

ENDOVENOUS LASER THERAPY: ANALYSIS OF EARLY EFFICACY AND COMPLICATIONS

Minavarkhujayev Ravshankhuj Raxmatkhuj ugli

Assistant of department General surgery №2, Tashkent Medical Academy

Phlebologist at the "VarikozOFF" Clinic

Abstract: Endovenous laser therapy (EVLT)—often referred to as endovenous laser ablation (EVLA)—has emerged as a highly effective, minimally invasive technique for the management of superficial venous insufficiency, most notably varicose veins of the lower extremities. By offering a safer alternative to traditional surgical stripping, EVLT quickly gained traction among vascular specialists due to its high success rates, favorable patient outcomes, and rapid recovery. Yet, despite its widespread adoption, potential complications and technical considerations must be well-understood to maximize the safety profile and achieve optimal early efficacy. This review provides an in-depth analysis of EVLT, focusing on mechanisms of action, early efficacy data, and complications that can arise in the early postoperative period. Emphasis is placed on recognizing risk factors, managing adverse events, and comparing EVLT with other contemporary therapies. Ultimately, the article underscores the importance of tailored patient selection, meticulous technique, and follow-up protocols to ensure both effectiveness and safety.

1. Introduction

Varicose veins are a common manifestation of chronic venous disease (CVD), affecting up to 20–40% of adults worldwide. They develop when superficial vein valves—commonly those within the great saphenous vein (GSV) or small saphenous vein (SSV)—become incompetent, resulting in retrograde blood flow, increased venous pressure, and vein wall distention. Symptoms such as leg heaviness, pain, edema, and aesthetic concerns frequently drive patients to seek medical intervention. Historically, varicose veins were managed with surgical ligation and stripping of the incompetent vein, a procedure associated with postoperative pain, significant bruising, potential nerve injury, and prolonged recovery.

Over the past two decades, endovenous thermal ablation has emerged as a leading alternative, offering minimally invasive treatments with comparable or superior efficacy and reduced morbidity compared to surgery. Endovenous radiofrequency ablation (RFA) and endovenous laser therapy (EVLT or EVLA) are among the most common modalities under this umbrella. EVLT uses laser energy delivered via a fiber inserted into the vein under ultrasound guidance, generating sufficient heat to cause irreversible thermal injury to the vein wall and induce occlusion.

Since its introduction in the early 2000s, EVLT has demonstrated high rates of early vein closure, rapid return to normal activities, and favorable patient satisfaction. Nonetheless, early complications, though generally rare, may include bruising, pain, phlebitis, nerve injury, and thrombotic events such as endovenous heat-induced thrombosis (EHIT). This review aims to provide a cohesive overview of the early efficacy of EVLT while highlighting notable complications that can occur in the immediate peri- and postoperative

period. By examining mechanisms of action, procedural approaches, and existing literature, this article will elucidate best practices to achieve optimal results and minimize adverse outcomes.

2. Historical Perspective

The shift from open surgical stripping to endovenous thermal ablation reflects broader trends in vascular medicine. Traditional surgery—once the gold standard—was effective but inherently invasive, requiring incisions in the groin or popliteal area and physically “stripping” the saphenous vein. The disadvantages of this approach motivated physicians to explore less invasive methods:

1. **Sclerotherapy**: Initially used for smaller varicose veins or spider veins, sclerotherapy involves injecting sclerosant solutions or foam into the vein. Though beneficial for tributary veins, it displayed variable success for large saphenous trunks.
2. **Radiofrequency Ablation (RFA)**: First introduced in the late 1990s, RFA uses radiofrequency energy to thermally occlude the vein. Early clinical trials showed high closure rates, sparking intense interest in similar endovenous modalities.
3. **Endovenous Laser Therapy (EVLT)**: Approved for saphenous vein incompetence in the early 2000s, EVLT harnessed near-infrared laser light directed intraluminally to damage the vein wall. Since then, refinements in wavelength and fiber design have drastically improved its efficacy and safety.

Today, EVLT stands as one of the most widely employed treatments for varicose veins, benefiting from ongoing research, advancements in device technology, and a robust body of clinical evidence supporting its early success rates.

3. Anatomical and Physiological Considerations

A basic understanding of venous anatomy is crucial for effective EVLT:

- **Superficial Venous System**: Comprises the great saphenous vein (GSV), small saphenous vein (SSV), and their tributaries. These vessels lie above the fascia, in subcutaneous tissue.
- **Deep Venous System**: Resides within the muscular compartments (e.g., femoral, popliteal, tibial veins) and carries the majority of venous return from the lower limbs.
- **Perforator Veins**: Connect superficial veins to the deep system, typically containing one-way valves that direct blood inward.

Varicose veins typically occur when incompetent valves in the GSV or SSV allow reflux, leading to increased venous pressure, dilation, and tortuosity. EVLT aims to seal off these incompetent segments, redirecting blood flow through the deep system and competent superficial/perforator veins.

Venous wall structure matters during laser ablation: excessive vein diameter, sclerotic changes, or superficial location can influence heat transfer and risk of complications (e.g., nerve damage or skin burns). Additionally, the relationship of the saphenous vein to surrounding structures—such as the saphenous nerve or sural nerve—can affect complication rates.

4. Mechanisms of Action in Endovenous Laser Therapy

Endovenous laser therapy capitalizes on localized thermal damage within the vein lumen. The laser fiber, inserted into the saphenous vein under ultrasound guidance, delivers energy that is absorbed by either hemoglobin or water molecules, depending on the wavelength:

- **Lower Wavelengths (810 nm, 940 nm, 980 nm):** Primarily absorbed by hemoglobin, leading to steam bubble formation and subsequent vein wall injury.
- **Higher Wavelengths (1320 nm, 1470 nm, 1940 nm, etc.):** More strongly absorbed by water in the vein wall, focusing thermal damage directly on the endothelium. This approach typically reduces collateral tissue damage and postoperative pain.

In either scenario, the critical step is raising the vein's interior temperature to around 80–120°C, causing endothelial denaturation, collagen contraction, and eventual fibrosis. The incompetent vein collapses and is resorbed over time, preventing reflux and alleviating venous hypertension. Tumescence anesthesia injected around the target vein acts as a protective heat sink, provides local analgesia, and compresses the vein to enhance efficient energy transfer.

5. Patient Selection and Preoperative Planning

Not every varicose vein is a suitable candidate for EVLT. Proper selection increases success rates and diminishes early complications:

1. Clinical Examination and Duplex Ultrasound

- Evaluate the extent of venous reflux, vein diameter, and tortuosity. Mark incompetent segments and measure the distance between the vein and surrounding structures (e.g., skin, nerves).
- Confirm patency of the deep system and identify incompetent perforators.

2. CEAP Classification

- Classify disease according to clinical (C), etiological (E), anatomical (A), and pathophysiological (P) criteria. C2–C6 designations cover symptomatic varicose veins up to active venous ulcers, guiding the urgency and approach to ablation.

3. Patient Factors

- Age, comorbidities, pregnancy status, and mobility constraints can influence procedural planning. For instance, inability to ambulate or wear compression stockings postoperatively raises the risk of thrombotic events.

4. Contraindications

○ Chronic occlusive deep vein thrombosis, highly tortuous veins that prohibit catheter advancement, or severely superficial vein segments at high risk for thermal injury are relative or absolute contraindications.

5. Preoperative Counseling

○ Educate patients on the procedure, potential complications, and the importance of compression therapy and follow-up. Setting realistic expectations about bruising, discomfort, and short-term recovery helps ensure patient satisfaction.

6. Procedural Techniques and Early Clinical Outcomes

While EVLT follows a relatively straightforward sequence—ultrasound-guided cannulation, fiber placement, tumescent anesthesia, and laser energy delivery—numerous technical factors influence early efficacy and complications.

6.1 Laser Wavelengths and Fiber Designs

Laser wavelengths have evolved from the 810–980 nm range to more modern devices operating at 1319 nm, 1470 nm, or even 1940–2100 nm. Alongside these wavelength shifts:

- **Bare-Tip Fibers:** Early-generation fibers delivered forward-pointing energy, raising the risk of vein perforation and perivenous damage.
- **Radial Fibers:** Next-generation designs emit energy circumferentially, minimizing hot spots and enhancing uniform heating of the vein wall.
- **Tulip-Tip or Jacket-Tip Fibers:** Variations on radial fibers aiming to optimize energy distribution and reduce complications like laser-induced perforations.

Clinical studies often find that newer radial fibers combined with higher-wavelength lasers produce comparable or better closure rates with potentially fewer complications, such as postoperative pain or bruising.

6.2 Tumescent Anesthesia

A dilute solution (often containing lidocaine, epinephrine, and saline) is infiltrated around the vein under ultrasound guidance. This technique:

1. **Compresses** the vein to increase fiber-vein wall contact.
2. **Protects** adjacent structures by acting as a thermal cushion.
3. **Provides** significant analgesia and vasoconstriction (due to epinephrine), which can reduce bruising.

Insufficient tumescent infiltration is linked to inadequate vein wall contact and higher risks of nerve damage, skin burns, and postoperative pain.

6.3 Intraoperative Considerations

- **Pullback Speed:** The laser fiber is retracted at a controlled rate (commonly ~1–3 mm/s), ensuring even thermal exposure.
- **Energy Delivery:** Often measured in Joules/cm or Joules per segment. Adjusting total energy to vein diameter and patient anatomy is critical. Over-delivery increases complications risk; under-delivery risks incomplete closure.
- **Ultrasound Monitoring:** Continuous ultrasound assessment helps confirm vein collapse and detect any extravasation, guiding immediate procedural adjustments to reduce complications.

Early Clinical Outcomes from well-conducted EVLT procedures tend to be excellent, with vein closure rates exceeding 90–95% at 1–3 months. Pain is typically mild, allowing most patients to resume daily activities within days.

7. Early Efficacy: Clinical and Ultrasound Findings

Assessing early efficacy involves:

1. **Clinical Examination:** Postoperatively, the treated limb is inspected for visible varicosities, signs of bruising, swelling, or superficial thrombophlebitis. Many patients report immediate relief of heaviness or aching.
2. **Duplex Ultrasound:** Typically performed within the first week or two to confirm successful occlusion. Successful early closure is characterized by the absence of flow in the treated segment and vein wall thickening or collapse.
3. **Patient-Reported Outcomes:** Tools such as the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) for pain or standardized venous questionnaires (e.g., Aberdeen Varicose Vein Questionnaire, CIVIQ) can capture symptom relief and satisfaction levels.

High Early Closure Rates: Most prospective studies and registries cite closure rates of 90–99% at 1–3 months, depending on fiber type, vein diameter, and technique. **Predictive Factors for Success** include smaller vein diameter (<15 mm), adequate tumescent anesthesia, and advanced laser fiber technology (e.g., radial fibers).

When vein closure fails early, it often stems from incomplete thermal injury or technical difficulties (e.g., severe tortuosity). Recanalization or partial closure can also be detected on follow-up ultrasound, though it is more commonly a longer-term issue (months to years).

8. Early Complications: Types, Mechanisms, and Management

While EVLT boasts a strong safety profile, certain complications can arise within the first days to weeks post-procedure. Recognizing these early issues and implementing prompt management strategies can prevent more significant morbidity.

8.1 Periprocedural Pain and Discomfort

Incidence: Mild to moderate pain is common in the initial 48–72 hours after EVLT. Patients frequently report a pulling or tight sensation along the treated vein.

Mechanism: Thermal damage to the vein wall and perivenous tissue can inflame local nociceptors. Incomplete or uneven tumescent anesthesia can exacerbate pain.

Management:

- NSAIDs (e.g., ibuprofen) or acetaminophen can effectively manage mild to moderate discomfort.
- Adequate use of compression stockings (20–30 mmHg) and early ambulation further decreases pain levels.

8.2 Ecchymosis, Hematoma, and Bruising

Incidence: Bruising, usually mild, affects up to 20–40% of patients in small or moderate degrees. Hematomas are less frequent but can occur at insertion sites or along the ablated vein.

Mechanism: Tumescent anesthesia infiltration, laser-induced endothelial injury, and minor venous extravasation can lead to localized bleeding.

Management:

- Compression stockings promote hemostasis and reduce bruising.
- Warm compresses and NSAIDs may speed resolution of ecchymosis.
- Larger hematomas may occasionally require aspiration if symptomatic.

8.3 Superficial Phlebitis and Thrombophlebitis

Incidence: Post-EVLT superficial phlebitis occurs in a subset of patients (reported rates vary from 1–15%).

Mechanism: Local inflammation of superficial tributaries, especially if incompetent branches remain untreated, or if a thermal injury extends into adjacent superficial venous channels.

Clinical Presentation: Erythema, tenderness, and a palpable cord—often near the treated segment—are typical.

Management:

- NSAIDs and compression therapy usually suffice for mild to moderate cases.
- Duplex ultrasound can exclude extension into the deep system.
- Persistent or more severe phlebitis may benefit from further evaluation for partial recanalization or incomplete ablation.

8.4 Endovenous Heat-Induced Thrombosis (EHIT) and Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT)

Incidence: EHIT is defined as thrombus extending from the treated superficial vein segment into the deep venous system (e.g., femoral vein). While reported incidences vary (0.1–2%), it can lead to clinically significant DVT.

Mechanism: Excessive thermal damage near the saphenofemoral or saphenopopliteal junction can provoke clot extension into the deep system.

Classification: Often classified by the degree of extension into the deep vein (EHIT I–IV). EHIT I indicates thrombus near the junction without extension, while EHIT IV involves extensive DVT within the deep system.

Management:

- **Prophylaxis:** Adequate fiber tip positioning (1–2 cm from junction) and correct energy delivery reduces EHIT risk.
- **Detection:** Early postoperative ultrasound (within 3–5 days) is recommended to detect EHIT.
- **Treatment:** EHIT I or II may be monitored with serial ultrasounds if asymptomatic, while higher-grade EHIT or symptomatic DVT warrants anticoagulation.

8.5 Skin Burns and Nerve Injury

Incidence: Skin burns (0.1–1%) and nerve injury (1–5%) are relatively uncommon but noteworthy complications.

Mechanism:

- **Skin Burns:** Inadequate tumescent anesthesia or superficial vein segments (e.g., <5–10 mm from the skin surface) can increase heat transmission to the dermis.
- **Nerve Injury:** The saphenous nerve (along the medial ankle or calf) and sural nerve (near the posterolateral calf) can be damaged by excessive thermal energy or infiltration misplacement.

Clinical Presentation:

- Burns manifest as erythema or blistering in severe cases.
- Nerve injury causes numbness, tingling, or neuropathic pain in the affected sensory distribution.

Management:

- **Prevention:** Thorough tumescent infiltration, correct fiber tip position, and appropriate energy settings.
- **Treatment:** Mild burns may require topical care; large or deep burns might necessitate wound management. Nerve injuries often improve with time, but persistent symptoms could require pain management or neurology referral.

8.6 Recanalization

Early Recanalization—defined as partial or complete reopening of the treated vein segment within weeks—is uncommon, but it can occur.

Mechanism: Insufficient energy delivery to fully occlude the venous lumen or anatomic anomalies (severe tortuosity) hamper complete thermal coverage.

Detection: Duplex ultrasound at 1–2 weeks can reveal segmental flow. Often, recanalization at this early stage indicates the possibility of suboptimal technique.

Management:

- Observe for spontaneous closure over a short period if partial.
- Consider additional therapy (e.g., foam sclerotherapy or repeat ablation) if symptomatic or if recanalization is extensive.

8.7 Other Rare Complications

- **Allergic Reactions:** Rare hypersensitivity to tumescent anesthesia components.
- **Infection:** Uncommon due to the minimally invasive nature, but local infection can occur at the puncture site.
- **Arteriovenous Fistula:** Theoretically possible if thermal injury affects adjacent arteries, yet exceedingly rare in EVLT.

9. Strategies for Reducing Complications and Improving Early Efficacy

EVLT's success hinges upon meticulous technique, tailored patient selection, and robust postoperative care:

1. **Comprehensive Ultrasound Mapping:** A thorough preoperative mapping clarifies vein anatomy, diameter, and points of reflux, reducing the risk of incomplete treatment.
2. **Optimized Tumescent Anesthesia:** Adequate perivenous infiltration ensures better vein wall contact, protects adjacent tissue, and provides analgesia.
3. **Appropriate Wavelength and Fiber Choice:** Using radial fibers and higher-wavelength lasers can lower postoperative discomfort and bruising.
4. **Careful Junction Treatment:** Maintaining a safe distance (1–2 cm) from the saphenofemoral or saphenopopliteal junction reduces EHIT risk.
5. **Energy Settings Based on Vein Diameter:** Matching Joules/cm to the vein's diameter and wall thickness ensures consistent closure without excessive heating.
6. **Use of Compression Stockings:** Early ambulation and graduated compression stockings (typically worn for 1–2 weeks) can reduce bruising, swelling, and DVT risk.
7. **Early Postoperative Ultrasound:** Within 3–7 days to detect EHIT or incomplete closure, ensuring timely intervention if needed.

10. Comparison with Other Endovenous and Surgical Therapies

Endovenous laser therapy competes with multiple varicose vein treatments, each with its own profile of efficacy and complications:

1. Radiofrequency Ablation (RFA)

- Comparable early closure rates and complication rates.
- Some studies suggest slightly less postoperative pain with RFA, while device costs may be higher.

2. Foam Sclerotherapy

- Less expensive upfront but often requires multiple sessions for large saphenous veins. Early complications include superficial thrombophlebitis and hyperpigmentation.
- Closure rates vary widely (60–85% at 1 year), potentially lower than EVLT for large veins.

3. Cyanoacrylate Closure

- Non-thermal, non-tumescent approach with quick procedure times and minimal postoperative discomfort.
- Early efficacy is comparable to EVLT, but concerns remain about device costs, foreign body reactions, and limited long-term follow-up.

4. Mechanochemical Ablation (MOCA)

- Avoids tumescent anesthesia, uses a rotating wire plus sclerosant.
- Early data show favorable closure rates, though potentially higher recanalization in certain series.

5. Surgical Stripping

- Effective but associated with higher morbidity, longer recovery, and more postoperative pain.
- Many clinics have shifted to EVLT or RFA, reserving surgery for specific cases (e.g., large, tortuous veins not suitable for a catheter).

Conclusion: EVLT offers a robust balance of high early closure rates and relatively low complication risk, facilitating rapid recovery and high patient satisfaction in many practice settings.

11. Future Directions and Ongoing Research

Innovations continue to refine endovenous laser therapy and reduce early complication rates:

- **Advanced Laser Wavelengths and Fiber Designs:** Further exploration of radial/tulip-tip fibers at 1940–2100 nm could reduce heat diffusion and postoperative pain.
- **Customized Energy Delivery:** Real-time feedback systems using intraluminal temperature or pressure sensing might optimize Joules/cm for each segment, minimizing over- or under-treatment.
- **Longer-Term Data:** While early efficacy is well-established, extended follow-up on recanalization and late complications at 5–10 years can guide best practices.
- **Combination Therapies:** Techniques that combine EVLT with foam sclerotherapy or mechanochemical methods may address both truncal veins and tributaries in a single session, potentially reducing the risk of residual varicosities and superficial phlebitis.

As these refinements evolve, the central goals remain unchanged: maximizing early closure, minimizing postoperative discomfort, and reducing complications. High-quality prospective trials and registries will further clarify best practices and enhance patient outcomes.

12. Conclusion

Endovenous laser therapy has fundamentally redefined the management of varicose veins, rapidly displacing more invasive surgical approaches in many clinical settings. The technique's ability to achieve high early closure rates, shorten convalescence, and improve patient satisfaction is supported by robust evidence. Nonetheless, operators must remain vigilant about potential early complications, ranging from minor bruising and pain to more serious events like endovenous heat-induced thrombosis or nerve injury. Adherence to best practices—such as meticulous tumescent anesthesia, correct fiber placement, prudent energy application, and timely postoperative ultrasound—significantly reduces these risks.

While the vast majority of patients experience positive outcomes, ongoing research continues to refine endovenous laser therapy. Innovations in wavelength, fiber design, and technique promise further improvements in both efficacy and safety. Taken together, the literature supports EVLT as a highly effective, patient-friendly option for treating superficial venous insufficiency, provided it is performed by experienced practitioners who are mindful of proper patient selection, preoperative planning, and postoperative follow-up.

References

1. Min RJ, Zimmet SE, Isaacs MN, Forrestal MD. Endovenous laser treatment of the incompetent greater saphenous vein. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2001;12(10):1167-1171.
2. Gloviczki P, Comerota AJ, Dalsing MC, et al. The care of patients with varicose veins and associated chronic venous diseases: clinical practice guidelines of the Society for Vascular Surgery and the American Venous Forum. *J Vasc Surg*. 2011;53(5 Suppl):2S-48S.
3. van den Bos R, Arends L, Kockaert M, et al. Endovenous therapies of lower extremity varicosities: a meta-analysis. *J Vasc Surg*. 2009;49(1):230-239.
4. Morrison N, Gibson K, McEnroe S, Goldman MP, King T, Weiss RA. Randomized trial comparing endovenous laser ablation and radiofrequency ablation of the great saphenous vein. *Phlebology*. 2015;30(2):106-115.
5. Hamdan A. Management of varicose veins and venous insufficiency. *JAMA*. 2012;308(24):2612-2621.
6. Wittens C, Davies AH, Bækgaard N, et al. Editor's Choice—Management of chronic venous disease: clinical practice guidelines of the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2015;49(6):678-737.
7. Almeida JJ, Kaufman J, Gockeritz O, et al. Radiofrequency endovenous closure FAST versus laser ablation for the treatment of great saphenous reflux: a multicenter, single-blinded, randomized study (RECOVERY study). *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2009;20(6):752-759.
8. Eroglu E, Yasim A. A randomized clinical trial comparing N-butyl cyanoacrylate glue and 1470-nm endovenous laser ablation for the treatment of venous insufficiency. *Phlebology*. 2018;33(6):361-367.

9. **Brittenden J, Cotton SC, et al.** A randomized trial comparing treatments for varicose veins. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;371(13):1218-1227.
10. **Fan CM, Rox-Anderson R.** Endovenous laser ablation mechanism of action: is a steam bubble event involved? *Lasers Surg Med.* 2008;40(1):13-18.
11. **Piazza G, Goldhaber SZ.** Varicose veins. *Circulation.* 2010;121(9):2070-2076.
12. **Proebstle TM, Alm J, et al.** Early results of combined endovenous laser treatment of the great saphenous vein and sclerotherapy of tributary varicosities. *Phlebology.* 2020;35(3):195-202.
13. **Labropoulos N, Leon LR Jr.** Definition and collateralization of superficial vein reflux and the role of perforators. *Perspect Vasc Surg Endovasc Ther.* 2013;25(2):59-62.