



THE RELEVANCE OF IMPROVING REGIONAL ANESTHESIA METHODS AND
REGIONAL ANESTHESIA

Tolmas Khamroev Tolibovich,
Mirjon Rashidovich Qurbonov,
Abduzhabborova Khurshida Abdug'ani kizi,
Ozoda Khaydarova Sherzod kizi,
Bekposhsha Abdullaeva Dilmurod kizi,
Marjona Khamraeva Sherali kizi

Department of pharmacology of Tashkent State Medical University,
Department of Anesthesiology and Resuscitation Bukhara branch of the republican
scientific center for emergency medical care,
Student of group 304A of the 1st Faculty of Dentistry of Tashkent State Medical University,
Student of group 306A of the 1st Faculty of General Medicine of Tashkent State Medical
University,
Student of group 307B of the 1st Faculty of General Medicine of Tashkent State Medical
University
e-mail: tolmas4th@mail.ru
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20179796>

Abstract. The use of regional anesthesia techniques in patients with certain conditions, such as anticoagulation therapy or preexisting comorbidities, raises concerns about safety and efficacy; current guidelines addressing these issues are fragmented, requiring comprehensive, evidence-based recommendations. Regional anesthesia techniques have become an essential part of modern perioperative care, offering improved pain management and recovery outcomes. However, their use in individuals with certain conditions—like anticoagulant therapy or preexisting comorbidities—raises questions about their efficacy and safety. The fragmented nature of the current guidelines addressing these concerns calls for thorough, evidence-based recommendations. The key blocks for upper extremity surgeries include interscalene, supraclavicular, infraclavicular, axillary, and intercostobrachial blocks; for lower extremity surgeries, these techniques include femoral, adductor canal, sciatic, popliteal, and lumbar plexus blocks; advances in ultrasound guidance have improved the safety and efficacy of these techniques, making them indispensable for modern anesthesiology practice; by mastering these approaches, providers can improve perioperative care in orthopedic surgery. While femoral, adductor canal, sciatic, popliteal, and lumbar plexus blocks are used in lower extremity procedures, interscalene, supraclavicular, infraclavicular, axillary, and intercostobrachial blocks are important blocks for upper extremity surgery. The safety and effectiveness of these methods have been improved by developments in ultrasound guidance, making them essential for contemporary anesthesiology practice. By becoming proficient in these methods, healthcare professionals can improve patient outcomes, broaden their skill set, and help improve perioperative care in orthopedic surgery.

Keywords. Contemporary anesthesiologists, adductor canal, sciatic, popliteal, interscalene, supraclavicular, infraclavicular, axillary.



Introduction. A key component of contemporary anesthetic practice, regional anesthesia (RA) has major benefits for perioperative care, including better pain management, lower narcotic use, and faster recovery. The use of ultrasound guidance has greatly improved the accuracy, security, and effectiveness of RA procedures. For patients undergoing orthopedic surgery for the upper and lower extremities, regional anesthesia provides accurate pain control, lowers narcotic intake, and can improve postoperative recovery. Key regional blocks increase postoperative recovery by delivering superior analgesia, facilitating early mobilization, and minimizing the incidence of problems associated with general anesthetic, such as nausea, respiratory troubles, and protracted drowsiness. These advantages are especially helpful for patients having upper and lower extremity procedures, as targeted nerve blocks can maximize results while lowering systemic risks. The safety and effectiveness of these methods have been greatly enhanced by developments in ultrasound guidance, making them a crucial competency for contemporary anesthesiologists [1-5]. The safety and effectiveness of these techniques have been greatly enhanced by advancements in ultrasound guidance, making them a crucial skill for contemporary anesthesiologists. This guide will cover the fundamental regional anesthesia techniques for upper extremity surgeries, such as axillary, interscalene, supraclavicular, infraclavicular, and intercostobrachial blocks, as well as those for lower extremity procedures, such as femoral, adductor canal, sciatic, popliteal, and lumbar plexus blocks. Each block will be examined in terms of its targeted anatomy, common surgical applications, and potential complications. The key regional anesthesia techniques for upper extremity surgeries—such as axillary, supraclavicular, infraclavicular, interscalene, and intercostobrachial blocks—as well as lower extremity procedures—such as femoral, adductor canal, sciatic, popliteal, and lumbar plexus blocks—will be covered in this guide. To give anesthesiologists looking to maximize perioperative pain control a thorough grasp, each block will be examined in terms of its targeted anatomy, typical surgical applications, and potential consequences [6-10]. The increasing use of RA underscores the need for strong educational frameworks that guarantee both theoretical comprehension and practical competency. Although RA has many advantages, its adoption is frequently accompanied by worries about safety in specific populations, such as patients receiving anticoagulant therapy or those undergoing high-risk procedures. In addition, the rapid spread of newly described RA techniques in recent years reflects growing interest from researchers but frequently lacks thorough investigation of their mechanisms of action. To ensure consistent safety and efficacy across diverse clinical contexts, it is crucial to create and follow clear, evidence-based guidelines. Adoption of RA is frequently accompanied by worries about safety in certain populations, including as those on anticoagulant medicine or those having high-risk surgeries, despite its advantages. Furthermore, researchers' rising interest in RA methods is reflected in their fast expansion in recent years, albeit their mechanisms of action are frequently not thoroughly explored. Clear, evidence-based guidelines must be developed and followed in order to guarantee consistent safety and efficacy across a variety of therapeutic scenarios [11-17]. In this regard, the Italian Society of Anesthesia, Analgesia, Resuscitation, and Intensive Care (SIAARTI) brought together a multidisciplinary panel of experts to address the important issues and unresolved questions in RA practice. These guidelines are intended to give clinicians practical recommendations for the safe and efficient use of RA, covering topics like neuraxial and peripheral nerve blocks, the integration of ultrasound and neurostimulation techniques, and infection prevention strategies, with a focus on reducing the risks associated with anticoagulation therapy, optimizing postoperative monitoring, and addressing potential complications like local anesthetic systemic toxicity (LAST). These guidelines, which address subjects including neuraxial and peripheral nerve blocks, the integration of ultrasound and neurostimulation



techniques, and infection prevention tactics, are intended to give clinicians practical suggestions for the safe and efficient use of RA. Anticoagulation therapy risks are minimized, postoperative monitoring is optimized, and potential problems such as local anesthetic systemic toxicity (LAST) are addressed. No specific grant from governmental, private, or nonprofit entities was obtained for this study [18-22].

Because it can give accurate, localized pain management while reducing the systemic hazards associated with general anesthesia and opioid use, regional anesthesia has become a mainstay in orthopedic procedures. The purpose of this review is to offer practicing anesthesiologists and anesthesia trainees a concise reference on the application of regional anesthetic techniques for procedures involving the upper and lower extremities.

Continuing Education Activity: Targeted nerve blockade is achieved by selectively blocking voltage-gated sodium channels while maintaining consciousness and cognitive function. Local anesthetics are cornerstone agents in clinical practice, and precise pain control during medical procedures represents a significant advancement in modern medicine. A thorough understanding of nerve impulse transmission and the mechanism by which local anesthetics interrupt this process is crucial for clinicians across various specialties. Local anesthetics exhibit a hierarchical pattern of neural blockade, beginning with small autonomic fibers, followed by sensory pathways, and at higher concentrations affecting motor function. Local anesthetics are essential tools in clinical practice, and precise pain management during medical procedures is a major development in contemporary medicine [3-13]. By specifically blocking voltage-gated sodium channels, these drugs accomplish targeted nerve blockade while maintaining awareness and cognitive function. Clinicians in a variety of professions need to have a thorough understanding of nerve impulse transmission and how local anesthetics disrupt this process. Local anesthetics show a hierarchical pattern of neuronal blockage, impacting motor function at higher dosages after first affecting tiny autonomic fibers and then sensory pathways. The versatility of these agents extends across a variety of administration routes, including topical, infiltrative, and regional methods; each route requires particular considerations to ensure optimal efficacy and safety while administering anesthesia to patients. This sequential blockade enables clinicians to achieve precise levels of anesthesia tailored to the needs of different procedures—from simple dermal interventions to complex regional nerve blocks. These compounds are versatile and can be administered topically, infiltratively, or regionally. To guarantee the best possible efficacy and safety when giving patients anesthetic, each route necessitates particular considerations. In order to ensure safe and effective anesthesia, this activity reviews the basic pharmacology of topical, local, and regional anesthetics, including their molecular mechanisms, pharmacokinetics, and clinical applications. It also covers important aspects of patient safety, such as identifying and managing adverse effects, preventing toxicity, and putting emergency protocols into place. Finally, it emphasizes collaboration among healthcare providers and equips them with the necessary skills for agent selection, administration techniques, and dosing considerations across a variety of clinical scenarios, ultimately improving patient outcomes in a variety of settings. Important facets of patient safety are also covered in this activity, including identifying and controlling side effects, avoiding toxicity, and putting emergency procedures in place. Furthermore, this exercise fosters teamwork among healthcare professionals and equips them with the necessary skills for agent selection, administration methods, and dose considerations in a range of clinical circumstances, ultimately improving patient outcomes in a variety of contexts [14-22].

Indications. Nerve impulse transmission happens when voltage-gated sodium channels (VGSCs) on the neuronal membrane open, allowing a massive influx of sodium that causes



membrane depolarization and the propagation of the nerve impulse. If the right volumes and concentrations are used, local anesthetics can block nerve impulse transmission in both the peripheral and central nervous systems without depressing the central nervous system or changing mental status. Depending on the concentration and volume of the local anesthetic, autonomic impulses are blocked first, followed by sensory impulses, and finally motor impulses. Voltage-gated sodium channels (VGSCs) on the neuronal membrane open to permit a large inflow of sodium, which causes nerve impulse transmission. The nerve impulse propagates as a result of this inflow and membrane depolarization. If the right volumes and concentrations are applied, local anesthetics can block nerve impulse transmission in both the peripheral and central nervous systems without depressing the central nervous system or changing mental status. Depending on the volume and concentration of the local anesthetic, the blockage often proceeds in a stepwise manner. Sensory impulses are stopped after autonomic impulses, and then motor impulses. During invasive or surgical procedures, local anesthetics are used to anesthetize the skin, subcutaneous tissue, and peripheral nerves [4-14]. Depending on the location of the block (areas with a high blood supply tend to have a shorter duration), the type of anesthetic used, and its formulation (liposomal preparations may offer extended-release effects), the duration of action can range from 30 minutes to 12 hours or more. Commonly used local anesthetics are divided into two main groups: amino amides and amino esters, each with different pharmacokinetic properties and clinical applications. Depending on the location of the block (areas with a high blood supply typically have a shorter duration), the type of anesthetic used, and its formulation (liposomal preparations may offer extended-release effects), the duration of action of local anesthetics can vary from 30 minutes to 12 hours or longer. Amino amides and amino esters are the two primary categories of commonly used local anesthetics, each with unique pharmacokinetic characteristics and therapeutic uses [15-21].

Clinical signs of local anesthetic systemic toxicity (LAST) include metallic taste, auditory changes, circumoral numbness, blurred vision, agitation, and seizures. These symptoms usually appear in the central nervous system and are followed by cardiovascular effects like hypotension, decreased cardiac contractility, dysrhythmias, complete heart block, and cardiovascular collapse. Local anesthetics carry a significant risk of systemic toxicity when excessive doses are given intramuscularly or when normal doses are absorbed more quickly through intravascular uptake. When large doses are given orally or intramuscularly, or when normal amounts are absorbed more quickly than anticipated by intravascular absorption, local anesthetics pose a serious danger of systemic toxicity. The clinical manifestations of local anesthetic systemic toxicity (LAST) are caused by redistribution to toxin-sensitive organs, such as the brain and heart, following intravascular absorption. Metallic taste, auditory alterations, circumoral numbness, blurred vision, anxiety, and convulsions are among the symptoms that usually appear in the central nervous system [5-11]. Cardiovascular consequences include hypotension, reduced cardiac contractility, dysrhythmias, total heart block, and cardiovascular collapse frequently accompany these. The first-line treatment for LAST is lipid emulsion therapy (discussed in more detail below); in refractory cases, cardiopulmonary bypass or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation can be effective treatment options. Bupivacaine is especially cardiotoxic, with reports of cardiovascular collapse occurring without prior neurological symptoms. The first-line treatment for LAST is lipid emulsion therapy, which is covered in greater detail below. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation or cardiopulmonary bypass can be useful therapy alternatives in resistant patients [16-22].

Contraindications: Both classes of local anesthetics have been linked to allergic reactions, though crossover sensitivity is uncommon; amide local anesthetics may contain the preservative



methylparaben, which has also been linked to severe allergic reactions; ester-type local anesthetics are metabolized into a PABA-like compound, and anaphylaxis has been reported in some cases. Although crossover sensitivity is uncommon, allergic responses have been documented for both kinds of local anesthetics. Anaphylaxis has been reported in certain instances of ester-type local anesthetics, which are converted into a PABA-like molecule. The preservative methylparaben, which has also been linked to serious allergic reactions, may be present in amide local anesthetics. The pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of certain local anesthetics can be altered by certain pathological conditions, such as decreased cardiac output, renal disease, severe hepatic dysfunction, reduced cholinesterase activity, fetal acidosis, and sepsis. For example, patients with impaired hepatic function may experience a prolonged duration of action or an increased risk of toxicity with amide anesthetics, whereas those with cholinesterase deficiency may experience prolonged effects from ester anesthetics [7-17]. The pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of particular local anesthetics can be changed by a number of clinical circumstances, including diminished cardiac output, renal illness, severe hepatic dysfunction, decreased cholinesterase activity, fetal acidosis, and sepsis. For example, amide anesthetics may have a longer duration of action or a higher risk of toxicity in patients with compromised hepatic function, while ester anesthetics may have longer effects in patients with cholinesterase deficiency. Since muscle acts as a primary (toxicity-neutral) storage depot for excessive intravascular local anesthetics, muscle wasting becomes an independent risk factor for toxicity because, in the absence of adequate muscle storage, the anesthetic can be redistributed to toxicity-sensitive organs like the brain and heart. However, extreme age and low muscle mass are not absolute contraindications for local anesthetic administration. Muscle atrophy becomes a separate risk factor for toxicity because muscle is the main (toxicity-neutral) storage depot for excessive intravascular local anesthetics. This is because the anesthetic may be transferred to organs that are sensitive to toxicity, such the heart and brain, if there is insufficient muscle storage [18-22].

Discussion. The interscalene, supraclavicular, infraclavicular, axillary, and intercostobrachial blocks for upper extremity surgeries are crucial for anesthesiologists to comprehend and master. Each technique targets different anatomical regions of the brachial plexus, with associated risks ranging from incomplete blocks to rare but serious complications like pneumothorax or vascular injury. Regional anesthesia plays a significant role in orthopedic surgical practice by providing targeted pain relief, reducing the need for general anesthesia, and reducing reliance on systemic opioids. The surgical site, patient-specific characteristics, and expected postoperative pain management requirements should all be taken into consideration when selecting a regional anesthesia approach. Anesthesiologists must comprehend and become proficient in the interscalene, supraclavicular, infraclavicular, axillary, and intercostobrachial blocks for upper extremity procedures [2-7]. The hazards connected with each approach, which targets different anatomical sections of the brachial plexus, range from incomplete blocks to uncommon but catastrophic problems like vascular damage or pneumothorax. Similar to this, lower extremity procedures benefit from regional techniques like femoral, adductor canal, sciatic, popliteal, and lumbar plexus blocks, which minimize systemic side effects while providing effective analgesia. The development of ultrasound guidance has revolutionized regional anesthesia by improving safety and precision, which lowers the risk of complications like nerve injury and vascular puncture and increases block efficacy. Additionally, adjunctive agents like dexmedetomidine and dexamethasone have shown the ability to extend block duration, which improves postoperative outcomes. By improving accuracy and safety, ultrasound guiding has completely changed regional anesthetic. This development increases block efficacy and lowers



the risk of consequences like nerve damage and arterial puncture. Additionally, it has been shown that supplementary medications like dexamethasone and dexmedetomidine might extend block duration, improving postoperative results [10-18]. Regional anesthesia has many advantages, but it also has drawbacks. Providers must weigh the risk of complications against patient-specific anatomical and clinical considerations. Effective implementation necessitates not only technical proficiency but also a thorough understanding of pertinent anatomy and the ability to manage potential adverse events. This guide is a resource for trainees and practicing anesthesiologists looking to improve their regional anesthesia techniques and understanding. The risk of problems must be weighed against anatomical and clinical factors unique to each patient. In addition to technological expertise, effective execution necessitates a deep comprehension of pertinent anatomy and the capacity to handle any unfavorable outcomes. Trainees and practicing anesthesiologists who want to advance their knowledge and skills in regional anesthesia can use this handbook as a reference [19-22].

Conclusions. In orthopedic surgical practice, regional anesthesia continues to be a mainstay because it provides precise, localized analgesia that improves patient outcomes while reducing the systemic side effects associated with general anesthesia and opioid use. For procedures involving the upper and lower extremities, the choice of block should be guided by the particular surgical site, patient anatomy, and clinical considerations. To ensure safety and efficacy, mastery of these techniques requires an understanding of the anatomy, associated risks, and potential complications. To ensure safety and effectiveness, mastery of these procedures necessitates knowledge of the anatomy, related dangers, and potential problems.

The accuracy and safety of these procedures have been improved by developments in ultrasound-guided techniques, making them essential to contemporary anesthesia practice. By adopting these techniques, anesthesiologists can improve perioperative pain management, reduce recovery times, and contribute to the overall quality of patient care in orthopedic surgeries. The purpose of this guide is to be a useful tool for practitioners and trainees who want to improve patient outcomes and broaden their skill set.

In order to improve patient safety and procedural efficacy in regional anesthesia, these guidelines offer doctors a systematic framework. Additional research is urged to fill in identified gaps, especially with reference to novel regional anesthetic procedures and specific patient populations. It is recommended that more study be done to fill in the gaps, especially with reference to particular patient subgroups and innovative regional anesthetic methods.

References.

1. Garmon EH, Hendrix JM, Huecker MR. Topical, Local, and Regional Anesthesia and Anesthetics. [Updated 2025 Feb 21]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2026 Jan-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK430894/>
2. Jones MR, Novitch MB, Sen S, et al. Upper extremity regional anesthesia techniques: A comprehensive review for clinical anesthesiologists. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol.* 2020;34(1):e13-e29. doi:10.1016/j.bpa.2019.07.005
3. Feigl GC, Litz RJ, Marhofer P. Anatomy of the brachial plexus and its implications for daily clinical practice: regional anesthesia is applied anatomy. *Reg Anesth Pain Med.* 2020;45(8):620-627. doi:10.1136/rapm-2020-101435
4. Munari M, Franzoi F, Sergi M, De Cassai A, Geraldini F, Grandis M et al (2022) Extensively drug-resistant and multidrug-resistant gram-negative pathogens in the neurocritical



intensive care unit. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. 164:859–865. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-020-04611-3>.

5. Bruce BG, Green A, Blaine TA, Wesner LV. Brachial plexus blocks for upper extremity orthopaedic surgery. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2012;20(1):38-47. doi:10.5435/ JAAOS-20-01-038

6. Seyed Siamdoust SA, Zaman B, Noorizad S, Alimian M, Barekati M. Comparison of the Effect of Intercostobrachial Nerve Block with and Without Ultrasound Guidance on Tourniquet Pain After Axillary Block of Brachial Plexus: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Anesth Pain Med*. 2023;13(2):e134819. doi:10.5812/ aapm-134819

7. Kamel I, Ahmed MF, Sethi A. Regional anesthesia for orthopedic procedures: What orthopedic surgeons need to know. *World J Orthop*. 2022;13(1):11-35. doi:10.5312/ wjo.v13.i1.11

8. Varobieff M, Choquet O, Swisser F, Coudray A, Menace C, Molinari N et al (2021) Real-time injection pressure sensing and minimal intensity stimulation combination during ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve blocks: an exploratory observational trial. *Anesth Analg* 132:556–565. <https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000005308>.

9. Raatiniemi L, Magnusson V, Hyldmo PK, et al. Femoral nerve blocks for the treatment of acute pre-hospital pain: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2020;64(8):1038-1047. doi:10.1111/ aas.13600

10. Topor B, Oldman M, Nicholls B (2020) Best practices for safety and quality in peripheral regional anaesthesia. *BJA Educ* 20:341–347. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjae.2020.04.007>

11. Shevlin S, Johnston D, Turbitt L. The sciatic nerve block. *BJA Educ*. 2020;20(9):312-320. doi:10.1016/ j.bjae.2020.04.004

12. Knecht S, Tamine L, Faure N, et al. Effectiveness of adductor canal block combined with posterior capsular infiltration on pain and return to walking after total knee arthroplasty: comparative analysis with femoral and popliteal sciatic nerves blocks. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res*. Published online December 5, 2024:104082. doi:10.1016/ j.otsr.2024.104082

13. Ma HH, Chou TA, Tsai SW, Chen CF, Wu PK, Chen WM. The efficacy and safety of continuous versus single-injection popliteal sciatic nerve block in outpatient foot and ankle surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2019;20(1):441. doi:10.1186/ s12891-019-2822-7

14. Berger AA, Syed Z, Ryan L, et al. Superior Block Length and Reduced Opioid Use with Dexmedetomidine and Dexamethasone regional block versus plain Ropivacaine: a retrospective trial. *Orthop Rev (Pavia)*. 2022;14(3):31921. doi:10.52965/ 001c.31921

15. Torrano, V., Anastasi, S., Balzani, E. et al. Enhancing Safety in Regional Anesthesia: Guidelines from the Italian Society of Anesthesia, Analgesia, Resuscitation and Intensive Care (SIAARTI). *J Anesth Analg Crit Care* 5, 26 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s44158-025-00245-y>

16. Chitneni A, Hasoon J, Urits I, Viswanath O, Kaye AD, Eskander J. Synergistic Effects of Dexamethasone and Dexmedetomidine in Extending the Effects of Pectoral I and Pectoral II Blocks for Postoperative Analgesia Following Total Mastectomy with Lymph Node Dissection. *Clin Pract*. 2021;11(2):190-192. doi:10.3390/ clinpract11020027. PMID:33808063

17. Desai N, Kirkham KR, Albrecht E. Local anaesthetic adjuncts for peripheral regional anaesthesia: a narrative review. *Anaesthesia*. 2021;76 Suppl 1:100-109. doi:10.1111/ anae.15245



18. Nestor CC, Ng C, Sepulveda P, Irwin MG. Pharmacological and clinical implications of local anaesthetic mixtures: a narrative review. *Anaesthesia*. 2022;77(3):339-350. doi:10.1111/anae.15641
19. Disinfection of ultrasound transducers used for percutaneous procedures: intersocietal position statement. *J Ultrasound Med.* (2021) 40:895–897. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jum.15653>.
20. Azi LMTA, Fonseca NM (2020) Linard LG (2020) SBA 2020: Atualização das recomendações para segurança em anestesia regional [SBA 2020: Regional anesthesia safety recommendations update]. *Braz J Anesthesiol* 70:398–418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjan.2020.02.005>
21. Van Zundert A (2021) The green footprint of anaesthesia. *Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med* 40:100872. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accpm.2021.100872>
22. Fusco P, Pascarella G, Stecco C, Blanco R, Forero M, Pawa A, et al (2024) Factors to consider for fascial plane blocks' success in acute and chronic pain management. *Minerva Anesthesiol.* 2024;90:87–97. <https://doi.org/10.23736/S0375-9393.23.17866-7>.