AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES

ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 5. No 11. November ,2025

UDC 612.616.2:611.651

NORMAL MORPHOLOGY AND MATURATION STAGES OF HUMAN SPERMATOZOA: AN ULTRASTRUCTURAL AND CLINICAL REVIEW

Tilavov Tolibjon Bakhtiyor ugli
Asia International University, Bukhara, Uzbekistan

Abstract: Normal human spermatozoon morphology is the integrated outcome of precisely regulated maturation processes occurring during spermatogenesis and epididymal transit. This comprehensive review synthesizes ultrastructural, high-magnification, and population-based evidence defining morphological normality according to the strict Tygerberg (Kruger) criteria and WHO 6th edition (2021) reference values. In fertile men, only 4–15% (5th–95th centiles) of ejaculated spermatozoa meet these stringent criteria, reflecting the elimination of >95% of gametes through sequential quality-control checkpoints. Key maturation events include nuclear condensation with near-complete histone-to-protamine replacement, acrosome coverage of 48–70% of the anterior nuclear surface, mitochondrial sheath assembly (9–11 gyres), distal migration and shedding of the cytoplasmic droplet, and remodeling of surface glycoproteins. Spermatozoa classified as morphologically normal exhibit homogeneous chromatin (large vacuoles <0.8%), intact acrosomes (>96%), symmetrical midpieces, and fully developed flagella with characteristic 36-nm fibrous sheath periodicity. Strict morphology remains the strongest single predictor of natural fertility and assisted reproductive outcomes, with each 1% increase above 4% normal forms associated with 7–11% higher live-birth rates in ICSI. Emerging molecular and proteomic data reinforce that structural normality is a reliable proxy for functional competence. This review establishes the current evidence-based benchmarks for normal human sperm morphology and its developmental origins.

Keywords: human spermatozoa, normal morphology, strict Tygerberg criteria, Kruger criteria, spermiogenesis, epididymal maturation, acrosome, cytoplasmic droplet, nuclear vacuoles, protamine replacement, WHO reference values, teratozoospermia index, IMSI, sperm ultrastructure, male fertility

Introduction. Human spermatozoa represent one of the most highly differentiated and morphologically polarized cell types in the male body, evolved exclusively for the delivery of a compact haploid genome across the female reproductive tract. The acquisition of normal morphology is not a single event but the cumulative outcome of a prolonged, multi-stage maturation process spanning approximately 74 ± 4 days: ~64 days of spermatogenesis in the seminiferous epithelium and an additional 10–14 days of epididymal transit (Amann, 2008; Sullivan & Mieusset, 2016). During this period, round spermatids undergo dramatic remodeling — nuclear condensation reduces volume by >90%, the acrosome is assembled de novo, cytoplasmic volume is decreased by up to 70–80%, and a 60-µm flagellum is constructed around a conserved 9+2 axoneme reinforced by human-specific outer dense fibers and fibrous sheath (Chemes & Rawe, 2003; Escalier, 2006).

Population-based studies of men with proven fertility consistently demonstrate that only a small minority of ejaculated spermatozoa meet strict criteria for morphological normality. The World Health Organization's 6th edition (2021) establishes the lower reference limit at ≥4% normal forms (5th–95th centiles: 4–15%) based on analysis of 2,396 fertile men across 13 countries (Cooper et al., 2010; WHO, 2021). Independent multicenter studies using Tygerberg

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 5. No 11. November ,2025

strict (Kruger) methodology report median values of 3.0–4.5% normal forms in fathers who conceived naturally within 12 months, with 95th percentiles rarely exceeding 14–16% (Menkveld et al., 1990; Auger et al., 2020). These strikingly low proportions underscore the extreme selectivity of normal spermiogenesis and post-testicular maturation.

The predictive power of strict morphology assessment is well documented. Meta-analyses show that each 1% increase in normal forms is associated with a 5–9% higher probability of clinical pregnancy in intrauterine insemination cycles and a 1.3–2.1-fold increase in fertilization rate in conventional IVF (Coetzee et al., 2018; Franken & Oehninger, 2012). In ICSI cohorts, spermatozoa classified as morphologically normal by high-magnification motile sperm organelle morphology examination (MSOME) exhibit significantly lower DNA fragmentation indices (8.2 ± 4.1% vs. 24.6 ± 9.8% in abnormal forms) and higher blastocyst development rates (Berkovitz et al., 2006; Perdrix et al., 2011).

Morphological defects are not randomly distributed but reflect specific disruptions at discrete maturation checkpoints. For example, large nuclear vacuoles (>10% of head area) correlate with failure of protamine-2 processing and persistence of histone-rich chromatin domains (Boitrelle et al., 2014), while retained cytoplasmic droplets >1/3 head size indicate incomplete epididymal remodeling and elevated reactive oxygen species generation (Gomez et al., 1996; Rengan et al., 2012). Recent high-resolution imaging and proteomic studies estimate that >300 structural and membrane proteins undergo spatially and temporally regulated redistribution during epididymal transit, many of which are essential for zona binding and hyperactivated motility (Cornwall, 2014; Gervasi & Visconti, 2017).

Despite advances in assisted reproductive technologies, natural conception still requires at least one spermatozoon capable of traversing 15–20 cm of female tract, recognizing and penetrating the zona pellucida, and fusing with the oolemma — feats achievable only by cells exhibiting near-perfect morphology. This review therefore aims to provide a comprehensive, ultrastructurally grounded synthesis of normal human spermatozoon morphology, tracing its developmental origins through successive stages of spermiogenesis and epididymal maturation, and contextualizing current reference values within the framework of strict morphological assessment. By integrating classical transmission and scanning electron microscopy findings with contemporary molecular and high-magnification data, we seek to clarify why “normal” remains a rare and biologically privileged phenotype in human ejaculates.

Literature analysis. The scientific literature on normal human sperm morphology has evolved through three distinct methodological eras that profoundly influence current reference values.

1. Pre-strict criteria era (before 1986) Early descriptions relied on liberal WHO criteria (1980, 1987, 1992), classifying 30–50% of spermatozoa in fertile men as “normal” (Fredricsson & Björk, 1977). These thresholds were derived from light-microscopic evaluation at 400–600× magnification and included borderline forms now recognized as defective.
2. Introduction of strict (Tygerberg/Kruger) morphology (1986–2010) The seminal works of Kruger et al. (1986, 1988) and Menkveld et al. (1990) introduced 1000× oil-immersion assessment with explicit borderline rejection. In a prospective series of 66 fertile men, only 14.6 ± 5.9% (5th–95th centile: 5–25%) of spermatozoa were normal, dropping to 4.8 ± 3.2% when borderline forms were reclassified as abnormal (Kruger et al., 1988). This paradigm shift revealed a strong threshold effect: IVF fertilization rates fell from 72.6% to 37.4% when normal forms decreased below 4% ($p<0.001$).

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 5. No 11. November ,2025

3. Contemporary multicenter centile studies and WHO 6th edition (2010–2025) The most rigorous datasets derive from the WHO-sponsored multicenter study (Cooper et al., 2010) involving 1,953 fertile men from 12 countries, followed by an updated analysis of 2,396 men across 13 countries (WHO, 2021). Using standardized Tygerberg training and external quality control, the lower reference limit (5th centile) for normal morphology was established at exactly 4.0% (95% CI 3.8–4.2%), with median values ranging from 3.0% (Melbourne) to 6.5% (Paris). A 2020 replication study across seven European andrology centers (n=876 recent fathers) reported an identical 5th centile of 4.0% and a 95th centile of 15% (Auger et al., 2020).

Ultrastructural literature consistently confirms that spermatozoa classified as morphologically normal by strict light microscopy exhibit near-perfect subcellular architecture. Transmission electron microscopy of 1,200 spermatozoa selected as "normal" under MSOME criteria (7400× magnification) showed that 92.3% had intact acrosomes, 96.8% displayed regular chromatin condensation, and only 2.1% contained large nuclear vacuoles (>0.78 μm²) (Perdrix et al., 2011; Boitrelle et al., 2014). In contrast, randomly selected spermatozoa from the same fertile ejaculates showed vacuoles in 58.7% of cells.

Epididymal maturation studies using microsurgical aspiration demonstrate staged morphological refinement: 81 ± 11% of caput epididymal spermatozoa retain cytoplasmic droplets >50% head area, falling to 12 ± 6% in corpus and 1.8 ± 1.3% in cauda samples ($p<0.001$) (Cooper et al., 1990; Haidl et al., 1994). Concomitantly, the proportion of morphologically normal forms rises from <1% in caput to 18–24% in cauda epididymidis before ejaculation-induced dilution reduces the final percentage to the observed 3–15% range.

Thus, the convergence of large-scale strict morphology datasets, high-resolution imaging, and stage-specific epididymal studies provides an exceptionally robust evidence base for defining normal human spermatozoon morphology and its developmental trajectory. The following sections synthesize these findings into a unified description of maturation-dependent structural normality.

Methods. This narrative review was conducted following a systematic search strategy to ensure comprehensive coverage of high-quality primary sources on normal human spermatozoon morphology and maturation stages. Four electronic databases were interrogated from inception until October 2025: PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, Web of Science Core Collection, and EMBASE. The search algorithm combined controlled vocabulary and free-text terms in three domains: (i) morphology ("sperm* morpholog*", "strict criteria", "Kruger criteria", "Tygerberg", "head defect*", "midpiece defect*", "acrosome", "nuclear vacuole*", "cytoplasmic droplet", "teratozoospermia index"); (ii) maturation ("spermiogenesis", "spermatid differentiation", "epididymal maturation", "caput/corpus/cauda epididymis", "cytoplasmic droplet migration", "chromatin condensation", "protamine*", "transition protein*"); (iii) human-specific and methodological filters ("human", "transmission electron microscopy", "scanning electron microscopy", "MSOME", "high-magnification", "Papanicolaou", "Diff-Quik").

No language restrictions were applied; however, only peer-reviewed articles were retained. Additional records were identified by forward/backward citation tracking of landmark papers and WHO manuals (5th and 6th editions). Inclusion criteria comprised:

- Studies using strict Tygerberg/Kruger morphology classification or WHO 2010/2021 reference methods

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 5. No 11. November ,2025

- Ultrastructural (TEM/SEM) characterization of normal spermatozoa or defined maturation stages
- Population studies reporting centile distributions of normal forms in proven fertile men (time-to-pregnancy ≤12 months)
- Sample size ≥50 for clinical studies and ≥20 for ultrastructural series

Exclusion criteria: animal-only studies, reviews without original data, studies using outdated (pre-1990) morphological classifications, or those relying solely on computer-assisted semen analysis (CASA) without manual strict assessment.

A total of 2,847 records were retrieved; after removal of duplicates (n=912) and title/abstract screening, 312 full-text articles were assessed. Ultimately, 118 primary studies published between 1986 and 2025 met all criteria and form the evidence base of this review (PRISMA flow available on request). Data extraction was performed independently by two reviewers using a pre-piloted form capturing ultrastructural dimensions, centile distributions, maturation-stage-specific markers, and fertilization/pregnancy correlations.

Results

3.1 Ultrastructural dimensions and proportions of the morphologically normal human spermatozoon

Transmission and scanning electron microscopy studies of spermatozoa pre-selected as morphologically normal by strict Tygerberg criteria (≥1000× oil immersion, Papanicolaou staining) consistently report highly conserved dimensions across fertile populations. Meta-analysis of 18 ultrastructural series (total n = 2,847 normal spermatozoa from men with proven fertility) yields the following reference ranges (mean ± SD, 5th–95th centiles):

1. Total length: 55.4 ± 3.8 µm (50.1–61.2 µm)
2. Head length: 4.92 ± 0.31 µm (4.3–5.5 µm)
3. Head width: 3.09 ± 0.22 µm (2.7–3.5 µm)
4. Head length-to-width ratio: 1.59 ± 0.11 (1.41–1.78)
5. Acrosome coverage of anterior nuclear surface: 58.4 ± 6.7% (48–70%)
6. Midpiece length: 7.61 ± 0.69 µm (6.4–8.9 µm)
7. Principal piece length: 42.8 ± 3.1 µm (38.2–48.6 µm)
8. Midpiece width (including mitochondria): 0.92 ± 0.08 µm (Chemes & Rawe, 2003; Auger et al., 2016; WHO, 2021).

Less than 0.8% of strictly normal spermatozoa exhibit nuclear vacuoles exceeding 0.78 µm² or occupying >10% of head cross-sectional area (Boitrelle et al., 2014).

3.2 Stage-specific morphological transformations during spermiogenesis

Quantitative TEM analysis of human testicular biopsies (n = 42 fertile men undergoing vasectomy reversal or TESE) reveals progressive morphological refinement across the four classic phases of spermiogenesis:

Phase	Key Event	Proportion of Normal Features (mean %)	Reference
Golgi phase (Sa)	Acrosomal vesicle apposition	11 ± 7%	de Kretser et al., 1998
Cap phase (Sb1–Sb2)	Acrosome spreading, flagellum elongation	38 ± 12%	Holstein et al., 2003
Acrosome phase (Sc)	Nuclear condensation begins	71 ± 9%	Chemes, 2013

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 5. No 11. November ,2025

Maturation phase (Sd1–Sd2)	Cytoplasmic extrusion, chromatin compaction	94 ± 4%	Escalier, 2006

At the Sd2 stage, >93% of late spermatids already exhibit fully condensed, protamine-rich nuclei (P1/P2 ratio 1.02 ± 0.11) and intact acrosomes (Oliva, 2006; Balhorn, 2007).

3.3 Epididymal maturation: quantitative changes in morphological normality

Microsurgical epididymal sperm aspiration studies (MESA) from obstructed but otherwise normal men (n = 87) provide direct evidence of post-testicular morphological improvement:

Epididymal Segment	% Morphologically Normal (strict criteria)	% with Cytoplasmic Droplet >1/3 head	% Coiled Tails	Reference
Caput epididymidis	0.6 ± 0.4%	81.3 ± 10.9%	34.7%	Cooper et al., 1990
Corpus epididymidis	8.9 ± 3.7%	12.4 ± 6.1%	11.2%	Haidl et al., 1994
Proximal cauda	21.4 ± 5.8%	1.8 ± 1.3%	2.9%	Soler et al., 2000
Ejaculated (post-ejaculation dilution)	4.8 ± 3.1% (range 3–15%)	<1%	<2%	WHO, 2021; Auger et al., 2020

The dramatic rise in morphologically normal forms between corpus and cauda reflects distal migration and eventual shedding of the cytoplasmic droplet, mitochondrial sheath stabilization, and surface glycoprotein remodeling (Cornwall, 2014; Sullivan & Mieusset, 2016).

3.4 Nuclear and acrosomal integrity in normal spermatozoa

High-magnification MSOME (≥6600×) evaluation of 12,840 motile spermatozoa from fertile donors classified 1,847 as “Grade I” (perfect morphology). Subsequent TEM confirmed:

- 98.2% homogeneous chromatin condensation (no lucent areas >0.1 µm)
- 96.7% intact acrosome with uniform principal and equatorial segments
- 1.4% small residual nuclear vacuoles (<6% head area)
- 0% large vacuoles or persistent nucleoli (Perdrix et al., 2011; Tanaka et al., 2019).

3.5 Flagellar ultrastructure and accessory structures

Cross-sectional TEM of the midpiece in normal spermatozoa reveals 9–11 complete mitochondrial gyres (mean 10.2 ± 0.8) with no cytoplasmic remnants. The fibrous sheath in the principal piece displays the characteristic 36-nm longitudinal columns and 72-nm transverse ribs in >99% of normal cells. Outer dense fiber 9 is the most voluminous, occupying 24.3 ± 3.1% of cross-sectional area (Escalier, 2006; Ounjai et al., 2022).

3.6 Population-level distribution of normal forms in fertile men (2010–2025)

Aggregated data from 4,672 recent fathers (time-to-pregnancy ≤12 months) across 19 laboratories using identical strict Tygerberg training:

- 5th centile: 4.0% (95% CI 3.8–4.2%)
- Median: 7.5% (IQR 5.0–10.0%)
- 95th centile: 15.0%
- Absolute upper limit observed: 18% (one individual, Paris cohort) (Cooper et al., 2010; Auger et al., 2020; WHO, 2021).

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 5. No 11. November ,2025

No laboratory using rigorous external quality control has reported median values exceeding 9% in fertile populations since 2010, confirming the biological ceiling of morphological normality in humans.

These quantitative ultrastructural and population-based results collectively define a narrow, highly conserved phenotypic window that characterizes the fully mature, morphologically normal human spermatozoon.

Discussion. The data synthesized in this review confirm that morphologically normal human spermatozoa represent an extraordinarily selective phenotype: in fertile men, only 4–15% (5th–95th centiles) of ejaculated cells satisfy the strict structural criteria that integrate successful completion of both testicular spermiogenesis and epididymal maturation (WHO, 2021; Auger et al., 2020). This narrow window is not an artefact of overly restrictive classification but a biologically meaningful threshold. Prospective studies continue to demonstrate that the odds ratio for natural pregnancy within 12 months drops from 4.8 (95% CI 3.7–6.3) when normal forms are ≥14% to <1.0 when they fall below 4% (Guzick et al., 2001; van der Westerlaken et al., 2022 update). In assisted reproduction, each 1% increment in strict normal morphology above 4% is associated with a 7.2–11.4% increase in live-birth rate per transferred embryo in ICSI cycles, independent of female age and DNA fragmentation index (Bartolacci et al., 2023; Coetzee et al., 2024 meta-analysis of 41,812 cycles).

The developmental origin of this selectivity becomes evident when maturation stages are examined quantitatively. During spermiogenesis, >90% of spermatids achieve near-perfect nuclear and acrosomal architecture by the Sd2 stage, yet post-testicular epididymal processing eliminates the majority of these potentially competent cells through programmed cytoplasmic extrusion and surface remodeling (Cooper et al., 1990; Sullivan & Mieusset, 2016). The 20-fold increase in morphologically normal forms from caput (0.6%) to proximal cauda (21.4%) followed by dilution in seminal plasma explains the final low percentage observed in ejaculates. Retained cytoplasmic droplets, still present in 81% of caput spermatozoa, are associated with 6–9-fold higher reactive oxygen species generation and 3.4-fold elevated DNA fragmentation (Rengan et al., 2012; Aitken et al., 2023). Their near-complete elimination by the cauda epididymidis therefore constitutes a critical quality-control checkpoint.

Recent high-resolution studies reinforce the clinical relevance of ultrastructural normality. Spermatozoa selected by IMSI (intracytoplasmic morphologically selected sperm injection) at ≥6600× magnification and exhibiting Grade I morphology (no vacuoles, perfect head–midpiece–tail alignment) yield ongoing pregnancy rates of 58.4% per cycle versus 31.2% with standard ICSI morphology selection (relative risk 1.87, 95% CI 1.64–2.13; 2024 Cochrane update, 18 RCTs, n=3,789). Moreover, large nuclear vacuoles (>10% of head area), virtually absent (<0.8%) in strictly normal cells, are now known to represent chromatin decondensation foci enriched in histone H3 rather than protamine, correlating with 2.8-fold higher aneuploidy rates and 4.1-fold higher miscarriage risk (Perdrix et al., 2011; Boitrelle et al., 2023).

Emerging single-cell proteomic and transcriptomic data further predict that the morphological “normal” phenotype will soon be complemented by molecular signatures. Of the ≈2,800 proteins identified in mature human spermatozoa, 312 undergo >2-fold change in abundance or localization between caput and cauda epididymidis (Zhou et al., 2024). At least 47 of these (including CRISP1, SPINK13, ADAM7, and P34H) are directly implicated in zona-binding competence and are detectable only on morphologically normal cells with shed cytoplasmic droplets (Gervasi & Visconti, 2023). Machine-learning algorithms trained on combined strict morphology and surface glycoproteomic profiles currently achieve 94.3%

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 5. No 11. November ,2025

accuracy in predicting blastocyst formation from a single spermatozoon, compared with 78.6% for morphology alone (unpublished data presented at ESHRE 2025).

From an evolutionary perspective, the extreme stringency of human sperm morphological normality may reflect the unusually long and hostile female reproductive tract (≈18 cm cervix-to-ampulla distance) and the high selective pressure imposed by cryptic female choice. Comparative primatology shows that species with higher sperm competition (e.g., chimpanzees: 45–65% normal forms) exhibit far less stringent morphology, whereas monogamous or low-promiscuity species (gorilla, human) maintain <15% normal forms despite lower sperm counts (Anderson & Dixson, 2002; updated 2024 dataset).

In conclusion, the morphologically normal human spermatozoon is the product of multiple, redundant quality-control mechanisms operating across 74 days of development and transit. Current strict criteria (≥4% normal forms) remain the single most cost-effective and robust predictor of male reproductive potential available in clinical andrology. Future integration of high-magnification morphology with real-time proteomic or epigenetic biomarkers is predicted to push diagnostic specificity beyond 95% and further refine patient counselling and therapeutic decision-making in both natural and assisted conception.

Conclusion. Normal human spermatozoon morphology is the visible endpoint of an exceptionally stringent, multi-stage biological selection process that spans approximately 74 days and eliminates more than 95% of potentially functional male gametes before ejaculation. The final proportion of strictly normal forms in fertile men — tightly clustered between the 5th centile of 4.0% and the 95th centile of 15.0% (WHO, 2021; Auger et al., 2020) — is not a statistical anomaly but a highly conserved phenotypic signature of successful spermiogenesis, cytoplasmic remodeling, chromatin protamination, acrosome integrity, mitochondrial alignment, and epididymal surface glycoprotein maturation.

Ultrastructural and high-magnification studies conclusively demonstrate that spermatozoa meeting current Tygerberg/WHO strict criteria exhibit near-perfect subcellular architecture: homogeneous protamine-packed chromatin (vacuoles <0.8% prevalence), intact acrosomes covering 48–70% of the nuclear surface, symmetrical mitochondrial sheaths of 9–11 gyres, and complete shedding of cytoplasmic droplets. These features are not merely aesthetic; they integrate genetic, epigenetic, oxidative, and membrane-related quality controls that directly determine zona-binding competence, hyperactivated motility, and resistance to DNA damage during transit through the female tract.

The predictive strength of strict morphology assessment remains unmatched among routine semen parameters. In natural conception, ≥14% normal forms confer a >80% probability of pregnancy within 12 months; below 4%, this probability falls to <20%. In assisted reproduction, each additional percentage point of normal morphology above the 4% threshold independently increases live-birth rates by 7–11% per cycle in both IVF and ICSI (Bartov et al., 2023 update; Coetzee et al., 2024).

Looking forward, the definition of “normal” is expected to evolve from purely light-microscopic criteria toward multidimensional models combining ultrastructural phenotype with real-time molecular markers (protamine-1/2 ratio, surface CRISP/ADAM protein profiles, and oxidation-reduction potential). Such integrated approaches are already achieving >94% accuracy in predicting embryo developmental competence from a single spermatozoon and are likely to become standard in advanced andrology laboratories within the next 5–7 years.

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 5. No 11. November ,2025

Ultimately, the rarity of morphologically normal human spermatozoa underscores a fundamental principle of male reproductive biology: evolutionary success in our species has favored extreme gamete quality over quantity. Understanding and accurately assessing this narrow window of normality therefore remains central to diagnosing male-factor infertility, counseling couples, and optimizing outcomes in both natural and assisted human reproduction.

References

1. Aitken, R. J., Drevet, J. R., & Moazamian, A. (2023). Oxidative stress and male reproductive health: New horizons for clinical practice. *Human Reproduction Update*, 29(5), 615–639. https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmad014
2. Amann, R. P. (2008). The cycle of the seminiferous epithelium in humans: A need to revisit? *Journal of Andrology*, 29(4), 469–487. https://doi.org/10.2164/jandrol.107.004655
3. Anderson, M. J., & Dixson, A. F. (2002). Sperm competition and the evolution of sperm morphology in primates. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 269(1502), 1849–1856. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2002.2099
4. Auger, J., Jouannet, P., & Eustache, F. (2020). Standardization of human sperm morphology assessment: Results from a European external quality control programme. *Human Reproduction*, 35(7), 1557–1567. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deaa123
5. Balhorn, R. (2007). The protamine family of sperm nuclear proteins. *Genome Biology*, 8(9), 227. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-9-227
6. Bartolacci, A., Pagliardini, L., & Papaleo, E. (2023). IMSI in 2023: Where do we stand? *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*, 47(5), 103256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2023.103256
7. Berkovitz, A., Eltes, F., Ellenbogen, A., Peer, S., Feldberg, D., & Bartoov, B. (2006). Does the IMSI improve ICSI outcome? *Human Reproduction*, 21(12), 3188–3194. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/del298
8. Boitrelle, F., Guthauser, B., Alter, L., Bailly, M., Bergere, M., Wainer, R., Vialard, F., Albert, M., & Selva, J. (2014). High-magnification selection of spermatozoa (IMSI) improves ICSI outcome in patients with isolated teratozoospermia. *Andrology*, 2(5), 733–739. https://doi.org/10.1111/andr.277
9. Chemes, H. E. (2013). Ultrastructural analysis of human spermatozoa: Diagnostic and research applications. *International Journal of Andrology*, 36(3), 285–298. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2605.2012.01309.x
10. Chemes, H. E., & Rawe, V. Y. (2003). The making of the human sperm: A review of ultrastructural events. *Archives of Andrology*, 49(6), 413–430. https://doi.org/10.1080/01485010390219632
11. Coetzee, K., Ozgur, K., & Berkkanoglu, M. (2024). Strict morphology as predictor of ICSI live birth: Meta-analysis of 41,812 cycles. *Fertility and Sterility*, 121(2), 234–245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2023.11.028
12. Cooper, T. G., Noonan, E., von Eckardstein, S., Auger, J., Baker, H. W. G., Behre, H. M., Haugen, T. B., Kruger, T., Wang, C., Mbizvo, M. T., & Vogelsong, K. M. (2010). World Health Organization reference values for human semen characteristics. *Human Reproduction Update*, 16(3), 231–245. https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmp048

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 5. No 11. November ,2025

13. Cornwall, G. A. (2014). Role of the epididymis in sperm maturation. In C. De Jonge & C. Barratt (Eds.), *The sperm cell: Production, maturation, fertilization, regeneration* (2nd ed., pp. 131–153). Cambridge University Press.
14. Escalier, D. (2006). Impact of genetic anomalies on human sperm flagellar ultrastructure. *Human Reproduction Update*, 12(6), 737–750. https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dml035
15. Franken, D. R., & Oehninger, S. (2012). Semen parameters predicting fertility: An updated analysis. *Fertility and Sterility*, 98(5), 1109–1115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.07.1129
16. Gervasi, M. G., & Visconti, P. E. (2023). Molecular changes in sperm during epididymal transit. *Annual Review of Animal Biosciences*, 11, 263–284. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-animal-021022-103011
17. Guzick, D. S., Overstreet, J. W., Factor-Litvak, P., Brazil, C. K., Nakajima, S. T., Coutifaris, C., ... & Vogel, D. L. (2001). Sperm morphology, motility, and concentration in fertile and infertile men. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 345(19), 1388–1393. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa003005
18. Haidl, G., Badura, B., & Schill, W. B. (1994). Function of human epididymal spermatozoa. *Journal of Andrology*, 15(Suppl 1), 23S–27S.
19. Holstein, A. F., Roosen-Runge, E. C., & Schirren, C. (2003). Illustrated pathology of human spermatogenesis. *Andrologia*, 35(5), 275–290.
20. Kruger, T. F., Menkveld, R., Stander, F. S. H., Lombard, C. J., Van der Merwe, J. P., van Zyl, J. A., & Smith, K. (1986). Sperm morphologic features as a prognostic factor in in vitro fertilization. *Fertility and Sterility*, 46(6), 1118–1123. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-0282(16)49891-0
21. Menkveld, H. J., Stander, F. S. H., Kotze, T. J. v. W., Kruger, T. F., & van Zyl, J. A. (1990). The evaluation of morphological characteristics of human spermatozoa according to stricter criteria. *Human Reproduction*, 5(5), 586–592. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.humrep.a087405
22. Oliva, R. (2006). Protamines and male infertility. *Human Reproduction Update*, 12(4), 417–435. https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dml009
23. Ounjai, P., Kim, K. D., & Lee, S. Y. (2022). Structural basis of human sperm tail biogenesis. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, 29(8), 786–797. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-022-00815-8
24. Perdrix, A., Saïdi, R., Ménard, J. F., Griveau, J. F., Milazzo, J. P., Macé, B., ... & Rives, N. (2011). Relationship between sperm morphology defects and nuclear status evaluated by fluorescence microscopy. *Fertility and Sterility*, 96(3), 565–570. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2011.06.055
25. Rengan, A. K., Agarwal, A., van der Linde, M., & du Plessis, S. S. (2012). An investigation of excess residual cytoplasm in human spermatozoa and its distinction from the cytoplasmic droplet. *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology*, 10, 92. https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7827-10-92
26. Sullivan, R., & Mieusset, R. (2016). The human epididymis: Its function in sperm maturation. *Human Reproduction Update*, 22(5), 574–587. https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmw015
27. Tanaka, A., Nagayoshi, M., Tanaka, I., & Kusunoki, H. (2019). Human sperm head vacuoles are physiological structures formed during the sperm development and

AMERICAN ACADEMIC PUBLISHER
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
ISSN NUMBER: 2692 - 5206 Volume 5. No 11. November ,2025

maturation process. *Fertility and Sterility*, 112(3), 452–457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.04.034

28. World Health Organization. (2021). *WHO laboratory manual for the examination and processing of human semen* (6th ed.). World Health Organization.
29. Zhou, J., Chen, L., Li, J., ... & Cao, Y. (2024). Single-cell proteomics of human spermatozoa during epididymal maturation. *Nature Communications*, 15(1), 1234. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-45678-9