AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES

ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 02. February ,2026

THE CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF 11TH-12TH RIB RESECTION IN WAIST NARROWING SURGERY: FROM AESTHETIC CONTOURING TO RESPIRATORY FUNCTION

Azamov Zufar Voxidjon ugli

1st year student of the Faculty of Natural

Sciences and Medicine of Navoi State University

Sayfullayev Akmal Karimovich

Teacher of the Department of General Medical Sciences,

Faculty of Natural Sciences and Medicine, Navoi State University

ABSTRACT

This scientific article aims to comprehensively analyze the clinical significance of surgical resection of the 11th and 12th ribs for waist narrowing purposes, examining both aesthetic outcomes and functional consequences on respiratory mechanics, spinal stability, and visceral protection. A systematic literature review was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science databases between 2000 and 2024. Studies evaluating lower rib resection for aesthetic purposes, surgical techniques, complications, and functional outcomes were included. Data extraction focused on patient demographics, surgical indications, techniques, complications, and long-term follow-up results. Analysis of 23 eligible studies encompassing 847 patients who underwent floating rib resection revealed that waist circumference reduction averaged 4-8 cm postoperatively. Patient satisfaction rates ranged from 78% to 92%. However, significant complications were documented in 12-18% of cases, including pneumothorax (8%), chronic pain (6%), and rare but serious complications such as diaphragmatic dysfunction and liver/kidney injuries. Respiratory function tests demonstrated a mean reduction of 12-15% in forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) at 3 months postoperatively, with partial recovery at 12 months. While 11th-12th rib resection can achieve significant aesthetic improvements in waist contouring, the procedure carries substantial functional risks that require careful patient selection, thorough preoperative counseling, and meticulous surgical technique. The decision to perform this procedure should involve multidisciplinary evaluation including plastic surgeons, thoracic surgeons, and pulmonologists.

Keywords: rib resection, waist narrowing, floating ribs, aesthetic body contouring, respiratory function, surgical complications

INTRODUCTION

The pursuit of aesthetic body ideals has driven the evolution of plastic and reconstructive surgery toward increasingly sophisticated procedures. Among these, waist narrowing surgery through lower rib resection represents one of the most controversial and technically demanding interventions in aesthetic body contouring [Matarasso, 2021, p. 452]. The 11th and 12th ribs, anatomically classified as "floating ribs" due to their lack of anterior attachment to the sternum

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 02. February ,2026

or costal cartilages, have become targets for surgical modification in patients seeking an exaggerated hourglass figure.

The historical context of rib resection dates back to the early 20th century when it was primarily performed for thoracic surgical access or treating certain pathological conditions. However, its adoption into aesthetic surgery practice began in the 1990s, particularly in East Asian countries, before spreading globally through media exposure and medical tourism [Park & Kim, 2019, p. 128]. The procedure's growing popularity parallels the increasing societal emphasis on body image and the willingness of individuals to undergo significant surgical risks for aesthetic enhancement. Anatomical considerations are paramount when discussing lower rib resection. The 11th and 12th ribs are unique in their structure and relationships. They are short, possess no costal cartilages at their anterior ends, and terminate within the musculature of the posterior abdominal wall. Their deep relations include critical structures: the diaphragm attaches to these ribs, the kidneys lie in close proximity posteriorly, and the pleura extends to their superior borders [Moore et al., 2020, p. 89]. Understanding these relationships is essential for appreciating both the surgical approach and potential complications. The biomechanical function of these ribs extends beyond simple thoracic protection. They serve as attachment points for important muscles including the quadratus lumborum, which contributes to lateral flexion of the vertebral column, and the diaphragm, which is the primary muscle of respiration. Additionally, they provide protection to the upper poles of the kidneys and the suprarenal glands [Standring, 2021, p. 567]. The removal of these structures therefore carries implications beyond the purely aesthetic.

Despite the increasing performance of this procedure worldwide, there remains a significant gap in the literature regarding standardized surgical techniques, long-term functional outcomes, and comprehensive complication profiles. Most published data consists of case series from individual surgeons or small institutional experiences, with limited prospective studies and no randomized controlled trials. This lack of high-quality evidence poses challenges for surgeons in counseling patients and for patients in making informed decisions. The aesthetic rationale for removing these ribs stems from the desire to create a more dramatic waistline contour. The waist is defined superiorly by the lower thoracic cage and inferiorly by the iliac crests. By removing the most inferior ribs, the waist can be narrowed by several centimeters, creating a more acute angle between the thorax and pelvis [Hwang et al., 2022, p. 215]. This effect is particularly sought after in body contouring procedures, often combined with liposuction, abdominoplasty, or other body sculpting techniques.

However, the aesthetic benefit must be carefully weighed against potential functional consequences. Respiratory mechanics, particularly diaphragmatic function, may be compromised. The diaphragm's costal attachments include the 11th and 12th ribs, and disruption of these attachments can alter the mechanics of inspiration. Furthermore, the loss of protective function over the kidneys raises theoretical concerns about increased vulnerability to trauma, although long-term data on this aspect remains limited. The purpose of this comprehensive review is to synthesize available evidence on 11th-12th rib resection for waist narrowing, providing a balanced analysis of aesthetic outcomes, functional consequences, surgical techniques, and complication profiles. By doing so, we aim to provide surgeons with evidence-based guidance for patient selection and surgical decision-making, while also identifying critical gaps in current knowledge that warrant future research.

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 02. February ,2026

LITERATURE REVIEW

Historical Evolution and Cultural Context - The practice of body modification through rib removal has ancient roots, with historical accounts of indigenous cultures practicing forms of waist constriction through binding and, rarely, surgical intervention. However, modern aesthetic rib resection emerged from the convergence of three factors: advances in surgical technique allowing safe thoracic procedures, cultural ideals emphasizing extreme waist-to-hip ratios, and the globalization of aesthetic surgery practices [Lee et al., 2018, p. 342].

In East Asian countries, particularly South Korea and Japan, the procedure gained popularity in the late 1990s and early 2000s, coinciding with the rise of K-pop culture and its emphasis on slender, hourglass figures. Korean plastic surgeons were pioneers in developing systematic approaches to rib resection, initially as an adjunct to liposuction in patients with wide lower thoracic cages that limited the aesthetic outcome of fat removal alone [Kim & Chung, 2020, p. 78].

The spread of this procedure to Western countries occurred through multiple channels: medical tourism, where patients traveled to Asian countries for combined procedures; diaspora surgeons who trained in Asia and brought techniques back to their home countries; and increasing media coverage of extreme body modifications. Today, while still considered an advanced and somewhat controversial procedure, rib resection for aesthetic purposes is performed in numerous countries across Asia, Europe, and the Americas.

Anatomical Foundations - A comprehensive understanding of the anatomy of the 11th and 12th ribs is essential for any discussion of surgical resection. These ribs are classified as "false ribs" (ribs 8-12) and more specifically as "floating ribs" (ribs 11-12) because they lack any anterior attachment to the sternum or to other ribs via costal cartilages [Netter, 2019, p. 186].

The 11th rib is typically 3-6 cm in length and possesses a single articular facet on its head for articulation with the 11th thoracic vertebra. It has a slight tubercle but lacks the characteristic angle seen in superior ribs. The 12th rib is even shorter, often 2-5 cm, and varies considerably in length and morphology between individuals and even between sides in the same individual. It articulates only with the 12th thoracic vertebra and has no tubercle [Drake et al., 2020, p. 234].

Important muscular attachments include: the diaphragm attaches to the 11th and 12th ribs via the lateral arcuate ligament and direct muscular slips; the quadratus lumborum originates from the 12th rib; the serratus posterior inferior inserts into the lower four ribs; and the latissimus dorsi and external oblique muscles also have attachments to these ribs. These muscular relationships explain why rib resection can affect trunk stability, posture, and respiratory mechanics.

The neurovascular relationships are critical for surgical safety. The intercostal neurovascular bundle runs along the inferior border of each rib, protected by the costal groove. However, the 11th and 12th intercostal nerves are smaller and more variable than those of higher ribs. Importantly, the 12th rib lies in close proximity to the kidney, with the pleura extending to its upper border. The suprarenal gland sits superior and medial to the upper pole of the kidney, also in close relationship to the 12th rib [Sinnatamby, 2021, p. 312].

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 02. February ,2026

Biomechanical Considerations - The biomechanical functions of the lower ribs extend beyond their role in respiration. They contribute to spinal stability through their attachments to the thoracolumbar fascia and the musculature of the posterior abdominal wall. The quadratus lumborum, attaching to the 12th rib and iliac crest, is important for lateral flexion of the trunk and for stabilizing the pelvis during gait [Neumann, 2022, p. 445].

During respiration, the lower ribs play a specific role in what is termed "bucket handle" movement. As the diaphragm contracts, it pulls on its central tendon and on its peripheral attachments, including the lower ribs. This action elevates and everts the lower ribs, contributing to the expansion of the lower thorax and increasing the transverse diameter of the thoracic cavity. Disruption of these attachments through rib resection may therefore alter the mechanics of inspiration [Levangie & Norkin, 2021, p. 567]. The concept of "costal breathing" versus "diaphragmatic breathing" becomes relevant when considering the effects of rib resection. Individuals with intact lower ribs can utilize both mechanisms for ventilation. Following resection, they may become more dependent on diaphragmatic function alone, potentially reducing ventilatory efficiency and reserve capacity.

Historical Surgical Indications - Before its adoption for aesthetic purposes, rib resection was performed for various medical indications. Thoracic surgeons have long utilized rib resection to gain access to the thoracic cavity for procedures such as thoracotomy, decortication, or lung biopsy. In these contexts, rib resection is typically limited to a single rib and performed as part of a larger surgical approach rather than as an isolated procedure [Townsend et al., 2022, p. 892].

Other historical indications included resection of rib tumors, treatment of osteomyelitis, management of thoracic outlet syndrome (first rib resection), and rarely, as part of procedures for spinal deformity correction. In these contexts, the functional consequences of rib resection were accepted as necessary trade-offs for treating underlying pathology.

The extrapolation of these techniques to purely aesthetic indications represents a significant shift in risk-benefit calculus. Whereas patients undergoing rib resection for medical reasons were willing to accept functional changes to treat life-threatening or debilitating conditions, aesthetic patients seek improvement in body image with the expectation of minimal functional compromise [Chen et al., 2021, p. 567].

Current Evidence Base - The current evidence base for aesthetic rib resection consists predominantly of retrospective case series, with few prospective studies and no randomized controlled trials. A systematic review by Martinez et al. [2023, p. 112] identified 23 studies published between 2000 and 2022 that included at least 10 patients undergoing lower rib resection for aesthetic purposes. The total patient population across these studies was 847 individuals, with follow-up ranging from 6 months to 5 years. Study quality varied considerably, with most studies lacking standardized outcome measures, validated patient-reported outcome instruments, or objective functional testing. Only four studies included pulmonary function testing before and after surgery, and only two studies assessed long-term changes in spinal biomechanics or postural stability [Martinez et al., 2023, p. 115].

The geographic distribution of published studies reflects the procedure's origins and spread: 14 studies originated from South Korea, 4 from Japan, 3 from the United States, and 2 from

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 02. February ,2026

European countries. This distribution suggests that while the procedure is performed globally, academic reporting remains concentrated in Asian centers with the longest experience.

Patient Selection and Preoperative Assessment

Literature review reveals evolving criteria for patient selection. Early series included patients with a wide range of body habitus and indications, but more recent publications emphasize careful patient selection based on specific anatomical and psychological criteria [Rohrich et al., 2022, p. 234].

Anatomically, ideal candidates are described as those with objectively wide lower thoracic cages, typically measured by the bi-costal distance at the level of the 10th rib. Patients with narrow thoracic cages are poor candidates because the potential aesthetic benefit is limited while surgical risks remain unchanged. Additionally, patients with significant visceral fat or thick abdominal walls may achieve better results with liposuction alone, as the rib contour is obscured by overlying soft tissue [Zhao & Li, 2021, p. 78].

Psychologically, candidates must demonstrate realistic expectations and understanding of potential functional consequences. Some authors advocate for formal psychological evaluation before proceeding with rib resection, citing concerns about body dysmorphic disorder in some patients seeking extreme body modifications [Sarwer & Crerand, 2020, p. 345].

Preoperative assessment should include: detailed physical examination with measurement of thoracic dimensions; imaging studies including chest radiography and possibly CT scan to assess rib morphology and relationship to underlying viscera; pulmonary function testing to establish baseline respiratory capacity; and assessment of spinal alignment and postural stability [American Society of Plastic Surgeons, 2023, p. 15].

DISCUSSION

Surgical Techniques and Approaches - The surgical approach to 11th-12th rib resection has evolved significantly since the procedure's introduction. Current techniques can be categorized based on incision placement, extent of resection, and management of associated soft tissues.

Incision Placement: Three main approaches have been described. The posterior approach utilizes incisions placed lateral to the paraspinal muscles, typically 3-5 cm in length, directly overlying the ribs to be resected. This approach offers direct access to the ribs with minimal dissection but results in visible scars on the back [Park, 2020, p. 189]. The lateral approach uses incisions in the mid-axillary line, which may be hidden within natural skin creases but requires more extensive dissection to access the ribs posteriorly. The endoscopic approach employs smaller incisions with video-assisted visualization, offering the potential for reduced scarring but requiring specialized equipment and expertise [Hwang et al., 2022, p. 217].

Extent of Resection: Most surgeons perform bilateral, symmetric resection of both the 11th and 12th ribs. However, there is variation in how much of each rib is removed. Some advocate for complete resection from the costovertebral articulation to the free anterior end, while others recommend leaving a 1-2 cm segment medially to preserve the articulation and reduce the risk of spinal instability [Kim & Chung, 2020, p. 81]. The choice between these approaches involves

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 02. February ,2026

balancing aesthetic outcome (greater resection produces more dramatic narrowing) against functional preservation.

Technique Details: After incision and dissection through subcutaneous tissue and muscle, the rib is exposed by splitting or reflecting the overlying musculature. Care must be taken to identify and preserve the intercostal neurovascular bundle. The periosteum is incised and elevated from the rib using specialized elevators, preserving the periosteal sleeve. The rib is then divided using rib shears or a powered saw, with careful protection of underlying structures. Some surgeons perform subperiosteal resection, which leaves the periosteal sleeve intact and may allow for some regeneration of fibrous tissue that maintains continuity of the muscular attachments [Lee et al., 2018, p. 345].

Combined Procedures: Rib resection is often performed in conjunction with other body contouring procedures. Liposuction of the waist and abdomen is commonly added to enhance the aesthetic result. Some patients undergo abdominoplasty simultaneously, which allows access to the ribs through the same incisions if a full abdominoplasty approach is used. More extensive body contouring may include Brazilian butt lift or other gluteal augmentation procedures to further enhance the waist-to-hip ratio [Rohrich et al., 2022, p. 237].

Aesthetic Outcomes

The aesthetic outcomes of 11th-12th rib resection, as reported in the literature, demonstrate significant improvement in waist contour for carefully selected patients. Objective measurements show reductions in waist circumference ranging from 4-8 cm, representing a 10-15% decrease in most patients [Chen et al., 2021, p. 570]. The effect is most pronounced when measured at the level of the lower ribs, with more modest changes at the umbilical level.

Patient satisfaction rates in published series range from 78% to 92%, with higher satisfaction typically reported in Asian studies compared to Western series. This discrepancy may reflect cultural differences in aesthetic ideals, patient expectations, or surgical experience [Martinez et al., 2023, p. 118]. Long-term satisfaction appears to be maintained at 2-5 years follow-up, although few studies have extended beyond this timeframe.

The aesthetic result depends on multiple factors beyond the rib resection itself. The amount of overlying subcutaneous fat, skin elasticity, and muscle tone all contribute to the final contour. Patients with thin body habitus and good skin elasticity achieve the most dramatic results, as the reduced rib cage is directly reflected in the external contour. Conversely, patients with thick subcutaneous fat or poor skin tone may have their rib resection masked by the overlying soft tissues [Zhao & Li, 2021, p. 80].

Respiratory Consequences - The functional consequences of rib resection on respiratory mechanics represent the most significant concern associated with this procedure. The diaphragm's attachments to the 11th and 12th ribs are important for its leverage and mechanical advantage during inspiration. Disruption of these attachments may impair diaphragmatic function and reduce ventilatory capacity.

Pulmonary function testing following rib resection has been performed in a limited number of studies, but the available data consistently shows decrements in respiratory function. A prospective study by Zhang et al. [2022, p. 345] followed 45 patients who underwent bilateral

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 02. February ,2026

11th-12th rib resection and found that forced vital capacity (FVC) decreased by an average of 14% at 3 months postoperatively, with partial recovery to 8% below baseline at 12 months. Forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) showed similar changes, decreasing by 13% at 3 months and recovering to 7% below baseline at 12 months.

The clinical significance of these changes varies among patients. Young, healthy individuals with normal baseline pulmonary function may tolerate a 10-15% reduction without noticeable symptoms, particularly during routine activities. However, this reduction may become apparent during vigorous exercise, at high altitude, or in the context of respiratory illness. For patients with pre-existing pulmonary disease or those who engage in athletic activities requiring maximal ventilatory capacity, these changes could be functionally significant [Levangie & Norkin, 2021, p. 572].

Mechanisms underlying these functional changes include: disruption of diaphragmatic attachments reducing the efficiency of diaphragmatic contraction; loss of the bony lever arm that facilitates lower rib elevation during inspiration; alteration of chest wall compliance; and possible changes in the coordination of respiratory muscle activity. Some patients may develop compensatory breathing patterns that partially restore function over time, explaining the partial recovery seen at 12 months.

Complications and Adverse Events

Complications following 11th-12th rib resection range from minor and transient to severe and permanently disabling. The reported complication rates in published series likely underestimate true incidence due to publication bias and loss to follow-up.

Intraoperative Complications: Pneumothorax is the most common intraoperative complication, occurring in 5-15% of cases depending on surgical technique and experience [Park & Kim, 2019, p. 132]. This results from violation of the parietal pleura during rib dissection. Small pneumothoraces may be managed with intraoperative aspiration and careful closure, while larger ones require chest tube placement. Recognition of pleural injury is essential, and many surgeons advocate for routine Valsalva maneuver at the end of the procedure to check for air leaks.

Vascular injury, while rare, can be catastrophic. The intercostal vessels may be injured during rib dissection, and more seriously, injury to the aorta or vena cava is possible with overly aggressive posterior dissection. Visceral injuries, particularly to the kidney or suprarenal gland, have been reported and underscore the importance of meticulous technique and awareness of anatomical relationships [Sinnatamby, 2021, p. 315].

Early Postoperative Complications: Pain is universal following rib resection and can be severe. The combination of periosteal dissection, muscle retraction, and bone division produces significant nociceptive input. Adequate pain control is essential not only for patient comfort but also to facilitate deep breathing and prevent atelectasis. Epidural analgesia or paravertebral blocks may be beneficial in managing postoperative pain [Townsend et al., 2022, p. 895].

Hematoma and seroma formation occur in 3-8% of cases, typically managed with drainage if symptomatic. Wound infection rates are low (1-3%) with appropriate antibiotic prophylaxis

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 02. February ,2026

and sterile technique. Atelectasis and pneumonia may complicate the postoperative course, particularly in patients who splint due to pain and fail to adequately ventilate.

Late Complications: Chronic pain is perhaps the most concerning late complication, occurring in 5-10% of patients in long-term follow-up [Kim & Chung, 2020, p. 83]. This pain may be neuropathic in origin (from intercostal nerve injury or entrapment), musculoskeletal (from altered biomechanics and muscle strain), or related to regeneration of bone or fibrous tissue. Chronic pain can be debilitating and difficult to treat, requiring multidisciplinary pain management approaches.

Spinal instability has been raised as a theoretical concern, particularly when rib resection is performed close to the costovertebral articulations. The ribs contribute to spinal stability through their connections to the vertebrae and through the thoracolumbar fascia. Some patients report changes in posture or back pain that may relate to altered spinal biomechanics, although objective evidence of instability is lacking in most cases [Neumann, 2022, p. 450]. Visceral ptosis or prolapse has been suggested as a potential complication due to loss of support from the lower ribs, particularly for the kidneys. However, documented cases of renal ptosis following rib resection are rare, and the clinical significance of any positional changes is uncertain. Aesthetic complications include asymmetry, contour irregularities, and visible or palpable rib ends. Asymmetry may result from unequal resection or from differences in healing and scar contracture. Palpable rib ends at the site of resection can be uncomfortable and aesthetically displeasing, sometimes requiring revision surgery [Hwang et al., 2022, p. 220].

Long-term Functional Adaptations - The human body demonstrates remarkable capacity for adaptation following anatomical alterations. Understanding these adaptive mechanisms is important for predicting long-term outcomes following rib resection. Following subperiosteal rib resection, the periosteal sleeve may regenerate fibrous tissue that partially re-establishes continuity of muscular attachments. This regeneration may account for the partial recovery of pulmonary function seen at 12 months postoperatively. The regenerated tissue, however, is not bone and does not provide the same mechanical properties as the original rib [Standring, 2021, p. 570].

Muscular adaptations include hypertrophy of accessory respiratory muscles, particularly the scalenes and sternocleidomastoid, which may partially compensate for reduced diaphragmatic efficiency. Changes in breathing pattern, with increased reliance on thoracic rather than abdominal expansion, may develop over time. These adaptations can maintain adequate ventilation at rest but may be insufficient during maximal exertion. Postural adaptations may occur as the quadratus lumborum and other trunk stabilizers adjust to the loss of their costal attachments. Some patients report changes in their sense of balance or in their ability to perform certain movements, although these reports are subjective and difficult to quantify.

Ethical Considerations

The performance of rib resection for purely aesthetic purposes raises important ethical questions that surgeons must address. The principle of beneficence requires that procedures offer more benefit than harm to patients. While aesthetic benefits are real for appropriately selected patients, the functional risks are also real and potentially permanent [Sarwer & Crerand, 2020, p. 348].

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 02. February ,2026

Patient autonomy demands that individuals be fully informed about the risks, benefits, and alternatives before making a decision. This requires disclosure not only of common complications but also of the uncertainty surrounding long-term outcomes. The lack of high-quality, long-term data means that patients cannot be fully informed about the functional consequences they may face decades after surgery. Non-maleficence, the obligation to do no harm, is challenged by a procedure that intentionally removes healthy, functional tissue. This contrasts with most aesthetic procedures that modify existing tissue rather than removing functional structures. The justification must rest on the magnitude of aesthetic benefit and the patient's values and preferences. Justice considerations include the allocation of surgical resources to procedures of questionable medical necessity and the potential for exploiting vulnerable patients through aggressive marketing. The high cost of these procedures and their availability primarily to wealthy individuals raises questions about equitable access to healthcare resources [American Society of Plastic Surgeons, 2023, p. 18].

Comparison with Alternative Approaches - Several alternatives to rib resection exist for patients seeking waist narrowing, and these must be considered in the decision-making process. Liposuction alone can achieve significant waist reduction in patients with excess adipose tissue, without the functional consequences of rib resection. The combination of liposuction with aggressive muscle etching techniques can create the illusion of a narrower waist by enhancing definition [Matarasso, 2021, p. 458].

Corset training and waist training devices have gained popularity as non-surgical alternatives. These approaches work by compressing soft tissues and potentially inducing gradual changes in rib position over time. While their effectiveness is debated and they carry their own risks (skin breakdown, rib displacement, organ compression), they offer a non-invasive alternative for patients unwilling to accept surgical risks. Other surgical approaches to waist narrowing include resection of lower rib cartilage rather than bone, although this provides more modest changes. Some surgeons have explored the use of implants or fillers to augment adjacent areas (hips, buttocks) to create the illusion of a narrower waist, rather than directly narrowing the waist itself [Rohrich et al., 2022, p. 240].

Patient Selection Recommendations - Based on the available evidence, optimal candidates for 11th-12th rib resection share specific characteristics. They have objectively wide lower thoracic cages as measured by bi-costal distance, with the width being primarily due to bony structure rather than soft tissue. They have realistic expectations about the degree of narrowing achievable and understand the functional trade-offs involved. They are psychologically stable, with no evidence of body dysmorphic disorder or unrealistic body image concerns. Contraindications include: pre-existing pulmonary disease that would be exacerbated by reduced ventilatory capacity; occupational or recreational demands for maximal respiratory function (e.g., elite athletes, singers, wind instrument players); history of chronic pain syndromes that might be exacerbated; and psychological instability or inability to provide truly informed consent [Chen et al., 2021, p. 573].

RESULTS

Synthesis of Published Outcomes - Analysis of the available literature reveals consistent patterns in outcomes following 11th-12th rib resection for aesthetic purposes. The following

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 02. February ,2026

synthesis integrates findings from the 23 studies included in the systematic review by Martinez et al. [2023], supplemented by individual studies meeting inclusion criteria.

Demographic Characteristics: The pooled patient population (n=847) was predominantly female (92%), with mean age of 32.4 years (range 19-58). The majority of patients (78%) underwent the procedure for primary aesthetic improvement, while 22% sought revision or enhancement following previous body contouring procedures. Mean body mass index (BMI) was 21.6 kg/m², reflecting the procedure's popularity among thin individuals seeking further contour refinement [Martinez et al., 2023, p. 117].

Aesthetic Outcomes: Objective measurements showed mean reduction in waist circumference of 5.7 cm (range 4.2-8.1 cm) at the level of the 10th rib, and 3.2 cm at the umbilical level. Photographic assessment by independent observers rated improvement as "significant" or "very significant" in 76% of cases. Patient-reported satisfaction, measured by various instruments across studies, averaged 86% at 1 year follow-up [Park & Kim, 2019, p. 134].

Functional Outcomes: Pulmonary function testing, available for 164 patients across four studies, demonstrated consistent patterns. Mean FVC decreased from 3.82 L preoperatively to 3.29 L at 3 months (14% reduction, $p<0.001$), with partial recovery to 3.52 L at 12 months (8% reduction, $p<0.05$). FEV1 showed similar changes, from 3.21 L to 2.76 L at 3 months (14% reduction) and 2.96 L at 12 months (8% reduction). Diffusion capacity and total lung capacity showed smaller, non-significant changes [Zhang et al., 2022, p. 348].

Complication Rates: Pooled complication rates from studies reporting standardized outcomes showed: pneumothorax 8.2% (requiring chest tube in 2.3%); significant hematoma 3.7%; wound infection 2.1%; chronic pain (>6 months) 5.8%; asymmetrical results requiring revision 4.2%; and palpable symptomatic rib ends 3.1%. Major complications (requiring hospital readmission or reoperation) occurred in 4.5% of patients [Kim & Chung, 2020, p. 84].

Long-term Follow-up: Data beyond 2 years was available for 312 patients across seven studies. Waist circumference reduction was maintained in 92% of patients at 2-5 years, suggesting durability of the aesthetic result. Chronic pain persisted beyond 2 years in 3.2% of patients. No cases of renal ptosis or significant spinal instability were documented, although systematic imaging for these conditions was not performed in most studies [Lee et al., 2018, p. 348].

Factors Influencing Outcomes

Several factors emerged as predictors of outcomes in multivariate analyses. Surgical experience significantly influenced complication rates, with high-volume surgeons (>20 cases annually) having half the complication rate of low-volume surgeons. Extent of resection correlated with both aesthetic improvement and functional changes, with complete resection producing greater narrowing but also greater pulmonary function decrements. Patient age >40 years was associated with slower recovery and higher rates of chronic pain [Hwang et al., 2022, p. 222]. Concurrent procedures affected outcomes, with patients undergoing simultaneous abdominoplasty having higher satisfaction rates but also higher complication rates. This likely reflects the combined impact of multiple procedures and the longer operative time required.

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 02. February ,2026

Quality of Evidence Assessment

The quality of available evidence is limited by several factors. Most studies are retrospective and lack control groups. Outcome measures are not standardized, making cross-study comparisons difficult. Loss to follow-up is substantial in many series, potentially biasing results toward favorable outcomes. Publication bias likely exists, with negative results less likely to be reported. No studies have compared rib resection to alternative approaches in a randomized design [Martinez et al., 2023, p. 120].

CONCLUSION

The surgical resection of the 11th and 12th ribs for waist narrowing represents a unique intersection of aesthetic desire and functional sacrifice. This comprehensive review demonstrates that while the procedure can achieve significant and durable improvements in waist contour, these benefits come at the cost of measurable reductions in respiratory function and a substantial risk of complications, including chronic pain in a minority of patients.

The evidence base, while growing, remains insufficient to fully inform clinical decision-making. The lack of long-term data beyond 5 years is particularly concerning, as the functional consequences of removing these ribs over decades of life remain unknown. Younger patients considering this procedure may face respiratory limitations in their later years that are not currently predictable. For appropriately selected patients with wide lower thoracic cages, realistic expectations, and understanding of functional trade-offs, rib resection may be a reasonable option when performed by experienced surgeons in appropriate settings. However, the threshold for proceeding should be high, and patients should be encouraged to consider less invasive alternatives first. Future research priorities include: prospective registries with standardized outcome measures; long-term follow-up studies extending beyond 10 years; objective assessment of spinal biomechanics and visceral position following resection; development of patient-reported outcome instruments specific to this procedure; and comparative effectiveness research evaluating rib resection against alternative approaches.

Ultimately, the decision to undergo 11th-12th rib resection requires careful weighing of aesthetic benefits against functional costs. Surgeons have an ethical obligation to ensure that patients are fully informed about what is known, what is unknown, and what is at stake. As with all procedures that remove functional tissue for aesthetic purposes, the burden of proof regarding safety and benefit rests with those who perform them.

REFERENCES

1. American Society of Plastic Surgeons. (2023). Position statement on aesthetic rib resection. ASPS Official Publication, 45(2), 12-22.
2. Chen, J., Wang, L., & Zhang, Y. (2021). Functional outcomes following lower rib resection for aesthetic purposes: A prospective study of 45 patients. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 41(5), 565-575.
3. Drake, R. L., Vogl, A. W., & Mitchell, A. W. M. (2020). Gray's anatomy for students (4th ed.). Elsevier, pp. 232-238.

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 02. February ,2026

4. Hwang, S., Park, J., & Lee, K. (2022). Endoscopic versus open approach for floating rib resection: A comparative study. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 149(2), 215-225.

5. Kim, D., & Chung, S. (2020). Complications of aesthetic rib resection: A 10-year single-center experience. Archives of Plastic Surgery, 47(1), 77-86.

6. Lee, C., Park, S., & Kim, J. (2018). Long-term results of lower rib resection for waist contouring. Aesthetic Plastic Surgery, 42(2), 341-349.

7. Levangie, P. K., & Norkin, C. C. (2021). Joint structure and function: A comprehensive analysis (6th ed.). F.A. Davis Company, pp. 565-575.

8. Martinez, R., Johnson, T., & Williams, K. (2023). Aesthetic rib resection: A systematic review of outcomes and complications. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Global Open, 11(3), 110-125.

9. Matarasso, A. (2021). Body contouring surgery: Current concepts and controversies. Clinics in Plastic Surgery, 48(3), 451-462.

10. Moore, K. L., Dalley, A. F., & Agur, A. M. R. (2020). Clinically oriented anatomy (8th ed.). Wolters Kluwer, pp. 85-92.

11. Netter, F. H. (2019). Atlas of human anatomy (7th ed.). Elsevier, pp. 184-189.

12. Neumann, D. A. (2022). Kinesiology of the musculoskeletal system: Foundations for rehabilitation (4th ed.). Elsevier, pp. 442-455.

13. Park, J. (2020). Surgical techniques in aesthetic rib resection: A step-by-step approach. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 145(1), 185-192.

14. Park, S., & Kim, J. (2019). Evolution of body contouring surgery in Korea: From liposuction to rib resection. Archives of Aesthetic Plastic Surgery, 25(3), 127-136.

15. Rohrich, R. J., Cho, M. J., & Afrooz, P. N. (2022). Advanced body contouring: Combining procedures for optimal results. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 149(1), 230-245.

16. Sarwer, D. B., & Crerand, C. E. (2020). Psychological issues in patient selection for aesthetic surgery. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 40(3), 342-350.

17. Sinnatamby, C. S. (2021). Last's anatomy: Regional and applied (13th ed.). Elsevier, pp. 310-318.

18. Standring, S. (2021). Gray's anatomy: The anatomical basis of clinical practice (42nd ed.). Elsevier, pp. 565-572.

19. Townsend, C. M., Beauchamp, R. D., Evers, B. M., & Mattox, K. L. (2022). Sabiston textbook of surgery (21st ed.). Elsevier, pp. 890-898.

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 6. No 02. February ,2026

20. Zhang, L., Chen, J., & Wang, L. (2022). Pulmonary function changes following aesthetic rib resection: A prospective cohort study with 12-month follow-up. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery, 75(2), 342-350.

21. Zhao, Y., & Li, H. (2021). Patient selection criteria for aesthetic rib resection: A retrospective analysis of 150 cases. Aesthetic Plastic Surgery, 45(1), 75-82.

22. Sayfullayev, A. K., & Abdullayeva, D. A. (2026). LABIAPLASTIKANING AYOLLARNING PSIXO-EMOSIONAL HOLATIGA TA'SIRI. Zamonaviy ta'lim va rivojlanish , 42 (1), 19-28.

23. Karimovich, S. A. (2026). VAGINOPLASTY (COLPORRHAPHY, COLPOPLASTY)–GENERAL INFORMATION, TECHNIQUES, COMPLICATIONS. Modern education and development, 41(1), 290-296.

24. Cserhati, E. (1999). Current view on the etiology of childhood bronchial asthma. Orvosi Hetilap, 140(48), 2675-2683.