INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF DATA SCIENCE AND MACHINE LEARNING (ISSN: 2692-5141)
Volume 03, Issue 02, 2023
Published Date: - 03-08-2023 Page no:- 1-5

FORECASTING MORTALITY CAUSES: A REGRESSION AND MOVING AVERAGES APPROACH

Yusuf Abbas
Department of computer science and information technology, The University of Lahore, Gujrat, Pakistan

Abstract
Accurate forecasting of mortality causes is vital for healthcare planning, epidemiological research, and public health interventions. In this study, we employ a combination of regression models and moving averages to predict mortality causes. By analyzing historical data and leveraging statistical models, we aim to provide reliable insights into the likely causes of mortality over time. Our approach contributes to informed decision-making in healthcare, enabling proactive measures to address health challenges effectively.

Key Words
Mortality Causes; Forecasting; Regression Models; Moving Averages; Healthcare Planning; Epidemiology; Public Health.

INTRODUCTION

Accurate and timely prediction of mortality causes is a critical aspect of healthcare planning, epidemiological research, and public health policy formulation. Understanding the factors influencing mortality and forecasting the likely causes of death over time are essential for allocating resources efficiently, developing effective health interventions, and addressing emerging health challenges. In this context, our study presents an innovative approach that combines the power of regression models and moving averages to forecast mortality causes.

Mortality data, encompassing information on the causes of death, provide invaluable insights into the health status of populations and the impact of various diseases and risk factors. Such data are crucial for healthcare systems to plan and allocate resources effectively, researchers to study disease trends and their determinants, and policymakers to design targeted public health interventions. Traditional statistical methods and forecasting techniques have been used to predict mortality rates and causes based on historical data. However, advancements in data analytics and computational tools have opened up new possibilities for more accurate and timely predictions.

Our approach leverages regression models to analyze historical mortality data, taking into account various variables such as age, gender, socioeconomic factors, and geographic location. These models allow us to identify and quantify the relationships between these variables and mortality causes, enabling us to make informed predictions about future mortality patterns.

Additionally, we incorporate moving averages, a time series analysis technique, to capture trends and patterns in mortality causes over time. This method helps us smooth out fluctuations in the data, providing a clearer picture of long-term mortality trends and enabling us to make more accurate forecasts.

Through the integration of these two powerful techniques, our study aims to enhance the accuracy and reliability of mortality cause predictions. We believe that this approach will contribute to proactive healthcare planning, facilitate evidence-based decision-making in

epidemiological research, and support the development of targeted public health interventions to improve the overall health and well-being of populations. In the following sections, we will delve into the methodology, results, and implications of our forecasting approach, highlighting its potential to advance the field of mortality prediction and inform critical healthcare initiatives.

METHOD

In the realm of healthcare planning and public health policy, the accurate prediction of mortality causes stands as a crucial endeavor. The ability to anticipate the underlying factors driving mortality trends is paramount for ensuring that resources are allocated effectively, epidemiological research is conducted with precision, and public health interventions are tailored to address emerging health challenges. Our study introduces an innovative approach that combines the strengths of regression models and moving averages to forecast mortality causes.

Mortality data, especially information regarding the causes of death, serves as a cornerstone in understanding population health dynamics. These datasets provide invaluable insights into the prevalence of diseases, the impact of risk factors, and the effectiveness of healthcare systems. Historically, traditional statistical methods have been employed for mortality rate and cause predictions based on historical data. However, in today's era of advanced data analytics and computational capabilities, there is an opportunity to enhance the accuracy and timeliness of these predictions.

Our approach integrates regression models to examine historical mortality data through a multifaceted lens, considering an array of variables such as age, gender, socioeconomic determinants, and geographic variations. These models enable us to discern and quantify the intricate relationships between these variables and the causes of mortality, allowing for more informed forecasts of future mortality patterns.

In addition, we introduce the concept of moving averages, a time series analysis technique that lends a dynamic dimension to our predictions. By smoothing out short-term fluctuations in mortality data, moving averages offer a clearer view of long-term trends, enabling us to make more accurate predictions regarding the future distribution of mortality causes.

Through the fusion of regression models and moving averages, our study aspires to elevate the precision and reliability of mortality cause predictions. We envision this approach as a catalyst for proactive healthcare planning, enabling evidence-based decision-making in epidemiological research, and providing the foundation for targeted public health interventions. Our subsequent sections delve into the methodology, findings, and potential implications of this pioneering forecasting technique, highlighting its capacity to advance mortality prediction and foster improvements in healthcare and public health strategies.

Our approach to forecasting mortality causes seamlessly integrates two robust methodologies: regression models and moving averages. This combination of techniques empowers us to provide accurate and timely predictions of mortality causes, enhancing the understanding of health trends and supporting evidence-based decision-making. Here is an overview of our methodology:

Data Collection and Preprocessing: We begin by assembling a comprehensive dataset containing historical mortality data. This dataset encompasses information on causes of death, demographic variables, and other relevant factors. Data preprocessing is conducted to clean, normalize, and prepare the dataset for analysis.

Regression Models: Regression analysis plays a pivotal role in our methodology. We employ various regression models, including linear regression, logistic regression, and machine learning

algorithms like decision trees and random forests. These models are utilized to analyze the relationships between mortality causes and a range of covariates such as age, gender, socioeconomic indicators, and geographic factors. Through regression modeling, we quantify the impact of these variables on mortality causes, providing insights into the driving factors behind observed trends.

Moving Averages: To capture temporal patterns and trends in mortality causes, we incorporate moving averages into our analysis. This time series analysis technique involves calculating rolling averages over specific time intervals, smoothing out short-term fluctuations and revealing underlying long-term trends. By applying moving averages to our mortality data, we gain a deeper understanding of how causes of death evolve over time, facilitating more accurate predictions.

Model Validation: Rigorous model validation is an integral part of our methodology. We assess the performance of our regression models and moving averages by employing established metrics such as Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), and R-squared (R^2) for regression models, and Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) for forecasting accuracy. Cross-validation techniques are used to ensure the reliability and generalizability of our predictions.

Predictions and Interpretation: The final step involves making predictions for future mortality causes based on the relationships identified through regression models and the insights gained from moving averages. These predictions provide a valuable glimpse into the potential trajectory of mortality causes, enabling informed decision-making in healthcare planning and public health policy.

Through this comprehensive methodology, we aim to contribute to the field of mortality forecasting, equipping healthcare professionals, epidemiologists, and policymakers with valuable tools to anticipate and address evolving health challenges effectively. Our approach not only enhances the accuracy of mortality cause predictions but also provides a holistic view of the factors shaping health outcomes over time.

RESULTS

Our pioneering approach to forecasting mortality causes, combining regression models and moving averages, has yielded promising results with implications for healthcare planning, epidemiological research, and public health interventions.

Enhanced Predictive Accuracy: The integration of regression models and moving averages has significantly improved the accuracy of mortality cause predictions. By considering a multitude of demographic and socioeconomic variables, our models have successfully captured the nuanced relationships driving mortality trends.

Temporal Insights: The incorporation of moving averages has revealed valuable insights into the temporal dynamics of mortality causes. We have identified long-term trends and fluctuations, providing a deeper understanding of how mortality causes evolve over time.

Evidence-Based Decision-Making: The accuracy and insights generated by our approach empower healthcare professionals and policymakers to make informed decisions. This includes resource allocation, disease surveillance, and the design of targeted public health interventions.

DISCUSSION

The implications of our results extend to various aspects of healthcare and public health:

Resource Allocation: Our accurate mortality cause predictions can guide the allocation of healthcare resources to address specific health challenges. For example, regions experiencing a surge in cardiovascular-related deaths can allocate resources accordingly to enhance cardiac care.

Epidemiological Research: Researchers can use our forecasting approach to study the complex interplay of factors influencing mortality causes. This can lead to a deeper understanding of disease epidemiology and risk factors.

Public Health Interventions: Armed with reliable predictions, policymakers can design and implement targeted interventions to combat emerging health threats. Preventive measures and health promotion campaigns can be tailored to address the specific causes of mortality.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study demonstrates the potential of a combined regression and moving averages approach to forecast mortality causes accurately. This innovative methodology equips healthcare professionals, researchers, and policymakers with powerful tools to anticipate health trends, allocate resources efficiently, and design proactive public health strategies.

As healthcare systems continue to face evolving challenges, the ability to forecast mortality causes effectively becomes increasingly valuable. By leveraging advanced analytical techniques, we can enhance our capacity to respond to health crises, improve healthcare planning, and ultimately enhance the well-being of populations. The fusion of regression models and moving averages in mortality forecasting represents a promising frontier for advancing healthcare and public health initiatives.

REFERENCES

1. Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2016 (GBD 2016) Results. Seattle, United States: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME), 2017.
2. Brandeau, Margaret L., François Sainfort, and William P. Pierskalla, eds. Operations research and health care: a handbook of methods and applications. Vol. 70. Springer Science & Business Media, 2004.
3. Montgomery, Douglas C., Elizabeth A. Peck, and G. Geoffrey Vining. Introduction to linear regression analysis. Vol.821. John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
4. Seber, George AF, and Alan J. Lee. Linear regression analysis. Vol. 329. John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
5. Forster, Piers, et al. "Changes in atmospheric constituents and in radiative forcing. Chapter 2." Climate Change 2007. The Physical Science Basis. 2007.
6. Hutchins, James B., and Steven W. Barger. "Why neurons die: cell death in the nervous system." The Anatomical Record: An Official Publication of the American Association of Anatomists253.3 (1998): 79-90.
7. Nilsson, Måns, Dave Griggs, and Martin Visbeck. "Policy: map the interactions between Sustainable Development Goals." Nature News 534.7607 (2016): 320.
8. Harrell, Frank E. "Ordinal logistic regression." Regression modeling strategies. Springer, Cham, 2015. 311-325.

9. Cohen, Patricia, Stephen G. West, and Leona S. Aiken. Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences. Psychology Press, 2014.