



**HOLMIUM LASER ENUCLEATION OF THE PROSTATE VERSUS TURP AND OPEN  
PROSTATECTOMY FOR BENIGN PROSTATIC HYPERPLASIA: A SYSTEMATIC  
REVIEW**

**Mansurov Sardor Vali ugli**

Asia International University, Bukhara, Uzbekistan

**Abstract.** Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is one of the most prevalent urological conditions affecting aging men and represents a major cause of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS). Surgical intervention is indicated when conservative and pharmacological treatments fail. Traditionally, transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) has been considered the surgical gold standard for moderate-sized prostates, while open prostatectomy (OP) has been reserved for large glands. Over the past two decades, holmium laser enucleation of the prostate (HoLEP) has emerged as a minimally invasive, size-independent alternative. This systematic review evaluates and compares HoLEP, TURP, and OP in terms of efficacy, safety, perioperative outcomes, long-term durability, and complications. Current evidence suggests that HoLEP provides equivalent or superior functional outcomes with reduced morbidity and retreatment rates, positioning it as a preferred surgical option for BPH when expertise is available.

**Keywords.** Benign prostatic hyperplasia; HoLEP; TURP; Open prostatectomy; Lower urinary tract symptoms; Laser surgery

### **Introduction**

Benign prostatic hyperplasia is a progressive, nonmalignant enlargement of the prostate gland that occurs in aging men. Histological evidence of BPH is present in approximately 50% of men by the age of 60 and up to 90% by the age of 85. The condition leads to bladder outlet obstruction and is responsible for a wide spectrum of LUTS, including urinary frequency, urgency, nocturia, weak urinary stream, and incomplete bladder emptying.

Although medical therapy using  $\alpha$ -blockers and 5- $\alpha$ -reductase inhibitors is effective in many patients, surgical intervention remains the definitive treatment for moderate to severe symptoms, acute urinary retention, recurrent urinary tract infections, bladder stones, or renal impairment. Over the years, surgical management has evolved significantly, transitioning from open surgery to minimally invasive endoscopic techniques.

TURP has long been regarded as the reference standard. However, limitations such as bleeding risk, TUR syndrome, and reduced efficacy in large prostates have prompted the development of alternative techniques. HoLEP has gained widespread acceptance due to its anatomical enucleation approach, minimal bleeding, and applicability across all prostate sizes. This systematic review critically compares HoLEP with TURP and OP based on contemporary evidence.

### **Methods**

#### **Search Strategy**



A systematic literature search was conducted using PubMed, MEDLINE, and the Cochrane Library. Searches included articles published in English using combinations of the following keywords:

“HoLEP”

“TURP”

“Open prostatectomy”

“Benign prostatic hyperplasia”

“Laser enucleation”

### **Inclusion Criteria**

Randomized controlled trials

Prospective and retrospective comparative studies

Meta-analyses and systematic reviews

Studies reporting functional, perioperative, or long-term outcomes

### **Exclusion Criteria**

Case reports

Non-comparative studies

Studies with insufficient outcome data

### **Outcome Measures**

Primary outcomes:

International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS)

Maximum urinary flow rate (Qmax)

Secondary outcomes:

Blood loss and transfusion rate

Operative time

Catheterization duration

Length of hospital stay

Complication and retreatment rates

### **Surgical Techniques Overview**



### **Holmium Laser Enucleation of the Prostate (HoLEP)**

HoLEP involves anatomical enucleation of the prostatic adenoma from the surgical capsule using a holmium:YAG laser. The enucleated tissue is subsequently morcellated and removed. This technique closely resembles open prostatectomy but is performed endoscopically.

### **Transurethral Resection of the Prostate (TURP)**

TURP removes obstructing tissue using monopolar or bipolar electrocautery. Prostate tissue is resected in small chips, which limits efficiency in large glands.

### **Open Prostatectomy (OP)**

OP involves open enucleation of the adenoma via retropubic or suprapubic approaches. Although effective, it is associated with higher morbidity.

### **Functional Outcomes**

#### **Symptom Improvement**

All three procedures demonstrate significant postoperative improvement in IPSS. Multiple studies report that HoLEP and OP achieve more durable symptom relief compared to TURP, particularly in large prostates.

#### **Urinary Flow Rate**

Postoperative Qmax increases significantly following all techniques. HoLEP consistently shows comparable or superior improvements relative to TURP and similar outcomes to OP.

#### **Perioperative Outcomes**

##### **Operative Time**

HoLEP may initially require longer operative times, particularly during the learning curve. However, experienced surgeons achieve times comparable to or shorter than TURP and OP.

##### **Blood Loss**

HoLEP demonstrates the lowest intraoperative blood loss and transfusion rates due to superior laser coagulation. OP carries the highest bleeding risk.

##### **Hospital Stay and Catheterization**

HoLEP is associated with the shortest catheterization duration and hospital stay. TURP requires intermediate recovery, while OP necessitates prolonged hospitalization and recovery.

#### **Complications**

##### **Urinary Incontinence**

Transient stress urinary incontinence is more frequently reported after HoLEP, particularly in the early postoperative period. Most cases resolve within 3–6 months.



### **Sexual Dysfunction**

Erectile function is generally preserved across all procedures. Retrograde ejaculation is common following HoLEP, TURP, and OP and should be discussed preoperatively.

### **Reoperation Rates**

HoLEP and OP demonstrate significantly lower retreatment rates compared to TURP due to more complete adenoma removal.

### **Learning Curve and Resource Considerations**

HoLEP has a steep learning curve, often cited as a limitation. Surgeon experience strongly correlates with improved outcomes and reduced complications. Additionally, the requirement for laser and morcellation equipment increases initial costs.

### **Discussion**

HoLEP represents a paradigm shift in BPH surgery. By combining the effectiveness of open prostatectomy with the advantages of minimally invasive surgery, HoLEP offers superior durability with reduced morbidity. TURP remains suitable for smaller prostates and centers lacking laser expertise, while OP is increasingly reserved for select cases.

### **Limitations of the Review**

Heterogeneity in study design

Variability in surgeon experience

Limited long-term randomized trials

### **Future Directions**

Standardized training pathways for HoLEP

Long-term comparative randomized trials

Cost-effectiveness analyses in different healthcare systems

### **Conclusion**

Current evidence supports HoLEP as a highly effective, safe, and durable surgical option for BPH across all prostate sizes. When performed by experienced surgeons, HoLEP should be considered a first-line surgical treatment, surpassing TURP and largely replacing open prostatectomy.

### **References.**

1. CHRONIC PROSTATITIS: ETIOLOGY AND TREATMENT. (2025). International Journal of Medical Sciences, 5(12), 729-733. <https://doi.org/10.55640/>

2. COMPARISON OF SURGICAL TECHNIQUES FOR BENIGN PROSTATIC HYPERPLASIA: OUTCOMES AND INNOVATIONS. (2025). International Journal of Medical  
519



Sciences, 5(11), 551-554. <https://doi.org/10.55640/>

3. Mansurov Sardor Vali o'g'li. (2025). Neuro-Oncology in Transition: Molecular Classification and Emerging Therapies for Brain Tumors. International Journal of Integrative and Modern Medicine, 3(10), 233–237. Retrieved from <https://medicaljournals.eu/index.php/IJIMM/article/view/2235>

4. o'g'li, M. S. V. (2025). ESOPHAGEAL CANCER: EPIDEMIOLOGY, PATHOGENESIS, AND MODERN APPROACHES TO MANAGEMENT. International Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience and Psychology, 3(9), 59–64. Retrieved from <https://medicaljournals.eu/index.php/IJICNP/article/view/2127>

5. Mansurov Sardor Vali o'g'li. (2025). RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN THE DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF HEPATITIS: A GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15478708>

6. Mansurov Sardor Vali o'g'li. (2025). URINARY BLADDER CANCER. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15227539>

7. Mansurov Sardor Vali o'g'li. (2025). ACUTE KIDNEY INJURY: ETIOLOGY AND TREATMENT. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15070424>

8. Mansurov Sardor Vali o'g'li. (2025). EPIDEMIOLOGY AND TREATMENT OF RENAL CELL CARCINOMA: IDENTIFYING KEY RISK FACTORS. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14909826>

9. PROSTATE CANCER: PATHOLOGY AND TREATMENT. (2024). International Bulletin of Medical Sciences and Clinical Research, 4(11), 65-70. <https://doi.org/10.37547/>

10. Mansurov Sardor Vali o'g'li, KIDNEY CANCER: EPIDEMIOLOGY AND TREATMENT , EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF MODERN MEDICINE AND PRACTICE: Vol. 4 No. 11 (2024): European Journal of Modern Medicine and Practice

<https://inovatus.es/index.php/ejmmp/article/view/4509>

11. Mansurov Sardor Vali o'g'li, SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA OF THE PENIS: EPIDEMIOLOGY AND TREATMENT , EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF MODERN MEDICINE AND PRACTICE: Vol. 4 No. 10 (2024): European Journal of Modern Medicine and Practice <https://inovatus.es/index.php/ejmmp/article/view/4245>

12. Valiyevich, M. S. . (2024). Specific Morphofunctional Characteristics of the Kidney Caused by Brain Damage in Various Emergency Situations. Research Journal of Trauma and Disability Studies, 3(4), 286–289. Retrieved from <http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/rjtds/article/view/2628>

13. Bahromov Bekzod Shavkatovich. (2025). UROLITHIASIS: EPIDEMIOLOGY AND RISK FACTORS. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15070401>

14. ANESTHESIOLOGICAL CARE AND INTENSIVE CARE OF GERONTOLOGICAL PATIENTS IN UROLOGY. (2025). International Journal of Medical Sciences, 5(02), 381-384. <https://doi.org/10.55640/>



15. SOME PROBLEMS OF UROLOGY IN NEPHROLOGY. (2024). International Journal of Medical Sciences, 4(12), 127-130. <https://doi.org/10.55640/>
16. PREVENTION OF INTRAOPERATIVE COMPLICATIONS OF ENDOVIDEOSURGICAL INTERVENTIONS IN UROLOGY. (2024). International Journal of Medical Sciences, 4(11), 292-295. <https://doi.org/10.55640/>
17. ПУТИ УЛУЧШЕНИЯ КАЧЕСТВА НЕОТЛОЖНОЙ ПОМОЩИ БОЛЬНЫМ УРЕТЕРОЛИТИАЗОМ. (2024). International Journal of Medical Sciences, 4(10), 135-138. <https://doi.org/10.55640/>
18. Bahromov Bekzod Shavkatovich. (2024). Urinary Tract Infection Gonorrhoea. SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL OF APPLIED AND MEDICAL SCIENCES, 3(5), 515–517. Retrieved from <https://sciencebox.uz/index.php/amaltibbiyot/article/view/10804>
19. Shavkatovich B. B. . (2024). Urinary Tract Infections. Research Journal of Trauma and Disability Studies, 3(4), 249–251. Retrieved from <https://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/rjtds/article/view/2602>
20. Bahromov Bekzod Shavkatovich. (2025). PROSTATE CANCER: EPIDEMIOLOGY, RISK FACTORS, DIAGNOSIS. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15242564>
21. URINARY STONE DISEASE: ETIOLOGY, PATHOGENESIS, AND TREATMENT. (2025). International Journal of Medical Sciences, 5(11), 456-458. <https://doi.org/10.55640/>
22. URINARY TRACT INFECTION (UTI): ETIOLOGY, PATHOGENESIS, DIAGNOSIS, AND TREATMENT. (2025). International Journal of Medical Sciences, 5(12), 735-738. <https://doi.org/10.55640/>
23. Bahromov Bekzod Shavkatovich. (2025). RISK FACTORS AND DIAGNOSIS OF KIDNEY STONE. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15515734>
24. Shavkatovich, B. B. (2025). UROLITHIASIS: ETIOLOGY AND DIAGNOSTIC APPROACHES. International Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience and Psychology, 3(9), 39–43. Retrieved from <https://medicaljournals.eu/index.php/IJCNP/article/view/2124>
25. Bahromov Bekzod Shavkatovich. (2025). BENIGN PROSTATIC HYPERPLASIA (BPH). International Journal of Integrative and Modern Medicine, 3(10), 247–250. Retrieved from <https://medicaljournals.eu/index.php/IJIMM/article/view/2238>
26. ACUTE PROSTATITIS: ETIOLOGY, PATHOPHYSIOLOGY, CLINICAL PRESENTATION, DIAGNOSTIC APPROACH, AND CONTEMPORARY MANAGEMENT. (2026). Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Innovations, 5(01), 806-812. <https://doi.org/10.55640/>
27. MODERN TREATMENT APPROACHES FOR URINARY STONE DISEASE (UROLITHIASIS). (2026). Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Innovations, 5(01), 802-805. <https://doi.org/10.55640/>