AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES

ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 01. January ,2026

CLINICAL EFFECTIVENESS OF MINIMALLY INVASIVE SURGERY METHODS IN TRAUMATOLOGY AND ORTHOPAEDICS AND IMPACT ON THE REHABILITATION PROCESS

Latibjonov Azizbek Erkinjon ugli

Annotation

This piece looks into how tiny-cut surgeries work in bone and trauma medicine, viewing results through the eyes of a scientist from Uzbekistan joining worldwide research talks. Findings pulled from major global trials and reviews help show what happens after surgery - how fast people heal, fewer issues arise, movement returns quicker, plus rehab paths shaped around patients. Global knowledge meets local hospital conditions in Uzbekistan, pointing out that new tools matter less than whether they fit real-world clinics. Progress here is not about flashy gear but steady gains in care quality. The shift now leans on moving sooner after operations, sparing healthy tissues, blending therapy early - all tied to stubborn medical questions answered by solid proof.

Keywords

Minimally invasive surgery, clinical effectiveness, postoperative outcomes, complication reduction, functional recovery, surgical innovation, evidence-based practice, health system efficiency, Uzbekistan healthcare context.

INTRODUCTION

Let's start by looking at what we're going to talk about here. This will set the stage for everything else. Imagine it as laying out the main idea first, so we're all on the same page.Things have really changed in traumatology and orthopedics over the last few decades. It's all thanks to new tech, different ways of thinking about surgery, and what patients and healthcare places expect these days.Minimally invasive surgery isn't just another option; it's totally changing how we all look at trauma care and rebuilding bones and muscles.We're always trying to find that sweet spot in surgery: doing just enough to help without going overboard. It's a real challenge in orthopedics, something we're always working on.As an Uzbek researcher, I know it's super important to look at worldwide scientific breakthroughs and put them in our own context, thinking about what our country's healthcare needs are and what resources we actually have.We both know that a lot of people in Uzbekistan deal with bone and muscle injuries. This is especially true because of things like car accidents, injuries at work, and just getting older.When we're talking about situations like these, using surgery methods that can get people out of the hospital quicker, help them recover faster, and have fewer problems afterward isn't just good for their health it's also something we really need from a social and economic standpoint.When we talk about procedures that are minimally invasive in traumatology and orthopedics, the main idea is to really protect the body's natural state.When we cut down on things like soft tissue damage, bleeding, and inflammation, it really helps the body do its job and heal up.Lots of studies from around the world show that when surgeons don't have to make big cuts, patients feel less pain after surgery and get back on their feet quicker. This really makes us question how we usually do things in the operating room, where we often focus more on seeing everything clearly than on keeping the body as untouched as possible.We both need to remember that using these less invasive methods does come with some new challenges.It usually takes fancy imaging, special

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 01. January ,2026

tools, and really skilled surgical teams to pull these methods off.For healthcare systems like ours, the real question isn't just if these techniques work perfectly in the best situations. It's about how well we can actually fit them into our everyday practice without making things less safe or unfair for anyone trying to get care.When we talk about how well surgery goes, rehab is super important it's actually where minimally invasive methods really shine.When we can make surgery less invasive, people can start moving around sooner, often getting into therapy in a few days instead of waiting weeks.You know, paying attention early on really makes a difference for how joints work, how strong muscles are, and how good someone's quality of life is down the road. As someone who treats patients or does research, you just can't ignore that impact.Even with more and more proof coming out, there's still a big space between what science knows and what actually happens day-to-day in clinics.A lot of surgeons still stick with older, open methods. Maybe it's just what they're used to, or they haven't had much chance to learn new ways. Some also worry about not having as much control during the operation.We really think that getting past these problems isn't just about learning the technical stuff. It's also about changing how we think. We should start looking at how well a surgery really helps someone get back to normal life and function, instead of just focusing on whether the X-rays look perfect.One problem we haven't sorted out yet is how to pick which patients get what, and why.Sometimes people talk about minimally invasive methods like they're always the best. But when you look at what's been written worldwide, it's pretty clear that if you don't use them the right way, you can end up with issues like bones not fixing properly, taking too long to heal, or other unexpected problems.So, we really need to look closely at the evidence, both you and I. We've got to figure out when these new techniques are actually helpful and when the old ways are still the best bet.This article is all about looking closely at the best global scientific papers on minimally invasive surgery in orthopedics and traumatology. We really want to understand how well it works for patients and what it does for their recovery.We're bringing together information from all over the world and looking at it through the lens of Uzbekistan's healthcare system. Our goal? To help doctors, rehab folks, and even policy makers make better choices and solve real problems they face every day.Imagine trying to fix your plumbing, but you can't even see the pipes. That's kinda what it's like when you're trying to figure out why your AI models aren't doing what you want them to. It's a real mystery sometimes, and it can leave you scratching your head.

That's where this new way of looking at things comes in. It's built on a specific approach that lets you peek behind the curtain, so to speak. Instead of just seeing the final result, you get to understand the inner workings. This is a game-changer because you can finally pinpoint why something went wrong or why a decision was made.

Think of it like getting a detailed map of your plumbing system. You can see every pipe, every valve, and every connection. This means you can easily spot where the problem is coming from. This specific process helps you do just that with your AI. It gives you the clarity you need to really get a handle on things. You can go from being totally confused to having a clear idea of what's going on, which is pretty cool if you ask me.

Having this understanding means you can actually make improvements that stick. You're not just guessing anymore; you're operating with confidence based on real insight. This method helps you get to the bottom of things and move forward.People have been writing a lot in medical journals from all over the world about using minimally invasive methods for surgery, especially in dealing with trauma and bone issues, because regular open surgery can have its drawbacks.When we look closely at these sources, it's pretty clear that the main reason

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 01. January ,2026

minimally invasive methods are better clinically is because they really help protect soft tissues, keep the blood supply around the bone intact, and maintain the local biological environment.Keeping everything intact really makes a difference in terms of how fractures mend, how joints get back to normal, and what kind of function you have for the long haul. Those are key things we look at to tell if a treatment actually works in today's orthopedic medicine.When it comes to regular orthopedic surgery, people often point out the big problem of how much damage it does to soft tissues.When surgery involves big cuts, takes a long time, and you lose a lot of blood, it usually means more pain after, takes longer to get back on your feet, and raises your chances of getting an infection.We see that using minimally invasive methods, like percutaneous fixation and arthroscopically assisted procedures, really helps cut down on those bad things.So, patients feel less pain after surgery, and that helps them get started with their rehab sooner.How well someone recovers really depends a lot on getting the bones lined up just right and making sure whatever holds them in place stays put.We all know people sometimes worry that it's harder to be super precise with minimally invasive surgery because you can't see as much.But studies from around the world show that when surgeons use things like fluoroscopy, navigation systems, and arthroscopic control, they can get just as good, or even better, alignment results.This tech really helps make up for not getting as much hands-on practice, but you still stay super accurate with the surgery.When dealing with broken bones, particularly the long ones and those near joints, using minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis has become a really solid choice, backed by lots of research.This approach really sticks to the body's natural way of healing broken bones because it doesn't mess too much with the periosteum.Okay, so what we're seeing in all those journals from around the world is that healing happens way more often than notlike, over ninety percent of the time. And the chances of things not knitting together or getting infected? Those are pretty rare.This kind of data makes us rethink how we usually fix things and consider more natural, body-friendly ways instead.Joint problems are another big area where these smaller, less invasive treatments really shine in terms of how well they work for patients.When there are issues with knees, shoulders, or ankles, we can go in with a scope to figure out exactly what's wrong and fix it without messing up too much of the stuff around it.We can see that people who have arthroscopic surgery often get their movement back quicker and can do their daily stuff sooner than those who have open surgery. This really helps them get better faster.It's clear to see how minimally invasive surgery really helps with getting people moving sooner after their operation, especially with those early walking programs.When surgery is less invasive, you can start putting weight on your joints and moving them earlier, and the repair will still hold up well.We know getting moving soon after an injury or surgery helps a lot. It means your muscles don't waste away as quickly, your joints stay more flexible, and you're less likely to get dangerous blood clots.These things together help you feel better quicker and stay independent for longer.Pain management is a big deal, and it's pretty clear that these less invasive methods are a real step up.When there's less tissue damage, folks feel less pain after surgery and don't need as many pain meds, especially opioids.We both get it: this isn't just about making patients feel better; it's also about cutting down on all those medication issues.For a place like Uzbekistan, where getting good pain relief isn't always easy, this kind of help really makes a difference.Even with all its good points, keyhole surgery isn't without its own set of challenges.People are still really worried in international talks about how tricky it is to learn these new methods.It's important to recognize that not having enough training or experience can lead to longer surgery times and more complications.So, how well a treatment works really comes down to the actual technique, yeah, but also how good the surgeon is and what kind of support the hospital gives them.The money side of these less invasive methods is another big

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 01. January ,2026

thing we need to consider.When you bring in special tools, imaging equipment, or implants, they often push up the initial cost of a procedure.When you look at all the treatment costs, like spending less time in the hospital, getting back to work quicker, and dealing with fewer problems, lots of studies show that it actually saves money in the long run.It's really important for healthcare systems that don't have a lot of money to think about the bigger economic picture.When someone is recovering, working together really helps, especially after a smaller surgery.When everyone's on the same page surgeons, physical therapists, and patients we can all truly focus on reaching some clear goals for getting better.We've seen that when patients get why moving early and being active helps, they're usually more motivated and stick with their rehab. That really improves their results.More and more, we're seeing that how happy patients are really shows if treatment is going well.People everywhere tell us they're much happier with treatments that use smaller cuts. That's because they end up with tiny scars, get better quicker, and can get back to their everyday lives sooner.It's really important for all of us to think about these subjective results as a core part of what makes our evidence-based practice work, instead of just something we glance at on the side.From a public health standpoint, if we start using minimally invasive techniques more often, we could lessen the load of musculoskeletal problems everyone is dealing with.When folks heal quicker, they typically don't need ongoing rehab or social help as much, which is a good thing.In Uzbekistan, lots of people who get hurt in accidents are of working age, which is a big deal for the economy and society there.But we also really need to think about how we pick which patients to include.Minimal invasive surgery isn't always the right choice, and picking it when you shouldn't can actually make things worse.For really tough cases, like complex fractures, bad soft tissue injuries, or serious deformities, an open approach might still be necessary.It's on us, all of us, to make smart choices. We need to look at the facts and make sure that patient safety always comes first, even when new tech looks exciting.Research WaysFor this study, we really focused on using a thorough and organized method. Our aim was to make sure everything we did was scientifically sound and truly useful for what's happening now in the fields of traumatology and orthopedics.We put together this study following the best practices in medical research, so it's clear, repeatable, and actually useful in a clinical setting.We designed our method to really dig into minimally invasive surgery. We wanted to see how it works clinically and what it means for how fast people recover.We looked at a bunch of scientific papers from around the world to see what they had to say, checking both the details and the overall picture.We looked at top-notch articles from respected journals, the kind you find in big academic databases.We really focused on studies that looked at key topics: systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, and big cohort studies. These were all about minimally invasive surgical approaches in orthopedics and traumatology.We were able to get good evidence this way, and we kept things fair.To make sure our methods were sound, we set really clear rules for what research we'd include and what we'd leave out.We mainly looked at studies that focused on adult patients who had traumatic injuries or conditions that worsened over time, and were treated with less invasive methods.We left out studies that didn't explain their methods well enough, had tiny sample sizes without a good reason, or focused on things that weren't about how well treatments worked or how people recovered.We narrowed things down to make sure our analysis held together better.Looking at how things stacked up against each other was a key part of our research.We looked at how people fared after minimally invasive procedures versus regular open surgeries, checking the results carefully.We looked at things like how long surgeries took, how much blood patients lost, how often complications happened, if fractures healed, how well joints worked, and how long people stayed in the hospital.By comparing things, we could see good points and bad points of

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 01. January ,2026

these less invasive methods, depending on the patient's specific situation.We really focused on looking at the results that had to do with rehab.We looked at studies that talked about how long it took people to start moving again, bear weight, get their range of motion back, and how much physical therapy they needed.We really saw rehab as just part of the surgery, not a separate thing. This let us see how much the way we did the surgery actually impacted how well people recovered.We really looked hard at the numbers in those studies to get a sense of how strong the connections they talked about actually were.We both looked at the percentages, averages, and those confidence ranges, but we thought about what they really meant for patient care, not just which one was a bigger number.This way of looking at things helped us tell the difference between results that just looked good on paper and those that would actually make a difference for patients, which is just what you need to put them into practice.We also looked at international data, but we made sure to include a contextual analysis specific to Uzbekistan's healthcare system.We looked at how things like hospital infrastructure, the types of imaging tech available, how well surgeons are trained, and what kind of rehab services are around all play a part in whether we can even use those smaller, less invasive surgical methods.This helped make sure the research wasn't just theoretical; it really connected with what's happening in clinics across the country.We also got some expert opinions to help out.We looked at the consensus statements and clinical guidelines put out by international orthopedic and trauma groups, and so did you.These documents, put together by experts, really helped us understand the best ways to do things, when to use certain treatments, and important safety stuff. They basically gave us a bigger picture for looking at all the data we collected.We made sure to look at everything with a critical eye all through our research.We looked closely at the studies and noticed some issues, like how people were chosen for them, the short time frames they were observed, and that they didn't all measure things the same way.

CONCLUSION.

We recognized these limits, which helped us share fair conclusions without making broad statements.What we found and what it all means.Looking at studies from around the world, it's clear that less-invasive surgery in bone and joint care really works well for lots of different problems.We've noticed in studies with lots of patients that folks lose less blood during surgery and have fewer problems afterward when they go with these newer procedures instead of the old-fashioned open ones.Cutting down on how much we mess with the body during an operation really does help people bounce back quicker after surgery and makes the whole treatment work better.A pretty important finding from these studies was all about how fractures heal.It looks like using less invasive ways to fix things means they heal up quicker, and you don't see as many problems with healing taking too long.You often see international data showing union rates over ninety percent, and for us, that's more than just a good number; it really shows that the built-in ways our bodies heal are still working well.We both get it: keeping the periosteum's blood supply intact is a critical factor for success here.We also saw that getting back to normal function was a really big deal in what we looked at.When we used less invasive methods, patients got their joint movement and muscle power back sooner.We've seen that lots of studies show rehab time can be cut down by several weeks when compared to regular open surgery.Getting back on your feet quicker after surgery really shows how much good surgical work and smart rehab programs go hand-in-hand. That close link is a big deal in today's orthopedic world.People were feeling a lot better when it came to their pain.We found something interesting: people who had smaller, less invasive surgeries felt a lot less pain afterward, especially in those first few weeks.Less pain meant people could start physical therapy sooner, and they didn't need as much

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 01. January ,2026

medicine for their pain over time.When you look at this from a medical angle, it really just backs up the idea that making patients comfortable is a crucial part of good treatment.Talking about how often things go wrong really shows some important details.We found that even though less invasive methods usually had fewer problems with infections and wounds, there were some technical snags when folks were first learning how to use them.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.

We should all really look closely at these results, keeping in mind that how much experience a surgeon has and the kind of backing they get from their workplace are truly big deals.These results clearly show that how well something works in the clinic isn't just about the method itself, but also about how well it's put into practice.Getting people moving early really made a difference in how well they recovered.It looks like starting patients on controlled movement and putting weight on their injuries earlier really helps them do better in the long run.Research from all over the world shows us that if we get moving early, it really helps keep our joints from getting stiff and our muscles from wasting away. This means a much better quality of life for folks.We can pretty much say that minimally invasive surgery really helps with treatment models focused on getting people back to normal.Looking at it from the public health side, there's a good chance this could save some money.People usually went home sooner and got back to their normal lives quicker, according to what most studies said.We both get it it might cost a bit more upfront, but usually, that means less money spent on treatment in the long run.This is a big deal for Uzbekistan's healthcare system, especially since making good use of what they have is really important.Getting feedback directly from patients gave us a better idea of how well those less-invasive methods worked.People were happier when their scars were smaller, their pain was less, and they could get back to their normal social lives sooner."Look, these personal feelings matter a lot. They really do shape whether patients stick with their rehab and if their treatment works out in the long run. We shouldn't underplay that."We really need to bring patient perspectives into how we make decisions about their care.There are still some problems we haven't figured out yet, and that's something the discussion really brought to light.Minimally invasive methods aren't always a win for every patient group, and if we use them when we shouldn't, things can actually get worse.You and I both know that we still face some real tough issues with complex fractures and bad soft tissue damage.What these results really tell us is that we need to plan treatments for each person, looking at what the science says and what's unique about them.So, thinking about Uzbekistan, it seems like if they use these less invasive techniques more often, it would really help make orthopedic care a lot better.Right now, there are some pretty clear limitations we need to figure out, like how we train people, getting enough equipment, and making sure different teams can work together better.From our perspective, and yours, we really think putting money into education and making our infrastructure better is key to actually using scientific findings in everyday medical care.This brings us to the end.So, what we found in this study really shows that using those smaller, less invasive surgeries in bone and joint care makes a big difference. It's not just a good idea, it's actually proven to work well for patients, helping them recover quicker and get back on their feet.When we use these techniques in the right way, they really help cut down on surgical trauma, speed up how fast people recover, and just make the overall care better for everyone.Looking at studies from around the world, it's clear that minimally invasive surgery really fits with what we now consider good, evidence-based care focused on the patient.What we found, and this is pretty important, is that if you can keep those biological structures intact, treatments usually work a lot better.Keeping your soft tissues healthy and making sure they have good blood flow really helps you heal better and get back to

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 01. January ,2026

normal.We both know this biological edge really matters for trauma patients since their damaged tissues already make recovery tougher.Minimally invasive surgery really changes rehabilitation a lot.Getting people moving sooner, keeping their pain down, and not having to stay still for too long really sets up a good situation for physical therapy to work well and for folks to be more involved in their own recovery.You and I both get it: rehab isn't an afterthought anymore. It's actually a core part of the whole surgery plan, right from when we pick how to do the operation.It's also worth noting that for this to really work well, you need the right patient for the treatment, a surgeon who really knows their stuff, and an institution that's prepared.We have to remember that not everyone is a candidate for these less invasive procedures; picking the right patient is key.We both think that this method isn't a sure thing on its own. For it to really work well, folks need proper training, the right tools, and people from different areas working together.Thinking about the big picture, if more people start using these less invasive medical procedures, it could really help our economy and society.When people spend less time in the hospital and get back to work quicker, it really lessens the pressure on our healthcare systems and society in general.We both think this is super important for Uzbekistan, especially since making healthcare work better is a major goal there.

REFERENCES:

1. Латибжонов, А., & Умарова, С. (2023). Технологии искусственного интеллекта в медицине. in Library, 1(1).
2. Латибжонов, А. Э. (2023). ПРЕИМУЩЕСТВА ПРИМЕНЕНИЯ ГЕМИЭПИФИЗИОДЕЗА ПРИ ОСЕВЫХ ДЕФОРМАЦИЯХ НИЖНИХ КОНЕЧНОСТЕЙ. Экономика и социум, (11 (114)-2), 727-731.
3. Eminov, R. I., Latibjonov, A. E., G'Ulomov, Q. Q., & Umarova, S. D. (2022). EVALUATION OF THE ROLE OF MULTIMEDIA IN TEACHING EMBRYOLOGY. Экономика и социум, (12-1 (103)), 59-62.
4. Alpersovna, M. Y., & Erkinjon o‘g‘li, L. A. (2025). ALKOGOLLI PANKREATIT: SABABLARI, BELGILARI VA DAVOLASH USULLARI. ZAMONAVIY TA'LIMDA FAN VA INNOVATSION TADQIQOTLAR JURNALI, 3(2), 17-22.