’Keeffe, A. Anjomshoaa, S. H. Strogatz, P. Santi, and C. Ratti, “Quantifying the sensing power of vehicle fleets,” Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, vol. 116, no. 26, pp. 12752–12757, 2019, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1821667116.
8. M. Eriyadi, D. Notosudjono, H. Setiana, and M. A. A.A. Yakin, “Low-cost mobile air quality monitoring based on internet of things for factory area,” Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 545–554, 2023, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v32.il.pp545–554.
9. M. Y. Jin, L. Y. Zhang, Z. R. Peng, H. Di He, P. Kumar, and J. Gallagher, “The impact of dynamic traffic and wind conditions on green infrastructure performance to improve local air quality,” Science of the Total Environment, vol. 917, no. January, p. 170211, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.170211.
10. Y. Huang,, “Rapid detection of high-emitting vehicles by on-road remote sensing technology improves urban air quality,” Sci Adv, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 1–10, 2022, doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abI7575.
11. K. K. Goyal, "Semantic AI Infrastructure for Sustainable Decision Intelligence," 2025 8th International Conference on Informatics and Computational Sciences (ICICoS), Semarang, Indonesia, 2025, pp. 434-439, doi: 10.1109/ICICoS68590.2025.11329920.
12. A. Wang,, “Leveraging machine learning algorithms to advance low-cost air sensor calibration in stationary and mobile settings,” Atmos Environ, vol. 301, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.119692.