



# Human Capital Dynamics Across the SME Life Cycle and Their Influence on Business Performance in Developing and Emerging Economies

**Dr. Samuel K. Mensah**

Department of Management Studies, University of Ghana, Ghana

## ABSTRACT

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) are widely recognized as the backbone of developing and emerging economies, contributing substantially to employment creation, innovation, poverty reduction, and overall economic growth. Despite their importance, SMEs continue to face persistent performance and survival challenges, particularly in volatile and resource-constrained environments. Among the various factors identified in the literature, human capital has emerged as one of the most critical yet complex determinants of SME performance. Human capital encompasses the education level, skills, experience, knowledge, and competencies of owners, managers, and employees, and it operates not as a static resource but as a dynamic asset that evolves over time. This article develops a comprehensive, theory-driven analysis of how human capital influences SME performance across different stages of the enterprise life cycle, drawing strictly on the provided body of literature. By integrating insights from human capital theory, intellectual capital frameworks, organizational life-cycle models, and strategic human resource management perspectives, the study offers an in-depth conceptual and empirical synthesis that explains why and how human capital matters differently at various stages of SME development.

The article adopts a qualitative, integrative research design based on systematic theoretical elaboration and comparative analysis of prior empirical studies conducted across Africa, Asia, and other emerging economies. The findings reveal that education, experience, and skills of SME owner-managers play a foundational role in early-stage survival, while structured human capital development, knowledge management practices, and formalized HRM systems become increasingly important as firms transition to growth and maturity stages. Furthermore, the study highlights that intellectual capital components—human, structural, and relational capital—interact dynamically with life-cycle challenges, shaping competitive advantage and long-term performance outcomes. Contextual factors such as institutional environments, access to training, and cultural norms significantly moderate these relationships.

By synthesizing fragmented empirical evidence into a coherent life-cycle-based framework, this article contributes to both theory and practice. It advances academic understanding by bridging human capital and SME life-cycle literatures, while offering actionable insights for policymakers, educators, consultants, and SME owners seeking to enhance performance through targeted human capital investments. The study concludes that sustainable SME performance in developing economies depends not merely on the presence of human capital, but on its continuous development, strategic alignment, and adaptation to evolving organizational needs.

## Keywords

Human capital, SME performance, enterprise life cycle, intellectual capital, developing economies, human resource management

## INTRODUCTION

Small and medium-sized enterprises occupy a central position in the economic architecture of developing and emerging economies. They serve as engines of employment generation, innovation diffusion, and inclusive growth, particularly in contexts where large corporations are limited in number or scope. Governments, international development agencies, and academic researchers have therefore devoted sustained attention to understanding the determinants of SME performance and growth. Despite this interest, SME failure rates remain high, and performance disparities among firms operating within similar environments continue to puzzle scholars and practitioners alike. This persistent heterogeneity suggests that beyond external constraints such as finance, infrastructure, and regulation, internal organizational factors play a decisive role in shaping SME outcomes.

Among these internal factors, human capital has consistently been identified as a key driver of performance. Human capital broadly refers to the stock of knowledge, skills, education, experience, and capabilities embodied in individuals, which can be deployed to create economic value. In the SME context, human capital is often concentrated in the owner-manager, particularly in early stages of development, and gradually distributed across employees as the firm grows. Empirical studies across Africa and other developing regions have demonstrated that owner education, managerial experience, and employee skills significantly influence productivity, profitability, innovation, and growth (Agbeblewu, 2017; Ibrahim, 2018; Egberi, 2019). However, the mechanisms through which human capital affects performance are neither linear nor uniform across the firm's life span.

A growing body of literature suggests that SMEs evolve through identifiable stages of development, each characterized by distinct challenges, resource needs, and managerial priorities. Life-cycle models propose that firms move from inception and survival stages to growth, expansion, and eventually maturity, encountering crises and transitions along the way (Lippitt & Schmidt, 1967; Kazanjian, 1988; Kazanjian & Drazin, 1989). At each stage, the type and configuration of human capital required for success may differ substantially. For instance, entrepreneurial creativity and generalist skills may be critical at start-up, while specialized managerial competencies, formalized HR practices, and knowledge management systems become essential during growth and maturity phases (Salwa Muda, 2015; Liang & Lin, 2008).

Despite recognition of these dynamics, much of the existing research treats human capital as a static variable and SME performance as a homogeneous outcome. Studies often focus on isolated indicators such as education level or years of experience, without situating them within a broader developmental trajectory. Similarly, research on intellectual capital and HRM practices frequently overlooks the life-cycle context in which these resources are deployed. This fragmentation limits the explanatory power of existing findings and constrains their practical relevance for SME owners and policymakers.

This article addresses this gap by developing an integrated, life-cycle-based analysis of human capital and SME performance. Drawing strictly on the provided references, it synthesizes empirical evidence from diverse contexts—including Ghana, Nigeria, Tanzania, Libya, Zimbabwe, Malaysia, Pakistan, India, and Indonesia—to construct a comprehensive conceptual framework. The central argument is that human capital influences SME performance through evolving mechanisms that align with life-cycle stages, and that sustainable performance depends on the firm's ability to adapt, develop, and strategically manage its human capital over time.

By offering an extensive theoretical elaboration and nuanced interpretation of prior studies, this article contributes to the literature in three key ways. First, it bridges human capital theory and SME life-cycle perspectives, demonstrating how their integration enhances understanding of performance heterogeneity. Second, it situates intellectual capital and HRM practices within a developmental framework, highlighting their dynamic interplay. Third, it provides context-sensitive insights relevant to developing and emerging economies, where institutional constraints amplify the importance of internal capabilities.

## METHODOLOGY

The methodological approach adopted in this article is qualitative, integrative, and theory-driven, reflecting the study's objective of generating a comprehensive, publication-ready synthesis rather than producing new primary data. The research design is grounded in systematic theoretical elaboration and comparative analysis of existing empirical and conceptual studies contained within the provided reference list. This approach is particularly appropriate given the complexity of the research question, which seeks to explain not only whether human capital affects SME performance, but how and why this relationship varies across life-cycle stages and contextual settings.

The first methodological step involved a close reading and thematic analysis of all referenced works. Each study was examined to identify its core constructs, theoretical foundations, empirical context, and key findings related to human capital, intellectual capital, HRM practices, and SME performance. Particular attention was paid to variables such as education level, managerial and employee experience, skills development, knowledge management, and organizational learning, as well as performance outcomes including growth, profitability, innovation, and competitive advantage. Life-cycle concepts were identified either explicitly, as in studies adopting stage-based models, or implicitly, through discussions of firm age, growth phases, or developmental challenges.

The second step consisted of categorizing findings according to life-cycle stages. Drawing on classical and contemporary life-cycle theories (Lippitt & Schmidt, 1967; Kazanjian, 1988; Kazanjian & Drazin, 1989), the analysis organized evidence around early-stage, growth-stage, and maturity-stage SMEs. This categorization enabled a structured comparison of how human capital attributes function differently at each stage. For example, owner education and entrepreneurial experience were emphasized in early-stage survival, while formal HRM systems and intellectual capital integration were more prominent in later stages.

The third step involved integrative synthesis, in which insights from different theoretical traditions were combined to develop a cohesive narrative. Human capital theory provided the foundational logic linking individual attributes to productivity and performance. Intellectual capital frameworks expanded this logic by incorporating structural and relational dimensions (Kamukama et al., 2011; Kamukama, 2013). Strategic HRM literature contributed an understanding of how management practices shape the accumulation and deployment of human capital (Bello-Pintado & Garcés-Galdeano, 2019; Bloom et al., 2012). Life-cycle models offered a temporal lens through which these relationships could be interpreted dynamically.

Throughout the analysis, methodological rigor was maintained by strictly adhering to the provided references and avoiding unsupported generalizations. Claims were triangulated across multiple studies where possible, and contextual differences were explicitly acknowledged. Rather than aggregating findings statistically, the methodology emphasized depth of explanation, theoretical coherence, and contextual sensitivity. This approach aligns with the study's objective of producing an extensive, publication-ready article that advances conceptual understanding while remaining empirically grounded.

## RESULTS

The integrative analysis of the referenced literature reveals several consistent and interrelated patterns regarding the role of human capital in SME performance. These patterns are best understood when examined through a life-cycle lens, as the influence of human capital evolves in response to changing organizational needs, challenges, and opportunities.

In the early stages of SME development, performance is strongly associated with the human capital of the owner-manager. Studies conducted in Ghana, Libya, Nigeria, and Tanzania consistently show that higher levels of formal education enhance decision-making quality, opportunity recognition, and adaptability, thereby improving survival and initial performance (Agbelewu, 2017; Ibrahim, 2018; Egberi, 2019; Isaga, 2015). Education equips entrepreneurs with analytical skills and cognitive frameworks that facilitate planning, resource allocation, and problem-solving in uncertain environments. However, education alone is insufficient. Practical business experience, whether gained through prior employment, family enterprises, or informal learning, complements formal education by providing context-specific knowledge and tacit skills.

At this stage, human capital operates primarily as an individual-level resource. Organizational structures are informal, and HRM practices are minimal or nonexistent. Performance outcomes are therefore highly sensitive to the competencies and limitations of the owner-manager. The literature suggests that deficiencies in education or experience can be partially offset by learning-by-doing, but such learning is often costly and risky in volatile markets. Consequently, early-stage SMEs with stronger human capital endowments exhibit higher survival rates and more stable initial growth trajectories.

As SMEs transition into growth stages, the locus of human capital influence shifts from the individual to the organizational level. Empirical evidence from Indonesia, Malaysia, Pakistan, and Zimbabwe highlights the increasing importance of employee skills, training, and knowledge-sharing practices (Agus Suroso, 2017; Adman, 2019; Njanike, 2019; Khalique et al., 2015). Growth introduces complexity in operations, customer relationships, and competitive positioning, which cannot be managed effectively by the owner-manager alone. Firms that invest in developing their workforce and establishing basic HRM systems are better able to scale operations and sustain performance.

At this stage, intellectual capital becomes a critical mediating mechanism. Human capital interacts with structural capital, such as routines, processes, and information systems, to enhance efficiency and innovation (Kamukama et al., 2011; Kianto et al., 2010). Knowledge management practices, including documentation, training, and informal learning networks, facilitate the transformation of individual knowledge into organizational capability (Adman, 2019). SMEs that fail to institutionalize knowledge remain vulnerable to employee turnover and managerial overload, which can undermine performance despite initial success.

In maturity stages, performance is increasingly influenced by the strategic alignment of human capital with organizational goals and external environments. Studies emphasize the role of formalized HRM practices, leadership development, and continuous learning in maintaining competitiveness (Bello-Pintado & Garcés-Galdeano, 2019; Bilan et al., 2020). Mature SMEs face challenges such as market saturation, technological change, and increased competition, which require sophisticated management capabilities. Here, human capital contributes not only through operational efficiency but also through strategic renewal and innovation.

Across all stages, contextual factors moderate the human capital–performance relationship. Institutional support

for training, access to education, cultural attitudes toward learning, and labor market conditions shape both the availability and effectiveness of human capital investments (Bloom et al., 2013; Brunello & De Paola, 2004). In developing economies, market failures often lead to underinvestment in training, placing greater responsibility on SMEs themselves to develop their human resources.

## DISCUSSION

The findings underscore the fundamentally dynamic nature of human capital in SMEs. Rather than functioning as a static input, human capital evolves in composition, configuration, and strategic relevance as firms progress through their life cycles. This perspective challenges simplistic interpretations that treat education or experience as universally beneficial regardless of context. Instead, the value of specific human capital attributes depends on their alignment with stage-specific challenges and organizational structures.

From a theoretical standpoint, the integration of human capital theory with life-cycle models provides a richer explanation of performance heterogeneity among SMEs. Early-stage reliance on owner-manager human capital reflects entrepreneurial theories emphasizing individual agency, while later-stage emphasis on organizational learning and HRM aligns with resource-based and knowledge-based views of the firm (Kamukama, 2013; Khalique & Pablos, 2015). Intellectual capital frameworks further illuminate how human capital interacts with structural and relational assets to generate sustainable competitive advantage.

Counter-arguments in the literature suggest that external factors such as access to finance or market conditions may overshadow human capital effects. While these factors are undeniably important, the reviewed studies indicate that human capital often determines how effectively firms leverage external resources. For example, educated and experienced managers are better positioned to secure financing, adopt new technologies, and navigate regulatory environments (Agbleewu, 2017; Bloom et al., 2020). Thus, human capital operates as an enabling factor that amplifies or constrains the impact of other resources.

Several limitations emerge from the existing literature. Many studies rely on cross-sectional designs, limiting causal inference and the ability to capture dynamic changes over time. Measurement of human capital often focuses on easily observable indicators, potentially overlooking qualitative dimensions such as leadership style or learning orientation. Future research could address these gaps through longitudinal designs and mixed-method approaches that capture the lived experiences of SME owners and employees across life-cycle stages.

## CONCLUSION

This article has presented an extensive, theory-driven analysis of human capital dynamics and SME performance across the enterprise life cycle, grounded strictly in the provided literature. The central conclusion is that human capital is a multifaceted and evolving resource whose performance implications depend critically on life-cycle stage, organizational context, and strategic management. Early-stage SMEs benefit most from strong owner-manager education and experience, while growth and maturity stages demand broader investments in employee skills, knowledge management, and formal HRM systems.

For policymakers, the findings highlight the importance of supporting human capital development through accessible education, training programs, and institutional frameworks that reduce market failures. For SME owners and managers, the study underscores the need to view human capital development as a continuous, strategic process rather than a one-time investment. By aligning human capital strategies with life-cycle transitions, SMEs in

developing and emerging economies can enhance resilience, competitiveness, and long-term performance.

## REFERENCES

1. Adman, A. A. (2019). The impact of knowledge management on SMEs performance in the city of Bandung. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 550–557.
2. Agbeblewu, D. E. (2017). Level of education, business experience and small and medium enterprise performance in the Accra Metropolis of Ghana. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary and Current Research*, 1460–1466.
3. Agus Suroso, A. I. (2017). Optimizing SME's business performance through human capital management. *European Research Studies Journal*, 588–599.
4. Bello-Pintado, A., & Garcés-Galdeano, L. (2019). Bundles of HRM practices in family and nonfamily firms: The impact on enhancing performance. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 30(21), 2971–2992.
5. Bilan, Y., Mishchuk, H., Roshchyk, I., & Joshi, O. (2020). Hiring and retaining skilled employees in SMEs: Problems in human resource practices and links with organizational success. *Business: Theory and Practice*, 21(2), 780–791.
6. Bloom, N., Genakos, C., Sadun, R., & Van Reenen, J. (2012). Management practices across firms and countries. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 26, 12–33.
7. Bloom, N., Eifert, B., Mahajan, A., McKenzie, D., & Roberts, J. (2013). Does management matter? Evidence from India. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 128(1), 1–51.
8. Bloom, N., Mahajan, A., McKenzie, D., & Roberts, J. (2020). Do management interventions last? Evidence from India. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 12(2), 198–219.
9. Brinckmann, J., Dew, N., Read, S., Mayer-Haug, K., & Grichnik, D. (2019). Of those who plan: A meta-analysis of the relationship between human capital and business planning. *Long Range Planning*, 52(2), 173–188.
10. Brunello, G., & De Paola, M. (2004). Market failures and the under-provision of training. Paper for the joint EC-OECD Seminar on Human Capital and Labour Market Performance.
11. Burhan, M., Swailes, S., Hameed, Z., & Ali, I. (2020). HRM formality differences in Pakistani SMEs: A three-sector comparative study. *Employee Relations*, 42(6), 1513–1529.
12. Egberi, A. K. (2019). Determinants of small and medium scale enterprises performance in Nigeria: Does employee experience and skills matter? *Journal of Business and Management*, 6–13.
13. Ibrahim, A. H. (2018). The effect of education level and experiences on SME performance in Libya. *International Journal for Studies on Children, Women, Elderly and Disabled*, 260–264.
14. Isaga, N. (2015). Owner-managers' demographic characteristics and the growth of Tanzanian small and medium enterprises. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 168–181.

15. Kamukama, N. (2013). Intellectual capital: Company's invisible source of competitive advantage. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal*, 23(3), 260–283.
16. Kamukama, N., Ahiauzu, A., & Ntayi, J. M. (2011). Competitive advantage: Mediator of intellectual capital and performance. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 12(1), 152–164.
17. Kazanjian, R. K. (1988). Relation of dominant problems to stages of growth in technology-based new ventures. *Academy of Management Journal*, 31(2), 257–279.
18. Kazanjian, R. K., & Drazin, R. (1989). An empirical test of a stage of growth progression model. *Management Science*, 35(12), 1489–1503.
19. Khalique, M., & Pablos, P. O. (2015). Intellectual capital and performance of electrical and electronics SMEs in Malaysia. *International Journal of Learning and Intellectual Capital*, 12(3), 251–269.
20. Khalique, M., Bontis, N., Shaari, J. A. N., & Md. Isa, A. H. (2015). Intellectual capital in small and medium enterprises in Pakistan. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 16(1), 224–238.
21. Kianto, A., Hurmelinna-Laukkonen, P., & Ritala, P. (2010). Intellectual capital in service- and product-oriented companies. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 11(3), 305–325.
22. Komnenic, B., & Pokrajcic, D. (2012). Intellectual capital and corporate performance of MNCs in Serbia. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 13(1), 106–119.
23. Liang, C.-J., & Lin, Y.-L. (2008). Which intellectual capital is more important? A life-cycle perspective. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 9(1), 62–76.
24. Lippitt, G. L., & Schmidt, W. H. (1967). Crises in a developing organization. *Harvard Business Review*, 45, 102–112.
25. Mbah Paulinus Chigozie, A. C.-E. (2018). Effect of human capital development in organizational performance. *International Journal of Academic Research in Economics and Management Sciences*, 60–78.
26. Njanike, K. (2019). The factors influencing SMEs growth in Africa: A case of SMEs in Zimbabwe.
27. Salwa Muda, M. R. (2015). Human capital in SMEs life cycle perspective. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 683–689.